

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

August 29, 1979

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: FRED EILAND PRESS OFFICE

THROUGH: ROBERT J. COSTA

FROM: JUDY HAWKINS

SUBJECT: PUBLIC ISSUANCE OF AUDIT REPORT-

TRANSPORTATION POLITICAL EDUCATION

LEAGUE (TPEL)

Attached please find a copy of the final audit report for the Transporation Political Education League (TPEL) which was approved by the Commission on August 21, 1979.

As of this date, all informational copies of the report have been received by all parties involved and the report may be released to the public.

Attachment as stated

cc; FEC Library
RAD
Public Record



## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

B25 K STREETN W . . . . WASHINGTON, D.C. . 20463

REPORT OF THE AUDIT DIVISION
ON THE
TRANSPORTATION POLITICAL EDUCATION LEAGUE

#### I. Background

#### A. Overview

This report is based upon an audit of the Transportation Political Education League ("TPEL") undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission in accordance with the Commission's audit policy to determine whether there has been compliance with the provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). The audit was conducted pursuant to Section 438(a)(8) of Title 2, United States Code, which directs the Commission to make from time to time audits and field investigations with respect to reports and statements filed under the provisions of the Act.

PPIN ("The Committee"), formerly known as the Trainmen's Political Education League associated with the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, has been in operation under the name of TPIL since January 1, 1969. It registered with the U.S. House of Representatives on April 17, 1972 and is a multi-candidate committee as defined in Section 441a(a)(4) of Title 2, United States Code. The Committee maintains its headquarters in Cheveland, Ohio.

The audit covered the period January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1976, the final coverage date of the current report filed by the Committee at the time of the audit. The Committee reported a beginning cash balance at January 1, 1975 of \$239,493.82, total receipts of \$786,967.08; total expenditures of \$835,637.12; and an ending cash balance at December 31, 1976 of \$178,406.30.

According to its by-laws, the goals of TPEL are to "develop a program consistent with Pederal and State laws in furtherance of political education, to make contributions to political candidates, and to raise and disburse funds in support thereof."

of which the President of the United Transportation Union (UTU) serves as Chairman. TPEL is financed by voluntary contributions from UTU members and employees through payroll deduction plans and by direct individual contributions. The expenditure of all TPEL funds is subject to approval of the National Chairman.

This audit report is based on documents and working papers supporting each of its factual statements. They form part of the record upon which the Commission based its decisions on the matters in this report and were available to Commissioners and appropriate staff for review.

#### B. Key Personnel

The principal officers of the Committee during the period covered by the audit were Mr. A. H. Chesser, Chairman and Mr. John H. Shepherd, Treasurer.

#### C. Scope

The audit included such tests as verification of total reported receipts and expenditures and individual transactions; review of required supporting documentation; analysis of Committee debts and obligations; and, such other audit procedures as de-med necessary under the circumstances.

#### II. Auditor's Statement

It is the opinion of the Audit statf, based upon examination of the reports and statements filed and the records presented; that, except for the deficiencies noted below, the reports and statements of the Transportation Political Education League fairly present the financial activity of the Committee for the period covered by the audit. Further, except for the findings noted below, no material problems in complying with the Federal Election Campaign Act were discovered during the course of the audit.

# A. Perente of Contributions Within 10 Days

Section 103.3(a) of Fitle 11, Code of Federal to ulations, states that all contributions received by a political committee must be deposited in a checking account in the appropriate campaign depository by the treasurer or his agent within 10 days of the treasurer's receipt thereof.

quel receives nearly all of its contributions by one of the three methods described below:

## (I) Trib Employee Payroll Deduction Plan

contributions are received by this method twice monthly immediately after each pay period. TPEL holds these funds in the UPU safe until the last working day of the month, at which time they are deposited into the TPEL depository.

#### (2) Direct Andividual Contributions

This type of contribution is received by TPEL at various times during each month. These funds are also held in the UTU safe until the last working day of each month, at which time they are deposited into the TPEL depository.

#### (3) Will Member Payroll Deduction Plan

under this plan contributions are withheld from a member's wages by his employer along with the member's local is and integrational union dues. These funds are then transmitted by a ringle check to the UTU local union. The local union the transmits the appropriate amount to TPM through the international union. We were advised by UTU officials that the Union has approximately 1200 local units and relatively few do not participate in the member payroll deduction plan.

In order to verify the method by which members payroll deduction plan contributions are processed by the carlover through the local union to UTU and eventually to TPEL, the Auditar Division reviewed the records of five (5) local unions. 1/

our review disclosed that the railway companies, whose employees participate in the payroll deduction plan through the five local organizations, deduct the contributions to specificate and international dues, etc. approximately one and one transmitted to the local union treasurers who receive them dues the member's the month following the month of deduction from the member's carnings.

<sup>1/</sup> hogal #624 Manington, D.C.
Legal #624 Manington, D.C.
Legal #1117 for Verna, I wala

Local #1251 et and, Corria

Figure Elegal #1251 de antrop, D.C.

The UTU local treasurer deposits these funds into a local union treasury bank account and performs a reconciliation process to determine whether the amount(s) received correspond with deductions authorized by each member. The subsequent transfer to the UTU is not generally made for approximately another month.

participating locals are generally received at the UTU International Headquarters between the 20th and 25th of the month. At this time a second reconciliation process is undertaken and on the last working day of the month, the TREL funds are deposited into the TREL depository. As a result, four (4) to eleven (11) weeks have lapsed between the time of the initial deposit of the funds at the local level and the subsequent transfer and deposit into the TREL depository.

The method of transmittal of these contributions and a consingling of runds issue in discussed below in Finding F.

In an interim audit report dated March 26, 1979, the Audit staff recommended that the Committee deposition contributions received through plans (1) and (2) within 10 days of their receipt. With respect to plan (3), the Audit staff recommended that the UTU local treasurers be required to remit that portion of TPEL contributions via separate check payable to TPEL which must be deposited into the TPEL depository within 10 days of the local treasurers' receipt thereof.

On May 10, 1979, the Audit staff met with representatives of TPEL who advised that contributions received through plans (1) and (2) are now being deposited into the TPEL depository on a weekly basis which complies with the Audit staff's recommendation.

With respect to the Member Payroll Deduction Plan (plan (3) above), on July 25, 1979, the Committee treasurer provinces the Audit staff with a copy of a memorandum sent to all treasurers of CTU leads regarding the implementation of new procedures in order to comply with the above-mentioned recommendations of the Audit staff. The local treasurers were advised that, commencing with the August, 1979 billing, TCDL funds must be separated from union furus upon receipt from the employing carriers by remitting TCDL funds immediately via apparate check to TCDL to facilitate the adjoint of these funds into the TCDL depository within 10 days.

#### Recommendation

Since the Committee has taken steps to fully comply with the recommendations of the Commission, the Audit staff recommends that no further action is necessary.

#### B. Segregation of Committee Funds From Union Dues

Section 441b(b)(3)(A) of Title 2, United States Code, in part prohibits the use of: dues, fees, or other monies required as a condition of membership in a labor organization; or as a condition of employment; or monies obtained in any commercial transaction in connection with an election for Federal office.

Section 114.2(b) of Title 11, Code of Federal Regulations, in part, prohibits a labor organization or a corporation from making a contribution or expenditure in connection with any Federal election.

#### UTU Member Payroll Deduction Plan

The funds that are received through the member payroll deduction plan age transmitted in one check to each UTU local treasurer by each employer. Included in the checks are amounts authorized by each employee, member to be deducted from his carnings for such items as insurance premiums, local and national dues, and TPEL contributions. Upon receipt by the UTU local treasurer, the funds are deposited into the UTC local treasury bank account. A reconciliation process is conducted to determine if the amounts received from the members' employers are consistant with the amounts per a monthly billing provided by the national UTU. This billing shows all deductions (including contributions to Tible) for each member. (The totals also receive direct contributions from meabors not participating in the payroll deduction plan which are included on the UTU monthly billing). Upon considetion of the reconciliation process, the UTU local treasurer draws a chick payable to the UTU International Headquarters for the amount(s) due. This is the amount(s) received less the leval UTU union dues.

treature accounts the UTH International Headquarters deposits the checks into the UTH International Headquarters deposits the checks into the UTH International depository for safekeeping pending completion of a second conditiation process. Thereafte the UTH Accounting Department draws a check payable to TPEL for the around (c) due and depositor, check into the TPEL depositor,

Our review of the funds of the five (5) local unions noted in Part A above disclosed that on occasion members are in arrears on authorized deductions from not working during the pay period, due to: suspension, sickness, disability, furlough, etc. The local union's treasurer covers these shortages of deductions (including contributions to TPEL) by drawing funds from the local union treasury. The individuals eventually repaid the local union, TPEL's portion of which ranged from \$.25 to \$1.25. The latter amount represented a member's contribution to TPEL being in arrears for live months. Although the shortages which occured in the 5 locals are minimal in amount, they do represent the use of union treasury funds for Federal purposes.

Further, our review of the UTU headquarters account disclosed that again, shortages between the amounts forwarded by local unions to UTU and the amounts billed to the local unions by the UTU each month are covered through the use of treasury funds from the national UTU treasury accounts, until the local treasurer remits funds to cover them the following month. These shortages consisted, at least in part, of contributions to TPEL. The UTU Director of billings explained that these shortages occur when a local union treasurer makes a mathematical error on his billing and reports remitting the total per the UTU billing, but does not remit the full amount.

of 133 locals was drawn. The records available for our review consisted of the 1976 files of the 133 locals in the sample including the monthly billings and UTU internal adjustment sheets which reflect shortages or overages in amounts forwarded by the local unions to UTU. As a result, we determined that a total of 28 shortages from 14 locals occurred where contributions to TPUL were involved. The shortages arounted to \$58.64 in total during 1976 ranging from \$.25 to \$28.95 per month.

We arrised the Committee Treasurer and CTU officials that the practice of covering shortages of contributions to TUEL from local or national UTU union treasury funds constitutes a loan from the local union or UTU to TPEL for those months when the shortages involved TuC contributions.

In the interim audit report dated March 26, 1979, the Audit staff recommended that the Committee modify its procedures to avoid utilizing union funds to cover these shortages of TPEL contributions in the future.

In the May 10, 1979 meeting with PPEL representatives, the auditors were advised that TPEL officials were unaware that local treasurers were covering members' shortages with local treasury funds, but that the local treasurers have been advised to discontinue such practices.

Member Payroll Deduction Plan will now be transmitted via separate checks and deposited directly into the TPEL depository (as discussed in Finding A), TPEL shortages will no longer be covered through the use of national treasury funds.

#### Recommendation

since the Committee has taken steps to fully comply with the Commission's recommendations, it is the Audit staffing opinion that no further action is necessary.

#### c. Unitemized Contributions

requires a committee to disclose the identification of each person who has made one or more contributions adgregating in excess of \$100 to the Committee in a calendar year, together with the amount and rate of such contributions and the contributor's occupation and principal place of business, if any.

Section 409.11 of Title 11, Code of Federal Regulations defined occupation as the principal job title or position and the whether or not self-employed.

Section 100.12 of Title 11, Code of Federal Regulations defines principal place of business as the full name under which the business is conducted and the city and crate in which the person is employed or conducts besiness.

paring the entrance conference, Committee personnel stated that, for reporting purposes, the Committee hid no system (of a regular multiple contributions from one (1) individual. As not durable hid committee received contributions through three (3) thans. Recours relaxing to each of the three (3) rethologyers reviewed as follows:

## (1) UTU Member Payroll Deduction Plan

The UTU member payroll deduction plansincluded a monthly average of 72,177 members in 1975 and 71,654 in 1976.

Testing of 1975 contribution records relating to this plan indicated that none of the contributions received had been itemized on any Committee disclosure report and that a small percentage (less than 11) of the individuals participating in the plan had made contributions aggregating in excess of \$100. A similar tent was not performed for 1976 since the Committee stated that the operation and reporting of the plan was the same in both years.

At the conclusion of fieldwork, the Committee was developing a computerized system for aggregating contributions received through the member payroll deduction plan. The computerized system would contain information for calendar year 1976 and later. At this time we recommended to the Committee that amended reports be filed itemizing all contributions aggregating in excess of \$100 for both calendar years 1975 and 1976.

On January 16, 1978, the Committee filed an amended report for calendar year 1976 disclosing contributions are received through the member payroll deduction plan from 516. The parricipants whose aggregate contributions exceeded \$100. The Committee stated that a similar arended report for calendar year 1975 would require a great deal of preparation time since the aggregation would be a manual process. No amended report for 1975 was filed. Further, the Committee did not adequately disclose the occupation and principal place of business of any of the 516 contributors disclosed under the acondments filed for 1976.

# (2) UTU Employee Payroll Deduction Plan

Adgregation of contributions by members of the exployer payroll deduction plan was performed manually by TPEL'S accounting department. However, this information was not used to prepare the disclosure reports. Hence, no contributions received through the employee payroll dejuction plan were itemized on the Committee's reports. We recommended that the Committee file mandments itemizes the contributions aggregating in curves of \$100 for extender payes 1975 and 1976. On March 14, 1977, the Committee filed an amendment for calendar year 1976, itemi, but contributions from 35 participants in the employee payroll deduction plan. No amender reports were filed for extensive year 1977.

## (3) Direct Individual Contributions

Generally, direct contributions received were itemized if the contribution was \$100 or greater. The Committee did not aggregate multiple direct contributions of less than \$100. In both 1975 and 1976 all contributions itemized on Committee disclosure reports resulted from direct contributions.

Additional testing indicates that a portion of the contributors participated in more than one of the above plans. However, the Committee made no attempt to aggregate contributions from one (1) individual received through more than one (1) contribution plan. In addition, the computerized system under development at the time of the fieldwork did not provide for inter-contribution plan aggregation. The Committee was advised of this problem in the proposed system at the exit conference.

In the interim audit report dated March 26, 1979, the Audit staff recommended that no further action be taken with respect to TPEL's 1975-76 reports. However, the Audit staff did recommend that the disclosure of contributor information should be improved in TPEL's future reports to the Commission.

In the May 10, 1979 meeting with the Audit staff, TPEL representatives advised that the TPEL computer system now has the capacity to provide for inter-contribution plan aggregation. Also, TPEL has agreed to provide more detailed information in disclosing the contributors' occupations and principal places of business in its future reports to the Commission to fulfill the regulatory definitions set forth in 11 CPR 100.11 and 100.12.

## Recommendation

Based on the above Committee action, we recommend that no further action is necessary.

# D. Ron-Member Participation in the UTU Employee Payroll Deduction Plan

Regulations, prohibits a labor organization, or a separate send path fund established by a labor organization from soliciting contributions to such a fund from any person other than its members and distributions. However, under the provisions of 11 C. it (14.7()) a separate degree ited fund may accept seal ributions are send communical permitted by law to rate contributions.

As previously noted, our review disclosed that UTU did maintain a payroll deduction plan through which contributions are made to TPEL. However, the Committee advised us they do not solicit non-members of UTU, or executive or administrative personnel and stockholders of the corporations whose employees the labor organization represents.

Our review disclosed that eight (8) employees of UTC, who are non-members of the union, made contributions to TPEL through the payroll deduction plan. The employees consisted of seven (7) secretaries who held positions with the various UTU state legislative boards and one (1) secretary who held a position with the Ceneral Committee of Adjustments. Seven (7) contributors participated in the payroll deduction plan from January 1, 1975 through December 31, 1977, although one (1) non-member married a morber in December of 1976. The remaining centributor participated from January 1, 1975 until May 31, 1975 when she retired. All of these contributors submitted to UTU a written authorization for the payroll deduction. However, Committee officials givised us that they did not know how the eight (8) non-members of LTV became participants in the payroll actuation plan.

In their local analysis of this finding, the Office of Coneral Counsel county is I that, in their opinion, a payroll deduction plan not apartly involves communications in the nature of a solicitation, and charefore, TPEL is in apparent violation for accepting contributions from non-members who voluntarily contribute to TPEL through the payroll deduction plan. Accordingly, based on the approach set forth in 11 CFR 114.12(1), the Office of General Council recommended that the contributions made to TRUE by non-members of ETM through the payroll deduction plan after December 31, 1976 should be refunded to the contributions or disbursed in a manner that would not be a contribution or empirical under the Act and Commission Fegulations. The Committee was notified of the Counsel's recommendation in the interior will report data i March 26, 1979.

In the 7% 23, 1979 better to the Audit staff, TPEL's treasurer advised that the Committee had been unaware that volunt by contributions from non-members could not be collected through payroll delication. The Committee also agreed to refund all contributions recoiced from non-members via payroll deduction often to comber 31, 1976, and to terminate the contributions of these was to be a recommendated the contributions of these was to be a recommendated the contribution of the decision.

On July 2, 1979, TPEL supplied the Audit staff with copies of cover letters and refund checks sent to nine (9) non-UTU members who had contributed or were currently contributing through payroll deduction. Included in the nine (9) refunds were the six (6) individuals noted in our review that were not members or family of members and whose contributions had been received subsequent to December 31, 1976.

#### Recommendation

Based on the above Committee action, we recommend that no further action is necessary.

# E. Failure To Disclose A Committee Depository

Section 433(b)(9) and (c) of Title 2, United States...
Code, requires the Committee to file a Statement of Organization
listing all banks where accounts are maintained, and to amend
this filing when that information changes.

TPDE maintained a checking account at the National Bank in North Ransas City, Missouri which was not disclosed on its Statement of Organization or amendments thereto. On March 14, 1977, TPBL filed an amendment disclosing the depository.

# Recommendation

The Audit staff recommends the Commission take no Wurther action on this matter.

F. Overstatement Of Receipts And Expenditures, Understatement Of Beginning Cash-On-Hand, and Disclosure Of Transfers

Section 434(b)(8) and (11) of Title 2, United States Code, requires that a committee report the total sum of all receipts and expenditures made during the calendar year.

Section 434(b)(l) of Title 2, United States Code, requires that a committee report the amount of cush-on-hand at the beginning of each reporting period.

section 434(b)(4) of Title 2, United States Code, of States in part that the committee's report shall disclose the name address of each political committee or candidate to which they cannot the made any transfer of funds, together with the area and dates or all transfers.

- (1) In September, 1975, TPEL changed despositories from the Union Commerce Bank to the National City Bank. TPEL reported as unitemized receipts the transfer of the remaining funds totaling \$4,825.48. The effect of this transaction was to overstate receipts by the above amount in 1975.
- (2) TPEL did not report the receipt of contributions totaling \$41.25 in 1975 and \$54.16 in 1976 that were deposited in the Kansas City, Missouri, bank account. This resulted in an understatement of receipts in both 1975 and 1976 by the above amount(s).
- depository in Cleveland to the depository in Kansas City, Missouri, as expenditures. This resulted in an overstatement of expenditures by \$22,237.66 in 1975 and \$4,824.18 in 1976.
  - committees ranging in amounts from \$20.00 to \$100.00 and totalling \$260.00 in 1975 from the depository in Ransas City, Missouri. In 1976 they made 19 similar transfers ranging in amounts from \$25.00 to \$1,000.00 and totalling \$4,450.00 from the same depository. The transfers were not reported as itemized or unitemized disburgements resulting in an understatement of expenditures and nendisclosure of the transfers by the above amount(s).

The net effect of the above transactions is that PPIN had everstated receipts by \$4,784.23 in 1975 and understated receipts by \$54.16 in 1976; overstated expenditures by \$21,977.66 in 1975 and \$374.13 in 1976; and understated beginning cash-on. Thank by \$17,193.43 on January 1, 1976 and by \$17,621.77 on January 1, 1977.

On March 14, 1977 and July 27, 1979, TPEL filed of amendments correcting all the above mentioned misstatements.

# Recommendation

We recommend that no further action be taken on this matter.

