

**Priority question for written answer P-001613/2024
to the Commission**
Rule 144
Michal Wiezik (Renew)

Subject: Follow-up on Court judgment

In its judgment in Case C-661/20, the Court of Justice of the European Union found that Slovakia had failed to fulfil its Treaty obligations¹. Consequently, Slovakia was required to take the necessary measures to comply with the Court's judgment, which it appears to have failed to do.

Furthermore, it has been suggested² that there is an intention to continue logging in capercaillie habitats under the pretext of salvage logging. While the management plans for Muránska Planina National Park, which are now entering interservice consultation, have seemingly been prepared with the Court's judgment in mind, there are indications³ that there are plans to carry out large-scale salvage logging in the park.

Moreover, as reported to the Commission, salvage logging has been carried out in capercaillie habitats in other areas, e.g. in Tatranská Javorina earlier this year.

1. Does the Commission consider that Slovakia has taken the necessary measures to comply with the Court's judgment?
2. If not, which steps will the Commission take to ensure that Slovakia complies with the Court's judgment?

Submitted: 4.9.2024

¹ Judgment of the Court of Justice of 22 June 2022, *European Commission v Slovak Republic*, C-661/20, ECLI:EU:C:2022:496.

² <https://sita.sk/nasvidiek/ochranari-vyzyvaju-na-zakaz-tazby-dreva-v-biotopoch-hluchana-na-muranskej-planine/>.

³ <https://spravy.pravda.sk/domace/clanok/715805-v-najprisnejsej-zone-muranskej-planiny-sa-nechysta-masivna-tazba-dreva-reaguje-tarabov-rezort-na-obvinenia/>.