The state of the s

BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION OF LB 10 PM 3: 54

FINANCE CORPORATION

PARC GROVE, LLC
Petitioner,

FHFC Case No. <u>2020-0</u>09BP RFA No.2019-112 App. No. 2020-105C

VS.

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION.

Respondent.

FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST AND PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Petitioner, Parc Grove, LLC, ("Petitioner" or "Parc Grove"), pursuant to sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes ("F.S.") and Rules 28-110 and 67-60, Florida Administrative Code ("FAC") hereby files this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Administrative Hearing (the "Petition") regarding the scoring decisions of the Respondent, Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("Florida Housing") to award funding to responsive Applicants pursuant to RFA 2019-112 Housing Credit Financing For Affordable Housing Developments Located in Miami-Dade County. (hereinafter the "RFA")

Introduction

1. This Petition is filed pursuant to sections 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes, Rules 28-110 and 67-60, Florida Administrative Code.

Parties

2. Petitioner is a Florida limited liability company in the business of providing affordable housing. Petitioner's address is 3225 Aviation Avenue, 6th Floor, Coconut Grove, Florida 33133.

Petitioner's address, telephone number and email address are those of its undersigned counsel for purposes of this proceeding.

3. The affected agency is Florida Housing Finance Corporation. Florida Housing's address is 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329.

Notice

- 4. On August 20, 2019 Florida Housing issued the RFA.
- 5. On September 19, 2019 the RFA was modified by Florida Housing and a Notice of Modification of RFA 2019-112 was issued.¹
- 6. Applications in response to the RFA were due on or before October 22, 2019.
- 7. Florida Housing received sixty-three (63) applications in response to the RFA. Petitioner, in response to the RFA, requested an allocation of \$2,875,950.00 in Housing Tax Credit funding for its proposed 110-unit affordable housing development in Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 8. Petitioner received notice of the preliminary RFA scoring and rankings through electronic posting on Friday, January 24, 2020 at 9:03 am. A copy of the notices posted on the Corporations website are attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Petitioner was deemed eligible for funding.
- 9. On Wednesday, January 29, 2020 at 8:00 am., Petitioner timely submitted their Notice of Intent to Protest Florida Housing's intended decision. A copy of that Notice of Intent is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
- 10. This Petition is timely filed in accordance with the provisions of section 120.57(3) (b), Florida Statutes, and rules 28-110.004 and 67-60.009, Fla. Admin. Code.

¹ The Notice of Modification of Request For Applications (RFA) 2019-112 was posted on the Corporations website and sent via electronic mail to persons registered on the Corporation's Multifamily Programs Registry.

Background

- 11. Florida Housing is a public corporation created by section 420.504, Florida Statutes, to administer the governmental function of financing or refinancing affordable housing and related facilities in Florida. Florida Housing's statutory authority and mandates are set forth in Part V of Chapter 420, Florida Statutes. See, Sections 420.501-420.55, Fla. Stat. Florida Housing is designated as the Housing Credit agency for the State of Florida within the meaning of 42(h)(7)(A) of the IRC, and Chapters 67-48 and 67-60, Fla. Admin. Code.
- 12. Florida Housing administers a competitive solicitation process to implement the provisions of the housing credit program under which developers apply for funding. See Chapter 67-60, Fla. Admin. Code.

RFA 2019-112

13. This RFA was specifically targeted to provide much needed affordable housing in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The RFA introduction provides as follows,

This Request for Applications (RFA) is open to Applicants proposing the development of affordable, multifamily housing located in Miami-Dade County.

Under this RFA, Florida Housing Finance Corporation (the Corporation) expects to have up to an estimated \$7,195,917 of Housing Credits available for award to proposed Developments located in Miami-Dade County. ...

(RFA at 2)

14. This RFA also added a new provision which provides as follows in relevant part,

Priority Designation of Applications

Applicants may submit no more than three (3) Priority I Applications. There is no limit to the number of Priority II Applications that can be submitted; however, no

Principal²can be a Principal of more than three (3) Priority 1 Applications.³

Florida Housing will rely on the Principals of the Applicant and Developer(s) Disclosure Form (Rev. 05-2019) outlined below in order to determine if a Principal is a Principal on more than three (3) Priority I Applications. If during scoring it is determined that a Principal is disclosed as a Principal on more than three (3) Priority I Applications, all such Priority I Applications will be deemed Priority II.

If it is later determined that a Principal was not disclosed as a Principal and the undisclosed Principal causes the maximum set forth above to be exceeded, the award(s) for the affected Application(s) will be rescinded and all Principals of the affected Applications may be subject to material misrepresentation, even if the Applications were not selected for funding, were deemed ineligible, or were withdrawn.

(RFA at 6-7) (herein referred to as the "Priority I Requirement")

- 15. Appointed Review Committee members independently evaluate and score their assigned portions of the submitted applications based on various mandatory and scored items. (RFA at 69) The maximum point total that an applicant can receive is 15 points. (RFA at 67) Failure to meet all eligibility items results in an application being deemed ineligible. (RFA at 64).
- 16. The RFA provides that all eligible Priority 1 Applications will be ranked by sorting the Applications as follows, followed by Priority II Applications.

² The word "Principal" means:

⁽a) For a corporation, each officer, director, executive director, and shareholder of the corporation.

⁽b) For a limited partnership, each general partner and each limited partner of the limited partnership.

⁽c) For a limited liability company, each manager and each member of the limited liability company.

⁽d) For a trust, each trustee of the trust and all beneficiaries of majority age (i.e.; 18 years of age) as of Application deadline.

⁽e) For a Public Housing Authority, each officer, director, commissioner, and executive director of the Authority. Rule 67-48.002(94), FAC.

³ The word "Applicant" means any person or legal entity of the type and with the management and ownership structure described herein that is seeking a loan or funding from the Corporation by submitting an Application or responding to a competitive solicitation pursuant to rule chapter 67-60, F.A.C., for one or more of the Corporation's programs. For purposes of rules 67-48.0105 (Sale, Transfer or Refinancing of a SAIL Development), Rule 67-48.0205 (Sale, Transfer or Refinancing of a HOME Development) and 67-48.031(Qualified Contractors), F.A.C., Applicant also includes any assigns or successors in interest of the Applicant. Unless otherwise stated in a competitive solicitation, as used herein, a 'legal entity' means a legally formed corporation, limited partnership or limited liability company.Rule 67-48.002(9),FAC.

- a. First, from highest score to lowest score;
- b. Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Proximity Funding Preference (which is outlined in Section Four A.5.e of the RFA) with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference;
- c. Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Per Unit Construction Funding Preference which is outlined in Section Four A.10.e of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference);
- d. Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Development Category Funding Preference which is outlined in Section Four A.4. (b)(4) of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference);
- e. Next, by the Application's Leveraging Classification, applying the multipliers outlined in item 3 of Exhibit C of the RFA (with Applications having the Classification of A listed above Applications having the Classification of B);
- f. Next, by the Application's eligibility for the Florida Job creation Funding Preference which is outlined in item 4 of Exhibit C of the RFA (with Applications that qualify for the preference listed above Applications that do not qualify for the preference); and
- g. And finally, by lottery number, resulting in the lowest lottery number receiving preference. (RFA at 67-68)
- 17. The RFA mandates the Funding Selection Process (hereinafter "Selection Process"), as follows,
 - (a) The first Application selected for funding will be the highest ranking eligible Priority I Family Application that qualifies for the Geographic Areas of Opportunity / SADDA Goal if there are none, then the first Application selected for funding will be the highest ranking eligible Priority II Family Application that qualifies for the Geographic Areas of Opportunity/ SADDA Goal.
 - (b) The next Application selected for funding will be the highest-ranking eligible Priority I Application that qualifies as an Elderly (Non-ALF) Development. If there are none, then the next Application selected for funding will be the highest-ranking eligible Priority II Application that qualifies as an Elderly (Non-ALF) Development.
 - (c) The next Application(s) selected for funding will be the highest-ranking unfunded Priority I Application(s), regardless of Demographic Category until no more than three (3) total Applications are selected for funding. If less than three Applications are selected for funding and there are no eligible unfunded Priority I Applications, then the next Application(s), selected for funding will be the highest ranking unfunded

Priority II Application(s), regardless of Demographic Category until no more than three (3) total Applications are selected for funding. If the third Application cannot be fully funded, it will be entitled to receive a Binding Commitment for the unfunded balance.

(d) If funding remains after selecting the three (3) highest ranking eligible unfunded Applications as outlined above, or if funding remains because there are not three (3) eligible Applications that can be funded as outlined above, then no further Applications will be considered for funding and any remaining funding will be distributed as approved by the Board.

(RFA at 68)

18. On January 9, 2020, members of the Review Committee met at a public meeting to announce their scores. Just prior to the commencement of the ranking of eligible applications and selection for funding by the Review Committee, Marisa Button, Executive Director of Multi-Family Programs at Florida Housing and not a Review Committee member⁴ addressed those present, with what she described as a comment for the public record. She proceeded to read the Priority I requirements from the RFA into the record. She then stated that certain applications, including Orchid District, LTD (Application 2020-148C), Parc Grove, LLC (Application 2020-105C) and others, which had all been deemed eligible by the Review Committee, shared "certain commonalities" which she described in detail. Ultimately however, Ms. Button noted, that Florida Housing could not determine that *any* of the applications shared a common "principal" as that term is defined in rule 67-48.002,FAC. Ms. Button concluded her statement by indicating that if any of the applications were later found to have violated the Priority 1 requirement they could be subject to the penalties in the RFA. Once Ms. Button concluded her statement the ranking and selection process commenced.⁵

⁴ Ms. Button is identified as a resource to the Review Committee Members but is not a scorer of applications.

⁵ Since the applications referenced by Ms. Button were all eligible for funding and the Review Committee was in the middle of scoring all eligible applications her statements at that time were highly unusual. The Petitioner denies any such allegation and further asserts that it complied with the RFA and its terms as defined in Florida Housing's rules.

19. The following eligible applications were selected for funding by the Review Committee members on January 9, 2020,

-2020-101C- Harbour Springs LLC (selected as meeting GAO/HUD SADDA Goal)

-2020-148C- Orchid District, LTD (selected as meeting Elderly/Non-ALF Goal)

-2020-117C- Naranja Lakes Housing Partners, LP (next highest-ranking unfunded Cat 1)

Three applications, including Application 2020-122C, Slate Miami Apartments, Ltd. ("Slate Miami") were deemed ineligible as a result of an alleged financial arrearage. At the conclusion of the Review Committee meeting the members of the Committee voted to forward the scores and their recommendations for funding to the Florida Housing Board for approval at the next regularly scheduled public board meeting.

- 20. However, on Thursday, January 16, 2020, Florida Housing issued a notice that the Review Committee would be *reconvened* for a meeting on Tuesday, January 21, 2020.
- 21. At the Reconvened Review Committee, Florida Housing Staff announced that a mistake had been made regarding the determination of financial arrearage and that the three applications, should have been considered *eligible* for funding. As a result, Application 2020-122C, Slate

⁶ The Financial Arrearage Requirement within the RFA provides in part, "An Applicant will be deemed ineligible for funding if, as of the close of business the day before the Committee meets to make a recommendation to the Board there remains any financial obligations for which an Applicant or Developer or Principal, Affiliate or Financial Beneficiary of the Applicant or Developer is in arrears to the Corporation..." (RFA at 65). The word "Affiliate" means and person that:

⁽a) Directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under control with the Applicant or Developer;

⁽b) Serves as an officer or director of the Applicant or Developer or of any Affiliate of the Applicant or the Developer;

⁽c) Directly or indirectly receives or will receive a financial benefit from a Development except as further described in rule 67-48.0075, F.A.C., or

⁽d) Is the spouse, parent, child, sibling, or relative by marriage of a person described in paragraph (a), (b) or (c), above.

Rule 67-48.002(5), F.A.C. The word, "Financial Beneficiary" means any Principal of the Developer or Applicant entity who receives or will receive any direct or indirect financial benefit from a Development except as further described in rule 67-48.0075, F.A.C.

Miami, was deemed eligible and the Funding Selection Process was conducted again after the original scores were confirmed. As a result, the only change to the determinations made by the Review Committee on January 9 was Slate Miami, a Priority I Application, serving an Elderly Non-ALF demographic with a lottery number of 4 was selected ahead of Orchid District, LTD, a Priority I Application, serving an Elderly Non-ALF demographic with a lottery number of 6.

22. Applicant Parc Grove, LLC, Application No. 2020-105C (lottery number 3) was deemed eligible but unfunded. The scoring committee erroneously found Harbour Springs LLC ("Harbour Springs") (lottery number1) eligible for funding, had Harbour Springs been deemed properly ineligible then Parc Grove would have been eligible for funding.

Harbour Springs

Public School

23. The RFA provides that an Applicant may earn proximity points based on the "... distance between the Development Location Point⁷ and the Bus or Rail Transit Service.... Community Services..." (RFA at 19) Eligible Community Services include Grocery Store, Public Store, Medical Facility and Pharmacy. (RFA at 22-23) The Required Minimum Total of proximity points that must be achieved to be eligible for funding is 10.5 points. The Total Proximity Points that must be achieved to receive the Proximity Funding Preference is 12.5 points or more. (RFA at 20) 24. The RFA provides, that Applicants may provide the location information and distances for three of the four Community Services on which to base the Application's Community Services

⁷ Development Location Point means a single point selected by the Applicant on the proposed Development site that is located within 100 feet of a residential building existing or to be constructed as part of the proposed Development. For a Development which consists of Scattered Sites, this means a single point on the site with the most units that is located within 100 feet of a residential building existing or to constructed as part of the proposed Development. Rule 67-48.002(34), Florida Administrative Code.

Score. RFA at 22. Harbour Springs selected Public School, Medical Facility and Grocery Store as its three (3) Community services (RFA at 6)

25. Public School is defined within the RFA as follows,

A public elementary, middle, junior and/or high school, where the *principal admission criterion is the geographic proximity to the school*. This may include a charter school, if the charter school is open to appropriately aged children in the radius area who apply, without additional requirements for admissions such as passing an entrance exam or audition, payment of fees or tuition, or demographic diversity considerations.

Additionally, it must have been in existence and available for use by the general public as of the Application Deadline.

RFA at 87. (Emphasis Supplied)

- 26. Harbour Springs selected William A. Chapman Elementary ("Chapman School"), located at 27190 SW 140 Ave, Miami-Dade (sic), Florida 33032 as its Public School. Harbour Spring's application suggests that Chapman School is .37 miles from the Development Location Point. Had this information been correct, Harbour Springs would have been entitled to 4.0 proximity points for this claimed Community Service. (RFA at 98) However, the claimed Public School does not qualify for any proximity points.
- 27. The School Board of Miami-Dade County has designated the Chapman School as a magnet school. The Program that the Chapman School provides to elementary aged students is called *STEAM* which stands for Science, Technology, Engineering, Art and Math. Contrary to RFA requirements, the principal admission criterion to the Chapman School is not geographic proximity to the school, in fact the Miami-Dade Magnet School Catalog specifically provides that, "Magnet programs/schools do not adhere to boundary restrictions"

- 28. Eligibility requirements include a minimum Grade Point Average in core academic subject areas and in conduct, no more than ten (10) unexcused absences for the previous school year and a recommendation from a previous math or science program.
- 29. Considering the foregoing, the Chapman school does not qualify as a Public School and Harbour Springs is not entitled to the 4.0 proximity points claimed in its application for a Public School. As a result of this reduction, Harbour Springs's proximity points total would be reduced from 17 to 13 points.

Medical Facility

30. Medical Facility is defined within the RFA as follows,

A medically licensed facility that (1) employs or has under contractual obligation at least one physician licensed under Chapter 458 or 459, F.S. available to treat patients by walk-in or by appointment; and (ii) provides general medical treatment to any physically sick or injured person. Facilities that specialize in treating specific classes of medical conditions or specific classes of medical conditions or specific classes of patients, including emergency rooms affiliated with specialty or Class II hospitals and clinics affiliated with specialty or Class II hospitals, will not be accepted.

Additionally, it must have been in existence and available for use by the general public as of the Application Deadline.

(RFA at p. 85) (emphasis added)

31. Harbour Springs selected Naranja Health Center ("NHC") located at 13805 SW 264 St., Miami-Dade, Fl 33032 as its Medical Facility. Harbour Spring's application suggests that the claimed NHC facility is 0.35 miles away from the Development Location Point. Had this information been correct, Harbour Springs would have been entitled to 3.5 Proximity Points for this claimed Community Service.

- 32. Although a licensed physician may be employed or contractually obligated by the parent company under which the NHC facilities operate, the licensed physician is not physically located at this address and therefore is not available to treat patients by walk-in or by appointment.
- 33. Considering the foregoing, NHC does not qualify as a Medical Facility and Harbour Springs is not entitled to the 3.5 points claimed in its Application. As a result of this reduction, and in addition to the reduction for its Public-School selection, Harbour Springs has 9.5 total proximity points and is ineligible for funding.

Substantial Interests Affected

- 34. If Harbour Springs had been deemed ineligible for the foregoing reasons, Parc Grove would have been selected as the next eligible applicant.
- 35. Petitioner is substantially affected by the evaluation and scoring of the responses to the RFA. The results of the scoring have affected Petitioners ability to obtain funding through the RFA. Consequently, Petitioners have standing to initiate and participate in this and related proceedings.
- 36. Petitioner is entitled to a Formal Administrative Hearing pursuant to Sections 120.57(1) and 120.57(3), Florida statutes, to resolve the issues set forth in this Petition.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact and Law

- 37. Disputed issues of material fact and law exist and entitle Petitioners to a Formal Administrative Hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), Florida Statutes. The disputed issues of material fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether the RFA's criteria for determining eligibility were properly followed;
 - Whether the proposed awards are based on a correct determination of eligibility of the applicants;
 - c. Whether the Chapman School is a Public School as defined in the RFA;

- d. Whether the principal admission criterion for the Chapman School is the geographic proximity to the school;
- e. Whether the Naranja Health Center is a Medical Facility as defined in the RFA;
- f. Whether Harbour Springs has achieved the Required Minimum Total of proximity points;
- g. Such other issues as may be revealed during the protest process.

Statutes and Rules Entitling Relief

38. Petitioner is entitled to relief pursuant to Section 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, Chapters 28-106, 28-110, and 67-60, Florida Administrative Code.

Concise Statement of Ultimate Fact and Law, Including the Specific Facts Warranting Reversal of the Agency's Intended Award

- 39. Petitioner participated in the RFA process to compete for an award of Housing Credit funds based upon the delineated scoring and ranking criteria in the RFA. Harbour Springs should be deemed ineligible for failing to meet the Required Minimum Total of proximity points and thus being ineligible for funding.
- 40. Unless the scoring and eligibility determination is corrected, and the preliminary allocation revised, Petitioner will be excluded from funding contrary to the provisions of the RFA and Florida Housing's governing statutes and rules.
- 41. A correct application of the eligibility, scoring and ranking criteria will result in funding for the Petitioner.

Right to Amend the Petition

42. Petitioner reserves the right to amend this Petition if additional disputed issues of material fact are identified during the discovery process in this case.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and rule 28-110.004. Florida Administrative Code, Petitioner's request the following relief:

- a) An opportunity to resolve this protest by mutual agreement within seven days of the filing of this Petition as provided by Section 120.57(3)(d)(1), Florida Statutes.
- b) If this protest cannot be resolved within seven days, that the matter be referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal hearing to be conducted before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") pursuant to Section 120.57(1) and (3), Florida Statutes.
- c) The ALJ enter a Recommended Order determining that the application of Harbour Springs is ineligible and award funding to the Petitioner as the next eligible applicant.
- d) That the Corporation adopt the Recommended Order of the ALJ.
 FILED AND SERVED this 10th day of February 2020.

Respectfully Submitted

Maureen M. Daughton, Esq.

FBN 0655805

Maureen McCarthy Daughton, LLC

1400 Village Square Blvd.

Ste 3-231

Tallahassee, Florida 32312

Counsel for Parc Grove, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that the original of this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Administrative Hearing was filed by electronic mail with the Corporation Clerk and Hugh Brown, General Counsel, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on this 10th day of February, 2020.

Maureen M. Daughton

Eligible Applications 2020-088C Alto T 2020-089C Village	Alto Tower Northside Transit	Steve Protulis	EHDOC Development Services, LLC; Alto Tower	E, Non-			Á										
2020-088C 2020-089C	Alto Tower	Steve Protulis	EHDOC Development Services, LLC; Alto Tower	E, Non-													
2020-089C	Northside Transit		Developer LLC	ALF	ь	119	2,795,000	≺	z	15	٧	ч	*	142,803.36	>	٧	13
	Village III	Kenneth Naylor	Northside Property III Development, LLC	E, Non-	j.,s	130	2,881,980	۲	z	15	~	٧	*	134 787 99	Þ	<	y n
2020-090C	Quail Roost Transit Village IV	Kenneth Naylor	Quail Roost IV Development,	E, Non-	н	134	2,630,000	Y	z	15	۲	Y	۲	119 331 34	Δ :	< -	10
2020-091C	Coco Parc	Cara Balogh	24735 Coco Parc Developer, LLC	т	ь	110	2,875,950	٧	4	15	4	4	٧	158 961 60	Þ ;	< -	à à
2020-092C	San Cristobal	Steve Protulis	EHDOC Development Services, LLC; San Cristobal 27 Developer, LLC	E, Non-	ы	90	2,115,000	4	z	15	~	<	~	142,880.00	> ;	٠.	23 -
2020-093C	Culmer Apartments II	I Kenneth Naylor	APC Culmer Development II,	E, Non-	4	108	1,923,000	~	2	15	~	<	٧	108 257 78	Þ	<	n e
2020-094C	City Place	Francisco Rojo	Landmark Development Corp.	-	H	110	2,728,000	~	*	15	~	<	*	150.784.00	Þ :	< -	47
2020-095C	Park Ridge	Robert B. Balogh	Park Ridge Developer, LLC	ח	ы	110	2,875,950	*	4	15	٧	۲	~	158,961.60	Þ	< .	30 5
2020-096C	The Seattle	Justin Wilson	908 Affordable I Developer, LLC	71	1	120	2,882,000	~	Z	15	~	ч	~	146,021.33	Þ	<	00
2020-097C	Courtside Apartments, Phase III	Matthew A. Rieger	AMC HTG 3 Developer, LLC	71	1	80	2,091,600	4	z	15	*	~	~	158,961.60	Þ	*	40
2020-098C**	Banyan Station	Terri Murray	NRI Development Corp.; Neighborhood Renaissance, Inc.; Stone Soup Development, Inc.	71	ta.	125	2,882,000	۲	z	ti	٧.	~	~	140,180.48	Þ	×	12
2020-099C	Princeton Crossings	Lewis V. Swezy	RS Development Corp; Lewis V. Swezy	F		150	2,851,000	~	*	15	~	4	<	121,338.56	>	~	21
2020-100C	The Saxony	Cara Balogh	Saxony Wynwood Developer, LLC	7	н	104	2,719,080	*	Υ	15	~	~	*	158,961.60	>	~	54
2020-101C	Harbour Springs	Lewis V. Swezy	RS Development Corp; Lewis V. Swezy	71	12	150 2	2,851,000	Υ	4	15	Y	<	۲	121,338.56	Þ	~	۲
2020-102C	Riverside Flats	Randy E. Rieger	2nd Avenue Flats Developer, LLC	E, Non-	ъ	110 2	2,875,950	٧	z	15	۲	~	~	158,961.60	Þ	Υ	45
	The Orange Grove	Robert B. Balogh	Orange Grove Developer, LLC	E, Non- ALF		110 2	2,875,950	Υ	z	15	Υ	~	*	158,961.60	Þ	~	42
	Autumn Ridge	Francisco Rojo	Corp.	m	1	110 2	2,728,000	4	¥	15	Y	٧	Y	150,784.00	>	4	55
	Parc Grove	Randy Rieger	Parc Grove Developer, LLC	71	4	110 2	2,875,950	٧	*	15	~	~	۲	158,961.60	Α	*	w
2020-106C	Sierra Meadows	Francisco Rojo	Corp.	יד	1.4	114 2	2,827,200	*	*	15	~	~	×	150,784.00	Þ	~	59
2020-1070	F	Kenneth Naylor	Quail Roost II Development, LLC	m	2	130 2	2,882,000	4	Z	15	۲	~	٧	134,788.92	Þ	~	φ
2020-108C	Northside Transit Village V	Kenneth Naylor	Northside Property V Development, LLC	'n	2	130 2	2,882,000	٧	Z	15	~	<	٧	134,788.92	Þ	~	50
2020-109C* S	Shoreview Place	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Shoreview Developer,	711	н	94 2	2,184,560	۲	۲	15	~	۷ .	۲	146,244.67	Þ	~	58
2020-111C V	Villa Saona	Steve Protulis	EHDOC Development Services, LLC; Villa Saona Developer, LLC	E, Non-		106 2	2,560,000	¥	z	15	~	٠	۲	146,837.74	Þ	۲	34
2020-112C L	Laurel Club	Oscar Sol	Laurel Club Dev, LLC; JCG Real Estate Ventures, LLC	71	ы	120 2,	2,880,000	~	~	15	<	۷ .	γ 1	145,920.00	Þ	×	и

- Exhibit A

RFA 2019-112 Board Approved Scoring Results

T
a
ထ္ထ
CD
N
9
Δ

Application Number		Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Priority Level	Total Units	HC Funding Amount	HC Funding Eligible For Amount Funding?	Family Demo and qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUDdesignated SADDA Funding Goal?	Total Points	Proximity Funding Preference	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding Preference	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Leveraging Classification	Florida Job Creation Preference	
2020-113C	Parkview	William Todd Fabbri	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	71	ם	99	2,500,000	~	٧ .	15	~	Y	~	153,535.35	Þ	4	_
2020-114C	Pinnacle Landings	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities, LLC	E, Non-	14	114	2,735,000	~	Z	15	~	۲	~	145,866.67	A	<	-
2020-115C	Creek View	Randy E. Rieger	Creek View NMB Developer,	T)	ц	110	2,875,950	~	Y	15	~	۲	4	158,961.60	Α :	< -	
2020-116C*	The Mosaic	William Todd Fabbri	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	E, Non-	н	105	1,925,000	٧	z	15	4	~	٧	125,400.00	> ;	≺ .	
2020-117C	Residences at Naranja Lakes	Robert Hoskins	NuRock Development Partners, Inc.	п	-	140	2,582,000	~	Y	15	~	~	~	117,739.20	Þ	ζ.	
2020-118C	Culmer Apartments	Kenneth Naylor	APC Culmer Development, LLC	F	2	88	1,950,892	*	Z	15	~	~	~	134,788.90	D.	κ .	
2020-1190	Capri Place	Barry Goldmeier	DDA Development, LLC; Advanced Housing Corp.; JCG Real Estate Ventures, LLC	E, Non- ALF	ь	112	2,675,000	Υ	z	15	*	٠	*	145,214.29	Α	<	
2020-120C	Platform 3750 at Frankie Shannon Rolle Center	Mara S. Mades	Cornerstone Group Partners, LLC	m	ы	77	1,480,000	4	٧	15	4	*	~	116,862.34	Þ	~	
2020-121C	Rosemary Cove	Stephanie Berman	Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc.; Romero Capital, LLC	п	-	118	2,859,899	~	Κ.	15	Υ	~	~	147,357.51	A	٠	
2020-122C	Slate Miami	William Todd Fabbri	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	E, Non-	L	105	2,500,000	~	z	15	4	~	۷.	144,761.90	Þ	~	
2020-123C	RUDG The Commons Phase Two	Alberto Milo, Jr.	RUDG The Commons Phase Two Developer, LLC	יד	1	180	2882000	~	۲	15	4	۲	<	127,768.67	Þ	۲	
2020-124C	Vineyard Villas	Marc S. Plonskier	Gatehouse Development Corp.; Magellan Housing, LLC	E, Non-	н н	97 1	1,895,000	Υ.	z	15	~	۲	۲	148,474.23	Þ	<	
2020-125C	Yeager Plaza	Kareem Brantley	Yaeger Plaza Developer, LLC, Stone Soup Development, Inc.; The Yaeger Clinic, Inc.	E, Non-	-	105 2	2510000	κ	z	15	~	٧	~	145,340,95	Þ	۲	
2020-1260	Paseo del Rio	Alberto Milo, Jr.	Paseo del Rio Developer, LLC	E, Non-	ь	125 2	2,882,000	۲	Z	15	4	~	~	140,180.48	A	٧	1
2020-127C	Sage Pointe	Nikul A. Inamdar	Sage Pointe Developer, LLC	חד	Гра	80 1	1,877,777	Y	Z	15	ч	~	*	149,846.60	Þ	~	
2020-128C	Pointe	David O. Deutch	-	E, Non-	12	114 2	2,737,000	۲	z	15	~	~	~	145,973.33	>	<	- 1
2020-129C	Cordova Estates	Lewis V. Swezy	RS Development Corp; Lewis V. Swezy	п	Þ	190 2	2,882,000	Υ	۲	15	4	~	<	115,280.00	Þ	~	
2020-130C	Skyview	Cara Balogh	Skyview79 Developer, LLC	E, Non-	н	110 2	2,875,950	~	Z	15	~	~	*	158,961.60	Þ	~	
2020-132C	Riverside Grove	Orli Teitelbaum	-	E, Non-	1-2	110 2	2,875,950	Υ	z	15	4	~	۲	158,961.60	>	~	- 1
2020-133C	Sardens	Robert B. Balogh	Rosewood Miami Developer,	m	1	110 2	2,875,950	4	<	15	Y	4	<	158,961.60	A	~	2000
2020-134C	Ambar Club Residences	Elena M. Adames A	Ambar3, LLC	E, Non-	ь.	105 2,	2,700,000	~	z	15	~	<	4	156,342.86	Þ	~	11
2020-135C	-	Elena M. Adames	Ambar3, LLC	E, Non-	14	105 2,	,700,000	*	z	15	~	۲	~	156,342.86	Þ	~	43
2020-136C	Liberty Square Phase Four	Alberto Milo, Jr.		E, Non- ALF	м	120 2:	2270000	~	z	15	٧	<	~	120,764.00	Þ	~	32
2020-137C	Metro Grande I	Mara S. Mades	artners,	E, Non-	11	108 2,	2,530,000	Υ	Z	15	٧	٧	~	142,429.63	Þ	4	33
2020-139C	Healthcare Senior Housing	Steven Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated; Advanced Housing Corp.; JCG Real Estate Ventures, LLC	E, Non-	<u></u>	112 2,	2,700,000	Υ	z	15	~	*	≺	146,571.43	Þ	۲	10

RFA 2019-112 Board Approved Scoring Results

	U	
,	20	
١	Ö	
	ω	
	0	
	-41	

Application Number		Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Priority Level	Total Units	HC Funding Amount	Amount Funding?	Family Demo and qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUDdesignated SADDA Funding Goal?	Total Points	Proximity Funding Preference	5 g ty	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		Per Unit Development Total Corp Construction Category Funding Funding Funding Per Preference Preference Set Aside	Per Unit Development Construction Category Funding Funding Preference Preference	Per Unit Development Total Corp Construction Category Funding Funding Funding Per Preference Preference Set Aside
2020-142C*	Ambar Trail	Elena M. Adames	Ambar3, LLC	'n	1	210	2,882,000	~	Y		15	15 Y	45.00	Υ	Y	YYYY	Y Y Y 93,870.86
2020-143C	Metro Grande III	Mara S. Mades	Cornerstone Group Partners,	m	ы	84	1,950,000	~	Z	-	15	15 Y		*	× ×	Y Y Y 141,142.86	Y Y Y 141,142.86
2020-145C	The Atala	Nikul A. Inamdar	Atala Developer, LLC	E, Non-	1	134	2,600,000	٧	z		15	15 Y		٠	٠	۲ ۲	Y Y Y 147,462.69
2020-146C	Courtside Apartments, Phase II	Matthew A. Rieger	Matthew A. Rieger AMC HTG 2 Developer, LLC	E, Non-	EX.	110	2,875,950	*	z		15	15 Y			× ×	x x	Y Y Y 158,961.60
2020-147C	Harmony Tower	David O. Deutch	Pinnacle Communities, LLC	E, Non-	ы	112	2,685,000	~	z		15	15 ү		~	۲	× ×	Y Y Y 145,757,14
2020-148C	Orchid Pointe	Orli Teitelbaum	Orchid District Developer, LLC	E, Non-	ь	110	2,875,950	~	z		15	15 γ		*	Υ Υ	Y Y	Y Y Y 158,961.60
2020-149C	Gables 31	Orli Teitelbaum	Gables31 Developer, LLC	E, Non-	ы	86	2,248,470	¥	Z		15	15 Y		Υ	Υ	Y	Y Y Y 158,961.60
2020-150C	Brownsville Transit Village V	Kenneth Naylor	APC Brownsville Village V Development, LLC	п	2	120	2,660,308	~	Z		15	15 Y	15 ү ү	×	× × ×	Y Y Y 134,788.94	Y Y Y 134,788.94

2020-144C Rio Pointe on Flagler Chapman, IV ILC
E, Non- ALF
1 75
z
N
15
۲
<
149 568 00
<

On January 23, 2020, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the scoring results above.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

^{*}Mid-Rise 4 story multiplier was applied at the review committee meeting which affects the Corporation Funding Per Set-Aside.
**Total Set-Aside percentage was not included on the Application Submitted Report, but it was presented at the review committee meeting which affected the Corporation Funding Per Set-Aside Amount.

RFA 2019-112 Board Approved Preliminary Awards

7,933,000.00	(737,083.00	Total HC Kemaining
	7,933,000.00	Total IIC Allocated

One Family Applications that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity/HUD-designated SADDA Goal 2020-101C Harbour Springs Lewis V. Swezy RS Development Corp: F 1 One Elderly (ALF or Non-ALF) Application William Todd Fabbri The Richman Group of E, Non-ALF 1	150	2,851,000	٠	2 ~	F F	× ×		۲ ۲	< <	<	<
	150		4		~	γ 15	Y 15 Y	Y 15 Y Y	Y 15 Y Y	× 15 ×	Y Y Y Y Y Y
<u></u>	150		٠<		*	γ 15	ү 15 ү	ү 15 ү ү	Y 15 Y Y Y	Y 15 Y Y Y A	Y 25 Y Y Y A Y
graphic Area of Opportunity/HUD-designated SADDA Goal											
Name of Authorized Principal Name of Developers Demo Level Representative	ity Total	HC Funding S Amount	Eligible For Funding?		Qualifies for the Eligible Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD-funding? designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Total	Total Proximit Funding Points Preferen	Total Proximit Points Preferen	F Total Proximity Construction Category Points Preference Preference Preference	Total Proximity Construction Category Leveraging Funding Funding Funding Funding Classification Preference Preference Preference	Total Proximity Construction Category Points Funding Funding Funding Funding Funding Preference Preference

On January 23, 2020, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Fallure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

PECEIVED

Maureen McCarthy Daughton, LTC AN 29 AM 8: 00

MMD LAW

FINALIE GODE GRANDA

Maureen McCarthy Daughton, LLC 1400 Village Square Blvd., Ste 3-231 Tallahassee, Florida 32312

T: (850) 345-8251 Mdaughton@mmd-lawfirm.com www.mmd-lawfirm.com

Via Email January 29, 2020

Ms. Ana McGlamory, CP, FCP, FRP(Ana.McGlamory@floridahousing.org) Corporation Clerk Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 North Bronough,Suite 5000 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

RE: Notice of Intent to Protest, Request for Applications (RFA) 2019-112 Proposed Funding Selections

Dear Corporation Clerk:

On behalf of Applicant, Parc Grove, LLC, Application No.2020-105C, we hereby give notice of our intent to protest the Preliminary Award Notice and Scoring and Ranking of RFA 2019-112 posted by Florida Housing Finance Corporation on January 24, 2020 at 9:03 a.m., concerning Housing Credit Financing for Affordable Housing Developments Located in Miami-Dade County (See Attached).

A formal written petition will be submitted within ten (10) days of this Notice as required by law.

Respectfully Submitted,

Maureen M. Daughton

cc: Hugh Brown, General Counsel

- Exhibit B

RFA 2019-112 Board Approved Scoring Results

Application Number	Development Dications	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Priority Level	Units		HC Funding Eligible For Amount Funding?	Family Demo and qualifies for the of Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD. designated SADDA Funding Goal?	Total	Proximity Funding Preference	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding Preference	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	Cras	Leveraging
Eligible Applications	lications			- 8				ľ								
2020-088C	Alto Tower	Stave Protulis	EHDOC Development Services, LLC; Alto Tower	E, Non-	F	119	2,795,600	*	z	15	<	¢				a I
2020-0890	Northside Transit Village III	Kenneth Naylor	Northside Property III Development, LLC	E, Non-	-	130	2,881,980	<	ř					142,803.36		Þ
2020-0900	Quail Roost Transit Village IV	Kenneth Naylor	Quall Roost IV Development,	E, Non-	2	134	2 630 000	< .	. 2	15	~	*	٧	134,787.99		>
2020-0910	Coco Parc	Cara Balogh	24735 Coco Parc Developer,	ALF.	a		2,000,000	-	2	15	Y	У	Y	119,331.34		Þ
			EHDOC Development	-		110	2,8/5,950	~	*	15	Υ	*	۲	158,961.60	1	A
2020-0920	San Cristobal	Steve Protulis	Services, LLC, San Cristobal 27 Developer, LLC	E, Non-		90	2,115,000	Υ	z	ts .	*	۲	Х	142,880.00		>
2020-0930	Culmer Apartments II	Kenneth Naylor	APC Culmer Development II,	E, Non-	1	108	1 923 000	<								
2020-0940	City Place	Francisco Rojo	Landmark Development	F AF	- 1	110	000/526/4		2	15	*	~	4	108,257.78		Þ
2020-095C	Park Ridge	Robert B. Balogh	Park Ridge Developer, LLC	n	.	1	000,000	*	<	15	~	۲	*	150,784.00		Α
2020-096C	The Seattle	Justin Wilson	908 Affordable I Developer,	n			000,010,0	-	*	15	~	*	~	158,961 60		Þ
2020-0970	Courtside	-	AME HTG 3 Davidson TIC			_	2,882,000	4	2	15	~	Y	۲	146,021 33		Þ
	Operaments, Phase III	-		7	<u></u>	80	2,091,600	4	z	G	~	~	~	158,961,60		Þ
	Banyan Station	Terri Murray	Neighborhood Renalssance, Inc.; Stone Soup Development, Inc.	71	H	125	2,882,000	*	×	15	۲ .	٠,	~	140,180.48	- 1	>
	Princeton Crossings	Lewis V. Swezy	RS Development Corp; Lewis V. Swezy	٦	ا در	150	2,851,000	~	*	5	<	•	1		1	
		Cara Balogh	Saxony Wynwood Developer, LLC	71	<u> </u>	10%	2,719,080	×		5 2	< -			121,338.56	1	>
-	Harbour Springs	Lewis V Swezy	RS Development Corp; Lewis V Swezy	'n	р.	150	,851,000	۲		15	< -	· -	-	158,961.60	Y	Þ
		Randy E. Rieger	2nd Avenue flats Developer, E	E, Non-	-	110 2	2,875,950	۲		15	٠ .	< -	. 1	121,338.56		
100	The Orange Grove	Robert B. Balogh	E FE	E, Nan-	<u></u>	110 2	2,875,950	۲	1	25	~	≺ .	_	158,961.60		>
	dge	Francisco Rojo	Corp.	F	1	110 2	2,728,000	<	*	5	<	< ·		136,861		>
2020-105C P	Parc Grove P	Randy Rieger	Parc Grove Developer, LLC	-	<u></u>	110 2	2,875,950	۷		ž	<	-		150,784.00		Þ
2020-106C Si		Francisco Rojo	Landmark Development Corp.	Ti	-	114 2	2,827,200	<		,		7	-	158,961 60	Þ	Ĺ
2020-107C Q	Quail Roost Transit Village II	Kenneth Naylor	Quail Roost II Development,	7	-		000 (88	-		0	۲	~	Y 15	150,784.00	>	
2020-108C No	e Transit	Kenneth Naylor	Northside Property V	7	+		2 882 000	-		01	~	۲	۲ 13	134,788.92	Þ	
2020-109C* Sh	v Place	Matthew A. Rieger	Shoreview Developer,	7	+		2,002,000	~	25		4	~	Y 13	34,788.92	>	
2020-111C VII	Villa Saona St		EHDOC Development Services, LLC; Villa Sagna	E, Non-	_	106 2.0	2 550 000	< -<	: ×	+	~	*	ү 14	146,244.67	A	
2020-112C Lau	Laurel Club Os	Oscar Sol La	Laurel Club Dev, LLC, JCG							+	-	"	Υ 146	146,837.74	20	
				-	1	120 2,8	2,880,000	*	≺ 15	11700	*	<		3.5		-

Wietro Grande I		2020-136C Liberty Sc	2020-135C The Ambar	2020-134C Ambar Club Residences	ZUZU-133C Rosewoo	2020-132C Niverside Grove		2020-130C Skyview	7020-129C Cordova Estates	2020-128C Prinnack	2020-127C Sage Pointe	2020-126C Paseo del Rio	2020-125C Yeager Plaza	2026-124C Vineya	Phase Two	2020-123C RUDG	2020-122C Slate Miami	2020-121C Rosen	2020-120C Frank	2020-119C Capri		2020-118C Culm	2020-117C Resid	2020:116C* The	2020-115C Cree	2020-114C Pinn	1	Application Number
		Liberty Square Phase			Sardens					Pinnacle at Heron C	XXXX			Vineyard Villas		Commons	Miami	Rosemary Cove	Frankie Shannon Rolle Center	Capri Place		Culmer Apartments	Residences at Naranja Lakes	The Mosaic	Creek View	Pinnacle Landings	Parkview	Name of Development
Mara S. Mades	De De			Flena M. Adames A	Robert B. Balogh LLC	Orli Teitelbaum D	1	-		David O Deutch P	Nikul A. Inamdar S	Alberto Milo, Jr.	Kareem Brantley	Marc S. Plonskier	America (O tento) Tr		William Todd Fabbri	Stephanie Berman	Mara S Mades	Barry Goldmeier		Kenneth Naylor	Robert Hoskins	William Todd Fabbri	Randy E. Rieger	David O. Deutch	Fabbri	Authorized Principal Representative
iel stone Group Partners,	-	c Phase Four		oar3, LLC	LLC Miami Developer,	Daveloper, LLC	per, LLC		RS Development Corp; Lewis	Pinnacle Communities, LLC	Sage Pointe Developer, LLC	Paseo del Rio Developer, LLC	Yaeger Plaza Developer, LLC; Stone Soup Development, Inc.; The Yaeger Clinic, Inc.	Gatehouse Development Corp.; Magelian Housing, LLC	Two Developer, LLC	RUDG The Commons Phase	The Richman Group of Florida Inc	Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc.; Romero Capital, LLC	Cornerstone Group Partners, LLC	Advanced Housing Corp.; JCG Roal Estate Ventures, LLC	DDA Development II.C.	APC Culmer Development,	NuRock Development Partners, Inc.	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	Creek View NM8 Developer,	Pinnacle Communities, LLC	Florida, Inc.	
ALF	ALF	E. Non-	E, Non-	E, Non-	п	AU	ALF C. NOIT-	F Non	AUF	E, Non-	-	E, Non-	E, Non-	E. Non-	'n	40	E, Non-	т.	T	E, Non-		-	- 2	E, Non-		E, Non-	*	Demo
ъ	-	-		-	ш	(2)	-	-	-	+	-	H	p.	-	1		D	pat)	þ			,	-	-	ы	p	٠.	Priority Level
108 2,53	120 227	105 2,70			110 2,8	110 2,8	110 2,8	190 2,8		0 0	_	125 2.	105	97 1	180 2		105 2	118	77	1112	ox ox		140	105	110	114	99	/ Total Units
2,530,000	2270000	2,700,000	2,700,000	200	2,875,950	2,875,950	2,875,950	2,882,000	2,737,000	200,000	277 777	2,882,000	2510000	1,895,000	2882000		2.500 000	2,859,899	1,480,000	2,675,000	1,950,892		2,582,000	1,925,000	2,875,950	2,735,000	2,500,000	HC Fundin Amount
4	Υ	*	*		≺	4	4	4	۲	-	•	۲	*	٠,	۲		<	۲ .	~	~	Α.		٠,	٠.	~	4	٧	HC Funding Eligible For Amount Funding?
Z	Z	Z	z		Υ	Z	Z	Υ	z	2	: ;	z	z	N	*	2	•	٧	~	z	N		< 1	z	γ	2	~	qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUDdesignated SADDA Funding Goal?
15	15	15	15	t	7	15	15	15	15	15	1	ň	15	15	ᅜ	5		t	15	15	15	t	i t	i	15 3	5	15	Total Points
۲	*	~	~		4	Y	۲	< 	~	_	-	<	<	۲	*	<		۲	۲	≺	-<	.,	٠, ٠	65 -	٠.	<	۲	Proximity Funding Preference
<	×	Υ	<	_	•	×	۷	4	ĸ	~	*	•	*	۲	*	*		Y	~	٠,	*	~			-	¢	<	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference
1	۲	Y Y	γ 1	۲	-		۲	Υ 1	۲	4	~	_	۲	۲	*	ч		<	۲	۲	ч	~	٧	*	: 4		<	Development Category Funding Preference
	120.764.00	156,342.86	156,342.86	158,961.60	700,001.00	000	158 961 60	115,280,00	145,973.33	149,846.60	140,180.48		145,340.95	148,474 23	127,768.67	144,761.90		147 357 51	116,862.34	145,214.29	134,788.90	117,739.20	125,400.00	158,961.60	145,866.67	1.0,000,001		Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside
3	>	Þ	Þ	Þ	>	. .	•	>	>	Þ	Α		>	>	>	>	3		>	Þ	Þ	Þ	Þ	Þ	>	>		Leveraging
	+	۲	۲	*	<	+		۲	۲	٧	Y		٠<	۲	۲	~	-		<	۲	<	4	4	4	<	~		Florida Job Creation Preference
×	r	43	62	53	14	×	;	41	39	24 20	7		51	31	29	4	3/		S	22	56	2	26	2	ω	27		Lottery

Mara S. Mades LLC Nikul A. Inamdar Atala Developer, LLC	F 1 E, Non- 1		134			2,600,000	7,600,000 Y	2,500,000 Y N	1,950,000 Y N 15	2,500,000 Y N 15 Y	1,950,0000 Y N 15 Y Y Y 1	2,550,000 Y N 15 Y Y Y
Cornerstone Group Partners,		-	2		1,950,000	1,950,000 Y	1,950,000 Y	1,950,000 Y N 15	1,950,000 Y N 15 Y	1,950,000 Y N 15 Y Y	1,950,000 Y N 15 Y Y Y 1	1,950,000 Y N 15 Y Y Y 141,142.86
	F 1			950000		<	<	<	<	c .	<	У У У 93,870 86
								5		, co		
Elena M. Adames Ambar3, LLC	F 1	210		2,882,000	2,882,000 Y	1	*	7	× × 55	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	× × 15	× 100
	ALT .	212		2,700,000	2,700,000 Y	Z,700,000 Y N	۲	×	×	у N 15 У	Y N 15 Y Y	Y N 15 Y Y Y
Rural Neighborhoods,			- 1			G G G	Tribuil Godali	TEPAN SILIVINI	Throng Great	i i PDO Sumerer	THE STATE	TRAIN Summer
Principal Name of Developers Representative	Demo Prio	Priority Total Level Units	in =		HC Funding Eligible For Amount Funding?	Amount Funding?	Amount Funding? Opportunity / HUD. Points designated SADDA Funding Funding? Funding	Amount Funding Eligible for Geographic Area of Amount Funding? Opportunity / HUD. Jeffinding Coadba	HC Funding Eligible for Geographic Area of Total Proximity Construction Amount Funding? Opportunity HUD. Points Funding Funding Goals Funding Funding Goals Funding Goals	Amount Funding? Opportunity HUD. Points Funding Funding Funding? Opportunity HUD. Points Funding Funding Goals	HC Funding Eligible for Geographic Area of Total Total Corp Amount Funding? Opportunity / HUD. Points Funding	HC Funding Eligible for Geographic Area of Total Funding Construction Category Amount Funding? Opportunity / HUD. Points Funding Fundi

Name of sevelopment	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Priority Level	Total Units	HC Funding Amount	Eligible For Funding?	Family Demo and qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / HUD. designated SADDA	Total	Proximity Funding Preference	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding	Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside			Lottery
าร			į					Funding Goal?								
ces at Opa-		NuRock Development														
	Robert Hoskins	Partners, Inc.	F		90	1,657,475	z	N.	ń	•						
aven	Justin Wilson	908 Affordable II Developer,	n					2	15	~	~	*	139,964.56		۲	61
		TIC	-	-		2,882,000	2	4	15	4	۲,	¥	156,451.43		<	0
		SMA II Developers, LLC	71	-	76	825000	z	z	ň	<						8
									t	-	<	*	164,250.00		~	35
		villages il Developers, LLC	-TI	5 -3	120 2	882000	z	z	15	4	۲	۲	146,021.33	-11-	¢	
		Serenity Grove Developers,	E, Non-	ш	75 1	650000	z	2	ń	•						8
		al American Properties,	E, Non-						1	-	_	٧	165,528.00	- Toron 111	~	20
			ALF			460,000	2	z	15	*	≺	<	1/0 5/5 00			
iplier was applie entage was not i	d at the review com neluded on the Appl	mittee meeting which affects t	he Corporat	fon Fundin	g Per Set-	Aside.				_						1 %
	Application Name of Number Development Incligible Applications 2020-131C Decia 2020-131C The Haven 2020-138C* Apartments II 2020-140C Apartments II 2020-141C* Sevenity Grove D20-141CC* Apartments Phase II 2020-141C Apartments II 2020-141C Apartm	Name of Authorized Principal Representative Principal Representative Principal Representative Principal Representative Principal Representative Principal Representative Representative Principal Re	Name of Authorized Principal Representative Representative Representative Representative Representative Representative Principal Pattners, Inc. 908 Affordable II Developers, Inc. 908 Affordable II Developers, Inc. 908 Affordable II Developers, IIIC Principal Oliver L. Gross SMA II Developers, IIIC Representative Properties, IIIC Representative Prop	Name of Authorized Principal Representative Pr	Name of Animal Principal Principal Representative Report, But It was presented at their review committee remeeting which affects the Corporation Funding represented at their research of Fireful Principal Report, but it was presented at their research of Fireful Principal Report, but it was presented at their research of Fireful Principal P	Application Name of Authorized Principal Princ	Name of Authorized Principal Name of Developers Demo Princity Total HC Funding	Name of Authorized Authorized Principal Representative Principal P	Name of Principal Principa	Name of Principal Principa	Provinity HC Funding Eligible For Geographic Areas of Total Funding Control Geographic Areas of Total Funding Control Geographic Areas of Total Funding Goal? Provinity	Total HC Funding Eligible For Gaucific for the Qualifies for the Gaucific Area of Total Proximity Personand Funding Copportunity / HUD. Points Funding Gastrated SADDA Funding Gast?	Protein HC Funding Cligible For Geographic Area of Units Providing Construction Product Providing Construction Product Pro	Partial HC Funding Eligible For Geographic Area of Units Provinity Units Amount Funding Geographic Area of Units Provinity Provinity	Per Units	Family Demo and Qualifies for the Units Amount Funding Eligible For Geographic Area of Units Amount Funding Construction Geographic Area of Control Construction Geographic Area of Funding Construction Geographic Funding Fu

On January 23, 2020, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corpuration approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the scoring results above.

Any unsurcestill Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Fabreto file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), fla. Stat.