# BEFORE THE STATE OF FLORIDA FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION



FEB 13, 2023 1:42 PM

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

JIC PALATKA APARTMENTS, LLC,

Petitioner,

318	
r. 1	

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION,

FHFC Case I	io. 2023-008BP	
FHFC RFA	No. 2022-201	
Petitioner's A	Application No. 2023-0240	2

Respondent.		

# FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST AND PETITION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Fla. Stat., and Florida Housing Finance Corporation Request for Application ("RFA") No. 2022-201, at Section Six, and Rules 28-106.205 and 67-60.009, Fla. Admin. Code, Petitioner JIC PALATKA APARTMENTS, LLC, ("Petitioner" or "JIC Palatka"), an applicant for funding in RFA No. 2022-201 for Housing Credit Financing for Affordable Housing Developments in Medium and Small Counties, hereby files its formal written protest to contest the proposed scoring, eligibility and ineligibility decisions and awards of funding in RFA 2021-201. Specifically, JIC Palatka contests the determination that the selected Small County applicant, Pare West (Appl. No. 2023-058C), is eligible for consideration for funding; and asserts that its own application for Palatka Apartments (Appl. No. 2023-024C) should be selected for funding. In support of this Protest and Petition, Petitioner states as follows:

#### Parties

1. The agency affected is the Florida Housing Finance Corporation (the "Corporation", "Florida Housing," or "FHFC"), whose address is 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassec, Florida 32301-1329. The solicitation number assigned to this process for

the award of competitive federal law income housing tax credits ("tax credits" or "HC") is Request for Applications ("RFA") 2022-201. By notice posted on its website, FHFC has given notice of its intent to award tax credits to eight (8) applicants, not including Petitioner. FHFC also posted notice at the same time of its determination of which applicants were eligible for consideration for funding and which were not, and whether applicants qualify for various preferences in the selection process.

- Petitioner, JIC Palatka Apartments, is a Florida limited liability company, whose business address is 7160 Chagrin Road, Suite 250, Chagrin Falls, OH 44023. For purposes of this proceeding, Petitioner's address is that of its undersigned counsel, M. Christopher Bryant, Oertel, Fernandez, Bryant & Atkinson, P.A., P.O. Box 1110, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1110, telephone number 850-521-0700, facsimile number 850-521-0720, email cbryant@ohfc.com.
- 3. Petitioner submitted an application, assigned Application No. 2023-024C, in RFA 2022-201 seeking an award of tax credits in the annual amount of \$1,060,360. Petitioner proposes to construct a new 40-unit development to be known as Palatka Apartments in Putnam County, designated by Florida Housing as a Small County. Petitioner proposes that 90% of the units will be set aside for low-income tenants making no more than 60% of Area Median Income ("AMI"), and 10% of the units for Extremely Low Income tenants making no more than 40% AMI. FHFC has announced its intention to award funding to eight (8) developments, not including Petitioner.

# Notice

4. On Friday, January 27, 2023, at 10:47 a.m., Petitioner and all other participants in RFA 2022-201 received notice that FHFC's Board of Directors had adopted FHFC staff's determinations of which applications were eligible or ineligible for consideration for funding, and to select certain eligible applicants for awards of tax credits, subject to satisfactory completion of the credit underwriting process. Such notice was provided by the posting of two spreadsheets, one listing the scoring, eligibility, and preference status of applications in RFA 2022-201 (copy attached as Exhibit "A") and one identifying the applications which FHFC proposed to fund (copy attached as Exhibit "B") on the Florida Housing website, <a href="https://www.floridahousing.org">www.floridahousing.org</a>. Petitioner timely filed a Notice of Protest at 8:34 a.m. on Wednesday, February 1, 2023, copy attached to this Petition as Exhibit "C." Petitioner's Formal Written Protest and Petition for Formal Administrative Proceedings is being filed within 10 calendar days of that notice; the tenth day fell on Saturday, February 11, so by operation of Rule 28-106.103, Fla. Admin. Code, the filing deadline was extended to Monday, February 13, 2023.

### Substantial Interests Affected

5. Petitioner's substantial interests are being determined in the instant proceeding because Petitioner is an applicant for low income housing tax credit funding. Petitioner was not preliminarily selected for an award of such funding. Petitioner cannot proceed with the proposed construction of its development for low income tenants without the award of the requested funding.

# Factual Background

#### RFA 2022-201 Ranking and Selection Process

- 6. Through the RFA 2020-201 process, FHFC sought to award up to an estimated \$14,534,550 of Housing Credits for the construction of affordable housing developments in Medium Counties, and an estimated \$1,146,250 to applicants in Small Counties
- 7. Generally, applicants for a Family or Elderly Non-ALF (Assisted Living Facility) development must agree to set-aside at least 80% of the units for persons making at or below 60% of Area Median Income (AMI). Applicants proposing to rely on an "Average Income Test" to establish the AMI levels of their tenants as a group were required to set aside at least 50% of the

units for persons making at or below 60% AMI, and could set aside some units for tenants making up to 80% of AMI, provided that the average of the income levels for all set-aside units does not exceed 60% of AMI. In this RFA, applicants were also required to set-aside a portion of the units for tenants who are considered "Extremely Low Income" (ELI), which is a lower percentage of AMI that varies by county. For Putnam County, where Petitioner proposes to construct its development, the ELI level is 40% of AMI. Petitioner, which does not intend to rely on the Average Income Test, proposes 90% of its units at 60% AMI, and 10% of its units at 40% AMI.

- 8. Applicants request in their applications a specific dollar amount of housing credits to be given to the Applicant each year for a period of 10 years. Applicants typically sell the rights to that future stream of income tax credits (through the sale of almost all of the ownership interest in the Applicant entity) to an investor to generate the majority of the capital necessary to construct the development.
- Applicants in this RFA are assigned numerical scores in two areas, worth 5 points each. Those areas are:
  - submission of a Principal Disclosure Form to Florida Housing for approval at least
     14 days prior to the Application Deadline; and
  - bookmarking the Application attachments prior to submission.
- 10. The RFA included several specific funding goals for applicants proposing developments in Medium Counties, identifying types or locations of developments sought to be funded. There were no specific funding goals for applicants from Small Counties, other than the goal to fund one Small County application.

## **Application Submission and Processing**

11. Florida Housing received fifty-two (52) Applications seeking funding in RFA

2022-201. Five applications were received for developments in Small Counties, and the remaining forty-seven were in Medium Counties. The applications were received, processed, deemed eligible or ineligible, scored, and ranked, presumably pursuant to the terms of RFA 2022-201; FHFC Rule Chapters 67-48 and 67-60, Fla. Admin. Code; and applicable federal regulations. Applications are considered for funding only if they are deemed "eligible," based on whether the Application complies with Florida Housing's various application content requirements. Of the 52 Applications submitted to FHFC in RFA 2022-201, forty-seven (47) were found eligible, and five (5), including one Small County application, were found ineligible. The spreadsheet created by Florida Housing and attached hereto as Exhibit "A" identifies all eligible and ineligible applications (and other relevant information).

- 12. All Applicants were required to designate their Application as either a Priority 1 or Priority 2 application. Generally, and with some exceptions, natural persons or corporate entities that are part of the Applicant structure of more than one Application in this RFA can designate up to three Applications as Priority 1, and any additional Applications involving such natural persons or corporate entities are deemed Priority 2. Priority 1 Applications receive more favorable consideration in the funding selection process than Priority 2 Applications. Petitioner designated Palatka Apartments a Priority 1 application. The other four Small County Applications were also designated Priority 1.
- 13. The RFA specifies various "Application Sorting Orders" to rank applicants for potential funding, depending on which of the goals the applicant seeks to satisfy (LGAO, Revitalization, or other). The first consideration in sorting eligible applications for potential funding is priority level and the second is Application scores. The maximum score an Applicant can achieve is 10 points. All five of the Small County Applications, including Petitioner, received

a score of 10 points.

- 14. As demonstrated in this RFA, many applicants achieve tie scores, and in anticipation of that occurrence FHFC designed the RFA and rules to incorporate a series of "tie-breakers." For Small County Applicants, the tie-breakers after Priority Level and Application Score were as follows, in the order shown:
  - (1) A Per Unit Construction Funding Preference, awarded to all New Construction or Redevelopment Applicants, and to Rehabilitation applicants proposing to incur at least \$32,500 per unit in Actual Construction Costs. (JIC Palatka qualified for this preference.)
  - (2) A Development Category Funding Preference, which favors New Construction and non-Preservation Rehabilitation applicants over Preservation Rehabilitation applicants. (JIC Palatka qualified for this preference.)
  - (3) A Leveraging Classification that favors applicants who request a smaller amount in housing credits per unit than other applicants. Generally, the "least expensive" 80% of eligible applicants (Group "A") receive a preference over the "most expensive" 20% (Group "B"). (JIC Palatka qualified as a Group "A" application.)
  - (4) Proximity Funding Preference, which assigns Proximity points based on the distance from the proposed development to services needed by tenants, such as public transportation, grocery stores, pharmacies, medical facilities, and public schools. (JIC Palatka qualified for this preference.)
  - (5) A Florida Job Creation Preference, which assumes a certain number of instate jobs will be created based on the development type (new construction vs.

- rehabilitation) and the Applicants housing credit request amount. (JIC Palatka qualified for this preference.)
- (6) Lottery numbers randomly assigned to the applications when they are submitted to Florida Housing. JIC Palatka's lottery number was 16.
- 15. FHFC employs a "Funding Test" to be used in the selection of applications for funding in this RFA. The "Funding Test" requires that the amount of Housing Credits funding remaining (unawarded) in the applicant's County size group when a particular application is being considered for selection must be enough to fully fund that applicant's Housing Credit request amount; partial funding will not be given.
- 16. In selecting among eligible applicants for funding, FHFC also applies a "County Award Tally." The County Award Tally is designed to prevent a disproportionate concentration of funded developments in any one county. Generally, before a second application can be funded in any given county, all other counties which are represented by an eligible applicant must receive an award of funding, subject to the Funding Test.
- 17. Florida Housing appointed several employees to serve as the Review Committee to evaluate and score the applications, and the Committee held a public meeting on January 17, 2023 to announce scores and conduct ranking and funding selection. Following eligibility determinations and applications of funding preferences and the selection process, Florida Housing's staff Review Committee recommended selecting eight applicants for funding, including one Small County Applicant. The Small County Applicant that was selected was Application number 2023-058C, Parc West, from Okeechobee County. Parc West was selected instead of Palatka Apartments because Parc West had a better lottery number (#10) than Palatka Apartments (#16).

- 18. After selection of Parc West, there remained only \$96,250 in housing credit available for Small County. This was an insufficient amount of remaining funding to fulfill any Small County applicant's funding request, so under the terms of the RFA no further Small County applications were recommended by the review committee for funding.
- 19. Florida Housing's Board of Directors met on January 27, 2023, and its agenda included consideration of the recommendations for RFA 2022-201. The Board of Directors adopted all staff recommendations as to eligibility, scoring, and funding recommendations in RFA 2022-201. As noted previously, these results were posted to the Corporation's website.
- 20. Petitioner challenges the selection of Parc West in Okeechobee County, Application number 2023-058C, as the Small County applicant, as it does not meet all of the RFA's eligibility requirements. If Parc West is not eligible or selection, then JIC Palatka would be entitled to selection for funding as the next highest ranked Small County applicant.

# **Eligibility Issue for Parc West**

21. RFA 2022-201 requires all Applicants to demonstrate their Ability to Proceed with developing and constructing their proposed development. One of the required elements of Ability to Proceed is the performance of an Environmental Site Assessment ("ESA") by a qualified environmental firm. The firm then must complete and sign a Verification of Environmental Safety – Phase I Site Assessment form (Form Rev. 07-2022). The RFA, at page 44 of 133 (copy attached to this Petition as Exhibit D) requires that an applicant provide "the applicable properly completed and executed [FHFC] Verification of Environmental Safety – Phase I Site Assessment form" (emphasis added) as Attachment 11 to the Application. The form includes a signature block to include the name, address, and phone number of the environmental firm that performed the ESA, and lines for "Authorized Signature," "Print or Type Name of Signatory," and "Date."

- 22. The ESA form included in the Parc West application is attached to this Petition as Exhibit E. It does not contain a signature on the "Authorized Signature" line, and there is no name typed in for the Signatory, only the title "President." Parc West's form has thus been neither completed nor executed, contrary to the clear mandatory instruction of the RFA.
- 23. The RFA also sets out, at page 75 and 76 of 133, a lengthy list of Eligibility Items. The list is accompanied by the warning that "Only Applications that meet all of the following Eligibility Items will be eligible for funding and consideration for funding selection." One of the Eligibility Items listed is "Environmental Site Assessment demonstrated."
- 24. Parc West has clearly not demonstrated an Environmental Site Assessment, because the form purporting to document it was not completed and executed as required by the terms of the RFA.
- 25. Since Parc West is ineligible for consideration for funding, Palatka Apartments is the highest ranked eligible Small County application and is entitled to selection for funding.

#### **Disputed Issues**

- 26. Petitioner has initially identified the following disputed issues of material fact, which it reserves the right to supplement as additional facts become known to it:
  - a. Whether the Parc West application number 2023-058C included a properly completed and executed Verification of Environmental Safety Phase I Environmental Site Assessment form. Petitioner contends that it did not, and that it would be unsupported by fact and contrary to fact, and thus arbitrary and capricious, to determine that the Parc West application included a properly completed and executed form.
  - Whether Parc West demonstrated in its application and attachments that an

Environmental Site Assessment was performed. Petitioner contends that it did not; and that a determination that it did would be contrary to the RFA requirements in a manner that is arbitrary, capricious, and clearly erroneous.

- c. Whether the Parc West application is eligible for consideration for funding in this RFA. Petitioner contends that Parc West's application is ineligible, and that a determination that Parc West is eligible would be contrary to the RFA requirements in a manner that is arbitrary, capricious, and clearly erroneous.
- d. Whether, if Parc West is ineligible, the Palatka Apartments application is entitled to selection for funding as the highest scoring eligible Small County applicant. Petitioner contends that its Palatka Apartments application is entitled to selection for funding, and that the failure to select it would be contrary to the RFA in a manner which is arbitrary, capricious, and clearly erroneous.
- 27. Petitioner has not at this time identified any further disputed issues of fact, but it reserves the right to amend this Formal Protest as additional facts become known to it.

# Concise Statement of Ultimate Facts, Relief Sought, and Entitlement to Relief

- 28. As its concise statement of ultimate fact, Petitioner asserts that the Parc West application number 2023-058C is ineligible for consideration for funding because it does not include a properly completed and executed Environmental Site Assessment form. Palatka Apartments, application number 2023-024C, is entitled to be selected for funding as the highest ranked eligible Small County application.
- 29. Petitioner seeks entry of recommended and final orders finding the Parc West application ineligible, and finding Petitioner's application to be the highest ranked eligible Small County applicant. Petitioner is entitled to this relief by the terms and conditions of the FHFC's

RFA; by FHFC Rule Chapters 67-48 and 67-60, Fla. Admin. Code; and by Chapters 120 and 420, Florida Statutes, including but not limited to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes.

# Request for Settlement Meeting

30. Pursuant to Section 120.57(3)(d), Fla. Stat., Petitioner requests an opportunity to meet with Florida Housing to resolve this matter by mutual agreement within seven business days after filing. Petitioner reserves the right to agree to extend the time for such a settlement meeting.

FILED AND SERVED this 13th day of February, 2023.

# /s/ M. Christopher Bryant

M. CHRISTOPHER BRYANT Florida Bar No. 434450 OERTEL, FERNANDEZ, BRYANT & ATKINSON, P.A. P.O. Box 1110 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1110

Telephone: 850-521-0700
Telecopier: 850-521-0720
Primary: cbryant@ohfc.com
Secondary: bpetty@ohfc.com

Attorney for Petitioner JIC Palatka Apartments, LLC

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original of the foregoing Formal Written Protest and Petition for Administrative Proceedings has been filed by e-mail with the Corporation Clerk, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329 (CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org), and a copy via e-mail to the following this 13th day of February, 2023:

Hugh Brown, General Counsel
Betty Zachem, Assistant General Counsel
Florida Housing Finance Corporation
227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329
Hugh.brown@floridahousing.org
Betty.zachem@floridahousing.org
Add'l: ana.mcglamory@floridahousing.org

Attorneys for Respondent, Florida Housing Finance Corporation

/s/ M. Christopher Bryant ATTORNEY

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Mame of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount		Priority Level	PHA Area of Opportunity	Qualifies for LGAD Goal?	LGAQ/ Revitalization Plan?	LGAO/ multiple years of continuous support?	LGAO - lower preference?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Gool?	Qualifies for the SunRali Gast?	SunRail - lower preference e-2	Total Points	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding Profession	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preference		Lettery
Digible Applic			92-3				_			00		0 20					000	-				0 0			100
- XX 14 55 X 5 5 5	(2007) (CO) (Consequence of the	Manatos	M	Shawn Wilson	Blue CE Developer, LLC Boundstone Development,	-		\$2,040,000	· · ·	0.1	N N	N	N N	N N	N/A	N Y	N N	N N	10	Y	Y	A	y y	- Y	36
5053-051C	Cypress Point Estates	Marion	-	Citton E Phillips	U.C. HTG Verp Village Developer,	E, Non-	72	\$1,765,000	· · ·	200					N/A		2		10	Y	V	-	v	*	29
2023-023C	Vero Village	Indian River	M	Matthew A. Rieger	ric	ALF	- 28	\$2,039,900	-		N	۲.	Α.	. N	N/A	N	N	N.	10		-	Α.	-	Ψ.	36
2023-023C	Avon Park Apertments	Highlands	M	Timothy M. Morgan	JIC Florida Development, LLC	,	80	52,038,900	Ψ.	1	N.	. 4	- Y	-N	ON/AC	- N	N	N.	10	. (Y	400	A	(A.	90	51
2023-024C	Polatka Apartments	Putnam	5	Timothy M. Morgan Vaughn E.	PC Florida Development, LLC Zimmerman Properties SE.	F	40	\$1,060,360	Α.	-4	N)	NO.	- N	:19	.N/A	- N	ON.	N:	10		Y S	A	:,9	Υ.	16
2023-025C	Arendelle Cok on Puller	Leon	M	Zimmerman	uc	£	72	\$1,650,000	, X:	. 1	N:	N	N	- N	N/A		N.	N:	3.	. У	Y)	A	: y	χ.	15
2021-026C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake	Citna	M	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer, LLC	E, Non-	111	\$2,039,900	Ä.	1	N.	100	N	34	N/A	N	N	93	10	· · · ·	<b>K</b> 3	:A	N.	Υ.	13
2023-0270	Forest Glen	@revard	м	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Forest Glen Developet, LLC: HFH Forest Glen Developer, LLC	E. Non-	72	52,039,900	X	а	N:	Υ.	N	-N	N/A	:N	(N)	N	10	Ξ¥	¥E	а	; y	¥0	44
2023-028C	Rupfrian Manor	Cacambia	301	Fernie Sandell	Paces Preservation Partners, LLC	E, Non-	102	\$2,040,000	(Y)		N.	Ψ.		N.	N/A	- 8	N.	N/	10	EX.	XS.	A	, y	Υ.	20
2023-029C	Azalea Pointe	Patran	s	Steven Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated, Odyssey Development Group L. LLC	F	30	\$1,035,000	*	34	90	N.	(00)	:N	N/A	N	N	N	10	×	Yes	8	98	¥.	46
2053-030C	Marc East	Oksechobee	5	Stewers Birk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated, Odyssey Development Broup I, LLC	7	34	\$1,050,000	<i>y</i> :	:1	M	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	N:	10	×	Y	:0	×.	×	22
2023-031C	Conserville Pointe	Leon:	M	Michael Ruane	CORE FL Developer VII LLC	F	52	\$1,564,000	¥.	1	N	N	N.	N	N/A		N	N.	10	Y	90	9	¥	¥	42
2023-012C	Trusville Apartments	Browned	м	Timothy M. Morgan	JEC Florida Development, LLC	r	.00	\$2,038,900	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N.	N.	10	Y	Y	A	Y	Ψ.	41
9023-033C	Twin Lakes Estates - Phase	Aya	м	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Twin Lakes III Developer, LLC; Folk County Housing Developers, Inc.	£	86	52,039,900	٧	2	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	N	to	٧	€.	А	Y	¥	53
2023-034C	Anial Proceton	Les	М	Michael K. Allan	Revital Development Group, LLC: LCHA Developer, LLC	×	60	52,040,000	96	36	NS	(A)	N.	N	N/A	:¥	N.	N	30	÷.	¥3	3	÷.	ÿS	32
2023-035C	Pine Island Apartments	Hemanda	M	Donald W.Paxton	BCP Development 22 LLC	F	74	52,040,000	Y	1	м	N	N	N	N/A	*	N	N	10	Y	¥.	A	Y	Y	50
2023-037E	Flagler Pointe Apartments	Flagler	М	Donald W Paston	GCP Development 22 LLC		70	\$2,040,000	Y	1	N	N	h	N	N/A	v	- 4	N	10	Y	7	A	Ÿ	Ŷ	28
2023-0300	Ouk Hill Townhomes	Piermando	M	Donald W Paston	BCP Development 22 LLC	1	74	\$2,040,000	У.	. 1	N.	N.	N	. 8	N/A	Y	N	N.	10	Y	(C)	A	Y.	Y.	52
2023-040C	Hermosa North Fort Myers	tre	М	Michael R. Allan	Revital Development Group, LLC: LOHA Developer, LLC	E. Non-	72	52,040,000	У.	1	N :	Υ.	N	х.	N/A	N	ON.	N	10	y	45	Α	: y	¥0	3
2023-043C	Bayonet Gardens	Pasco	M	Christopher L Shear	MHP Bayonet Gardens Developer, LLC	E, Non-	114	\$2,040,000	¥	1	N	- 1	Ψ.	¥	N/A	N	N	N	10	У	Ý	A	Y	Y	24
2023-042C	Poinciana Parc	Oscerola	M	Oscar Sel	Poinciana Parc Dev. SLC	E, Non-	86	\$2,040,000	¥	1	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Y	N	10	Y	Y	A	Ý	¥	9
2023-043C	Autumn Palms at Sayshore II	Lee	м	Michael R. Allan	Revital Development Group, LLC; LCHA Developer, LLC	£	45	\$1,675,000	у.	4	N.	N.	N	N.	N/A	N	N.	N.	10	у.	X.:		.y	y.	4
2023-044E	The Points at Piney-Z	Lepn	M	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties,		80	\$2,019,000	, Ÿ.	/4	N	N	N.	N	N/A	Y	N	N N	10	Ý	Y	A	Ý	Ÿ	. 4
2023-045C	The Enclave at Northshore	Bay	М	Joseph Chapman	Reyal American Properties,	E. Non-	86	51,999,399	Ÿ	34	N		N.	. V	N/A	N	N	N	10	¥	¥7.	A	¥	¥	17
2023-046C	Pine Meadows	Escambia	м	I. David Page	Southport Development, Inc., a WA cooppration doing business in TL as Southport Development Services, inc.	,	96	\$2,000,000	Ý	3	N.	(N)	N	N	N/A	₩.	М	N	10	5 <b>9</b> .	¥65	Ä	·y.	¥6	47
2021-047C	Crystal Coast	Citna	м	J. David Page	Southport Development, Inc., a WA corporation doing business in FL as Southport Development Services, Inc.	×	н	\$2,040,000	×	a	M:	N.	N	п	:N/A::	ÿ <b>y</b>	N	N:	10	y	VS.	А	y	×	18
2023-0480	Residences at Dade City	Рацсо	м	Robert Hoskins	NuRock Development Partners, Inc.; R Hosell Development, LLC; R Block Development, LLC	×	106	\$2,040,000	¥.	1	No.	(M)	N	Э.	N/A	×	(N)	N:	10	Æ <b>y</b> ,	<b>Y</b> 2	A	æ.	Y	37
9023-049C	Parkside Village	Leon	М	Michael Ruane	CORE Parkside Village Developer LLC	£	90	\$1,740,000	y.	1	N.	N.	N.	N	N/A	. *	N	8.5	10	Y	Y	8	Y	Y.:	75
2023-050C	Villar at Academy Place	Seminale	М	Joseph Chambers	Caliston Academy Developer, LLC; SCHA Developer, LLC	F	60	\$1,950,000	¥.	74	N.	6	N	¥	N/A	¥	N	N	10	Ξ¥	¥8	N .	Ň	Ý	33

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County	Name of Authorises Principal Representative	f Marrie of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount			PHA Area of Opportunity	Qualifies for LGAD Goal?	LGAG/ Rovitalization Plan?	LGAD/ multiple years of continuous support?	LGAO - lower preference?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SAODA Funding Gool?	Qualifies for the SunRali Gast?	SunRail - lower preference e2	Total Points	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding Preference	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Profesence	Florida Job Creation Preference	Lettery
2023-051C	Bayside Breeze	Okalozia	м	Carol Gardner	TEDC Affordable Communities, Inc.; Bayade Development of Fort Walton, LLC; 42 Pertners, LLC	E, Non- ALP	72	52,040,000	Y.	3	N:	· c	N	N.	N/A	N	N	N:	10	×	10	8	×	*:	2
2021-052C	Baywide Cordern	Okalogia	м	Carol Gardner	TEDC Affordable Communities, Inc.; Bayeide Development of Fort Walton, LLC; 42 Portners, LLC	,	72	\$2,000,000	×	3	NS	. 10	1.80	2	N/A	.M	N	N	10	Y.	X	A	Y.	×	43
2023-053C	Longwood Gardens	Citrus	М	Oscar Sel	Longwood Gardens Dev. LLC	E, Non-	80	52,037,000	y	i.	N	ř.	N	N	N/A	N	N	N	10	¥	ÿ	A	Ÿ	¥.	35
2023-054C	Trinky Village	Polk	м	Charles E Anderson	Trinity Village Dev, LLC; GM Trinity Village Dev, LLC	E, Not-	90	52,039,000	Y	3	N	Ÿ.	N	N	N/A	N	N	N.	10	Y	Y.	A	Y	Y	25
2023-055C	Lakeside Flats	Leon	М	Brett Green	Citrus Gardens Developer, RLC	F	72	\$2,040,000	36	ï	N.	60	N.	n	N/A	39	9	N	10	) ý	¥.0	8	, y	36.0	30
2021-056C	Cardinal Daks	Citrus	M	Paula McDonold Rhodes	Invictus Development, LLC; Urban Affondable Development, LLC; ADC Communities II, LLC	£	80	\$2,040,000	<b>y</b> ;	a	NS	¥2.	N	N	(N/A)	y	N.	AC.	10	, v	43	А	3.8	X.	111
2023-057C	Minnesota Ave Apartments	Volusia	M	C. Heinter Nelson	ECS Taledo Blade Developer, LLC	£	68	\$2,019,996	Y	1	N.	-60	.0	N	N/A	. N.	N	N	10	Y	y):	A	Y.	y)	26
2023-Q5BC	Parc West	Okrechobee	5	Steven Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated, Odyssey, Development Group 1, LLC	E, Non- ALF	36	\$1,050,000	æ	34	N	N	N	N.	N/A	N.	N	N:	10	.y.	<b>Y</b> 3	A	ž.	X1	10
2023-059C	Madison Oaks East	Marien	м	Patrick E Law	American Residential Communities, LLC; New South Residential, LLC	E, Non-	81	\$2,040,000	¥	2	8	¥:	N	¥	N/A	м	N	N	10	Ÿ	Y	A	N	Ý	s
2023-060C	Vesta Esperanza Villago	Charlotte	м	Kory Cearo	Middleburg Development, LLC; Newstar Development, LLC; Norstar Development USA, L.P.	,	72	\$2,040,000	¥	े	Ñ	*	N	N	N/A	N	N	N	10	y	Y	A	y	¥	39
2023-061C	Grove Manor Phase II	Polit	м	Darren Smith	LWHA Development, ILC; SHAG Grove Masor Phase I Developer, LLC	£	74	\$2,040,000	Y	ं	N	€:	y.	×	N/A	N	N	N.	10	. Y.	¥S.	Ä	. Y.	Y	48
5053-065C	Westside Phase II	Wolusia	м	Darree Smith	ASSHOC Developer, LLC; Westside Phase II fortis Developer, LLC	F	64	\$2,040,000	Ÿ	4	N		Y	N	N/A	N	N	N	10	y	¥%	A	Y	¥	6
2023-063C	Lofts on Lemon Phase II	Sarasona	м	Darren Smith	Lofts II Fortis Developer, LLC; SHA Affordable Development, LLC		56	\$2,040,000	٧	1	N	Ÿ	N	N	N/A	N	н	N	LO	y	Y	A	y	٧	27
3023-064C	Summit Villas	Hemanda	м	Dames Smith	BHA Development, LLC; Summit Fortis Development Developer, LLC	E. Non-	60	52,040,000	٧	2	N		N	N	N/A	N	N	N	10	Ÿ	V		¥	¥	45
2021-0650	Survive Village	St. Lucie	·M	Shawn William	Wise SV Developer, LLC	F	61	\$2,040,000	W.	- 1	N:	- Y.	N.	- N	N/A	- N	- N	N.	10	- X	Y.:	- A	,×	Y.	14
2023-066C	None Teal Place	Seresota	M	Shawn Wilson	Blue NT Developer, ILC; CASL Developer, LLC	*	95	\$2,040,000	Y	1	N		+	N	N/A	N	- 14	N	10	Y	Y	A	Υ .	. 4	- 1
2023-0670	Falcen Trace	Oscoola	M	Deion R. Lowery	DOER Development, LLC Tucattone Development	F	88	52,040,000	Y	. 1	N.		.87	. 1	WA:	Y	Υ.	No.	10	Y	Ψ.	A	Y	Y	40
2023-070C	Clermont Ridge Senior Villas II	Lake	м	Susan Wiemer	Corporation; Provident Plousing Solutions, Incorporated	E, Non- ALF	71	\$2,040,000	Y	i e	N	¥)	h	×	N/A	N	N	N	10	Y	Y	٨	Y	Y	19
ineligible App	Ecations	117	,					ye .						70		20	<i>a</i> = 2	-							676
3023-036C	Cross Creek Gardens II	Gadsden.	8	Daniel F. Azosta	ACD-NRI Developers, LLC	E, Non- ALF	40	\$1,146,250	- 8	7	N	N.	N.	N	N/A	N	N.	N	10	y	V.	A	y	Α.	31
2023-039C	Southward Village DNI Physic 2	tee	м	Vincent R Bennett	Fort Myers Developer, LLC; Southwest Honda Affordable Development, LLC		105	52,040,000	N	33	N.	<b>8</b> 0	*	:N	N/A	N	N	N	10	S <b>ÿ</b>	¥3	A	ੌÑ	<b>y</b> 5	23
2023-068C	Summit at Punta Gorda	Charlotte	м	Paul Capps	Summit Punta Gorda Development ILC	F	106	\$2,040,000	Ж.	1	N.	N	N	N	N/A	N	N	N	5	. iy	V.	A	÷y.	у.	49
2021-009C	Suremit at Rotonda	Charlotto	M	Paul Capps	Summit Rotonda Development U.C.	7	42	\$1,042,055	(N)	2	N.	N	N	н	78/A:	) y	N	8	5	y.	48	A	i N	83	11
2023-073C*	Woodland Park Physe II	Atochea	м	Brian Exjen	Nonstar Development USA, LP; GHA Development, LLC; Newstar Development, LLC	ŧ	96	\$2,040,000	н	q	¥	6	N	*	N/A	а	N	N	10	Y	v	۸	٧	٧	14

On January, 27, 2023, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the scoring results above.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver o proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.

Total HC for Medium Counties in RFA	14,534,550
Total HC Allocated to Medium Counties	14,198,897.00
Plus Unallocated Small County funding	96,250.00
Total HC for Medium Counties Remaining	431,903

Total HC for Small Counties in RFA	1,146,250
Total HC Allocated to Small Counties	1,050,000.00
COMMISSION OF THE CONTROL WAY	-
Total HC for Small Counties Remaining	

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Name of Authorized Principal Representative	Name of Developers	Demo	Total Units	Competitive HC Request Amount	Eligible For Funding?	Carrotte Contract		Qualifies for LGAO Goal?	LGAO/ Revitalization Plan?	LGAO/ mulitple years of continuous support?	LGAO - lower preference?	Family Dev in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding Goal?	Qualifies for the SunRail Goal?	SunRail - lower preference?	Total Points	Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	Development Category Funding Preference	Leveraging Classification	Proximity Funding Preferenc e	Florida Job Creation Preference	
Goal to fund	three Applications that quali	fy for the	Local Go	vernment Area of	Opportunity Goal																				
2023-066C	New Trail Plaza	Sarasota	м	Shawn Wilson	Blue NT Developer, LLC; CASL Developer, LLC	F	96	\$2,040,000	Y	1.	N	¥	¥	N	N/A	N	N	N	10	Y	Y	À	Y	Y	i
2023-040C	Hermosa North Fort Myers	Lee	м	Michael R. Allan	Revital Development Group, LLC; LCHA Developer, LLC	E, Non- ALF	72	\$2,040,000	90	t:	N	×	N	⊙ <b>x</b> ⊘	N/A	. N.	N	N	10	ews.	Y	А	7. <b>Y</b>	Y	3
2023-026C	The Fountains at Hidden Lake	Citrus	М	Matthew A. Rieger	HTG Hidden Lake Developer, LLC	E, Non- ALF	81	\$2,039,900	53 <b>Y</b> 6	t.	N	X	N	3 <b>Y</b> 2	N/A	SINC	N.	SN€	10	SYS	ν.	;A	N	Y	13
Goal to fund	one Application that qualifie	s for the S	unRail G	ioal		0.		00 90		0:	AT T	0		9.				77 C	,				0	2E S	
2023-042C	Poinciana Parc	Osceola	М	Oscar Sol	Poinciana Parc Dev. LLC	E, Non-	86	\$2,040,000	Y	1	N	N	N	N	N/A	N	Ý	N	10	Y	у	A	Y	Y	9
Goal to fund	two Family Demographic Ap	plications	that qua	lify for the Geogra	aphic Area of Oppor	tunity /	HUD-de:	signated SADD	A Funding	Goal											E				
2023-044C	The Pointe at Piney-Z	Leon	М	Joseph Chapman	Royal American	F	80	\$2,019,000	Y	i.	N	N	N	N	N/A	Y	N	N	10	Y	Y	A	Y	Y	8
2023-057C	Minnesota Ave Apartments	Volusia	м	C. Hunter Nelson	ECG Toledo Blade Developer, LLC	F	68	\$2,019,998	Y	12	N	¥	N	N	N/A	080	N	N	10	Y	¥	А	Y	Y	26
Small County	Applications	5.0			7			D 0																	
2023-058C	Parc West	Okeecho bee	\$	Steven Kirk	Rural Neighborhoods, Incorporated; Odyssey Development Group 1, LLC	E, Non- ALF	36	\$1,050,000	0.803	1	N	N:	N	N	N/A	, N	N	N	10	<b>. Y</b> .	y	А	y	¥	10
Remaining M	edium County Applications							-																	
2023-045C	The Enclave at Northshore	Bay	м	Joseph Chapman	Royal American Properties, LLC	E, Non-	85	\$1,999,999	Y	1	N	Y	N	٧	N/A	N	N	N	10	Y	¥	A	Y	¥	17

On January 27, 2023, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.



MAILING ADDRESS: POST OFFICE BOX 1110 | TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-1110

OFFICES: 2060 DELTA WAY | TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32303 PHONE: 850-521-0700 | FAX: 850-521-0720 | WWW.OHFC.COM

February 1, 2023

ATTORNEYS:
TIMOTHY P. ATKINSON
M. CHRISTOPHUR BRYANT
SEGUNDO J. FERNANDEZ.
AUSTIN L. GASIOREK
KENNETH G. OERTEL

OF COUNSEL: C. ANTHONY CLEVELAND

RECEIVED

FEB 1 2023 8:34 AM

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

Via E-mail (CorporationClerk@floridahousing.org)

Corporation Clerk Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329

Re: RFA 2022-201 Housing Credit Financing for Affordable Housing in Medium and

Small Counties

Notice of Protest by JIC Palatka Apartments, LLC

Applicant for Application No. 2023-024C, Palatka Apartments

Dear Corporation Clerk:

Pursuant to Section 120.57(3) Fla. Stat., and Rules 67-60.009(2) and 28-110.003, Fla. Admin. Code, JIC Palatka Apartments, LLC ("JIC Palatka"), Applicant for Application No. 2023-024C in RFA 2022-201, for the proposed Palatka Apartments development, hereby gives notice of its intent to protest the determinations contained on the attached spreadsheets reflecting proposed awards of funding (Attachment A) and proposed scoring, eligibility, and ineligibility determinations (Attachment B) in RFA 2022-201, as approved by the Corporation's Board of Directors on Friday, January 27, 2023. These spreadsheets were posted on the Corporation's website on Friday, January 27, 2023, at 10:47 a.m.; this Notice of Protest is being filed within 72 hours of such posting (excluding Saturdays and Sundays).

JIC Palatka will file its formal written protest within the time required by Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat.

Sincerely,

M. Christopher Bryant

Telephone: (850) 521-0700 Mobile: (850) 544-5302 Email: cbryant@ohfc.com

Counsel for JIC Palatka Apartments, LLC Applicant for Application No. 2023-024C

cc: Hugh Brown, General Counsel (<u>Hugh.Brown@floridahousing.org</u>)

Betty Zachem, Assistant General Counsel (Betty.zachem@floridahousing.org)

#### RFA 2022-201 - Board Approved Preliminary Awards

Total HC for Medium Counties in RFA	14,524,550
Total HC Allocated to Medium Counties	14,198,897.00
Plus Usullocated Small County funding	96,250.00
Total HC for Medium Countles Remaining	431,063

Extail HC for Small Counties in RFA	1,146,250
Fotal HC Allocated to Small Counties	1,050,000.03
	The state of the s
Total HC for Small Counties Remaining	1

Application Number	Hume of Development	County	County Ske	Here of Authorized Frindpal Representative	Harve of Developers	Demo		Competition IIC Pages II Amount	Eligible For Funding?			Qualifies for EGAD South	(UAD) Restalbation Plan?	realityle years of continuous capport?	LSAD -leyer preference?	Family Dev is Madden County that qualifies for the Geographic Alea of Opportunity / SADOA Russing Goot!	Qualifier for the Goal?	Sunitali- lower preference?	Total Perete	Partinit Construction Familing Frederence	Ownelog mark Caregory Funding Profession	lave uging Charification	freeinity Funding Freeinance	Florido Job Convilios Freisresc #	Lottery
Eco to fund t	Tree Applications that quali	y range i	ocal de													(									
жизневе	See Irul Place	Saturcts	N	Shaven Wilson	Dise NT Deservoor, L.C. CAS, Deservoor, LEC	£	95	52,040,000	34	1	ж	*/	X1		Mo	N	N	N	to	*	Sr.	A	×	Ж	i
MITA (MR.	Faceassa Bartir Fort Niyes	tee	N		Bestal Development Gene, UE ICHA Developer, UE	E, Henr	n	52,040,000	*	а	н	100	N.	*	ųs	N	N	N	10	×.	.7	*	*	33	3:
3800,6308	The Fourtzine at Hilden Lake	Ciris	N	Method A. Biggs	FG Hidden Labo Developer, U.C.	L fin-	n	52/01/6/800		i	N	¥.	Nº.	¥	40.	N	N	N	100	Y	+		м	*	15
Seel to best	nce Application that qualifie	s for the S	ment of G	iout	140	gree o	0. 0	,		Z2 = .								00-	-,-	0) = 0					777
1500 (201		Омента		Open 1st	Point and Park Dev. U.C.	E Harr	Th.	SZJONEJBOO	Ŷ.	.1	N	16	165	34	904	. 8	140	W.	00	Y	28	. *	*	98	,
deal to food	two Family Demographic Ap.	plantane	tut qui	dify for the Geogra	ghic eres of Oppor	tunity /	HUD-de	rignaturi SADI	a funding	Goul .													20	00-	
1021-0440		Leton	и		Royal American Properties, U.E.	8	ы	\$2,045,000	γ.	-1	N	60	160	99	N/A	*	М	9	×	¥	*		×	×	
IIQ MINOC	Minseuts Ave Aportments	Volum	м	C. Hunter Netson	600 Tolede Blade Desember, ILC	1	66	52,045,598	Y	1	N	Ŷ	ь		N/A	Y	N	N	10	¥	*	A	Y	Y	20
Ingitaway	Applications	33 3		W	6																				95
мээнис	ferc West	Discoult is home	5	Stores 43%	Russi Register freeds, Incorporated; Dayway Cese opniert Group T, III E	S, MAP ALS	30	3T025/100	y	1	8	N	n		Név	n	h	N	10	*		Á	Y	¥	10
Armaining M	adium County Applications			100																					

On Jacuary 27, 2023, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion and staff recommendation to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to error credit underwriting.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may the a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fig. Stat., shall constitute a waiver of protectings under Chapter 120,7 (in. Stat.).

Exhibit A Page 1 of 1

Application Number	Name of Development	County	County Size	Favo al Aztortos Principal Reconsecutiva	Name of Developes	Osmo		Campeliane HC Request Arrayot				Qualifies for LCAG food?	ERAGE Restalection Plan?	1540/ multiple smer of metinana support?	10AD - lawer andermose?	Family Dec in Medium County that qualifies for the Geographic Area of Opportunity / SADDA Funding God?	Serite!	Suithed - lower profession and	Total Parets	PerUnk Caretnurilor Funding Frederices	Development Calegory Funding thelevensu	Learnighy Classification	Property Pareling Preference	Rorlife Art Overline frederica	Lettery Number
Fighle Appli																		l N	1 10	7	I v	1 4			
ZESUEC ZESUEC	A CONTRACT NOT A CONTRACT OF	Maridee	W	Shave Milon Office & Helps	New CC Developes, ILC Ross from Cessing news,		-	\$1,765,000	-	1	N		h h	9	R/A	-		N.	19	*	7	^		,	20
		-	-	_	III OVen Vilue Develope,	1,310				-	1000		_				н	h	10	*		A		-	38
2003 G13C	Yns Niegs	reductives:	м	Matthew A. Rioger	uc	MI		51,610,081		1	4	,	Y	- 4	Na	- 20	-	100			100			- 20	-
2003/01/10	Acon Part Apparents	rightands.	-	Troothe M. Margan	It's the alla blendlopment, ILC	. F	-	51,038,990	1	1	u	,	Y	- 4	n <sub>i</sub> o.		н	N	19		- 19	- "		,	51
2013-01AC	Publica Agartments	February .	: 8	Tirrothy M. Morgae	SC Resida Development, ILS	1	49	\$1,000,000	3.	1	9	•	N	.91	100			16	12		- 7	. ^	7	*	39
2003-025C	Averate le Cali en Palien	tere	M	Zomenai	O.C.	1	n	\$1,460,000	1	1	4		- 10	- 4	40.			. 15	5	7	7	A	7		15
2012-0100	File Pountaire at #16 en	GIM	M	Marchen A. Neger	STOTAMENTALE DEVENOES,	1, Non-	61	\$2,839,900	*	1	8		N	. 4	8/8		н	N.	13		- 29	- 24		, Y.,	13
2012-0070	Acres Gar	Browner	м	Martice A. Biggr	r Urwes des Cordons, Dis Hill Toest Ges Bessiepe, U.S.	L Name ALF	n	62,000,000		10	н	,		N	805			×	10	: <b>*</b> 0	25			35	44
2013-0200	Capitales Marcor	Deservisia	M	Earde Sandel	Pacin Preservation Ferticals, U.C.	1, Nov.	100	63,942,000		1	h			- 8	825	N		W	18			A	7	Y :	20
3895 DBC	Ansins Poles a	Petrom	્ક	Silvener Kirk	Sund Singlebortones. Interpretated Debusy Developme: Picture 1, 112	F	ж	\$4,995,000	*	ţ	К				808		×.	N	10			8		Ť	46
3623-680C	Parc last	(Gueschaber	્ર	Stature Krb.	Real Registericos. Incorporate: Odgare Sections of Goog L. ISS	e.	зі	51,950,000	Ŷ	6	65	*	200	N.	16/4	MC.			16	Ç <b>¥</b> S	- 1	38	(V)	¥.;	22
sersanic.	Consequently Palme	leus .	×	Wither Tures	CORE PL Dankloper VI LLC	+	52	\$1,564,000	+	1	R			N	10,00		*		10	٧		- 1		Y	0
2003-0310	Francis Apartments	Browns	M	Teody M. Negas	EC Florida Development, I IC	F-	10	\$3,048,900	1	. 1	, N	N	В.	N	874			k	10	Y	-1		9	¥.	-
2330990	Twh lake france france	Felk	ы	Varies A Rige	-63 hero Léon II Developer LIC Pois County Housing Developing, Inc.	*	#S	57,005,700	*	2	×	34		М	MA				10		2	*		*	21
2029 654C	And all friendson	ure .	- 60	Midwell, Also	Revital Development Group, U.C. U.C.M. Development, U.C.	F	10	50 (040)(080)	*	3	N	н		ų	40	٧	·	k	5	y			*	,	11
30294384	Pine Bland Apartments	semando.	и	tional plat factors	MP Development 12 IIIC	£.	14	\$1,640,000	Ψ.	3.	N	*	, N	139	100	Y	3.00	, K	10	7	21		*	*	50
MINCHE	Engler Points Approximation	Dogler	. 11	Donald W Faston	SC* Development 22 U.C.	+	30	\$2,040,000	7	1	N	4	N.	N	NA		M	, N	19				+	1	26.
2025/0360	Del Hill Townforms	Remaido	14	Dread Fill Forton	DCP Generalization 27 LtC	1	14	fr,orc,nec	7	1	В.	.4	- N	H	H.P.	Υ.	- 14	В	39	Y			4		14
3356461	nemosa North for: Myers	ine	14	Midwell Allen	Revital Brooksproots Sitting, Loc., LCRA Developer, LLC	A.F	72	51,040,000		1	В.	7	8	Y	160.	N	.#		29	*			Ψ.		1
2025-940 C	Syard Sarrers	Fam	N	Criticoler I Shor	AND Report Carriers	E, Non-	314	52,040,000	1	1		4		Y	N/A		. 4	н	111	7			7	+	24
3031-0431	Principus Pare	Obceedia	N	Over Sel	Pendara Perc Dec. U.C.	C, bor-	10	\$2,040,000	1	1		14		N.	NA.	N	4	п	33	+:	1		Ŷ.	9	,
DETERMINE	Autum: Pains et Depliere Il	Lee		Michael B. Mar	Oraba Saval sprease Save, U.S. ISBA Developer, U.C.	r	45	SLG15000	¥	ĭ	к	×			N/A	b		N	311	10	+	i a	y?	¥	
3583 BHIC	De Parce at Rose 2	town		recept Chapman	Played American Properties, LLC	F	100	\$2,015,000		1	- K	. N.			N/A				11	4	4	Α.	6.5	Y.	10
2003-040-0	Tre Endane at Naci Is fore	Say	и	America Chapman	Hoyal American Properties,	S Nov	00	\$1,000,000	*	1		×		. 4	NO.	N	30		10	¥.			γ.	у.	12
3003-0492	Pro Madines	Semba	н	3. Staniel Progra	Southport Dovidopment, Inc. a Will concernion forms business in Pl. as Southernt, Development, Services, Inc.	+	96	57,000,000	Y			N		w	N/A	4			36	¢:	4		¥	ŷ.	47
NO1-M20	Crystal Coast	Gire	м	J. Envel Page	Section Development, Inc. a WA corporation doing bediese in FL is Studious. Development Service, Los		96	\$2,040,000	Y	1	*	N			NA	¥	×		ъ	¥			۲	¥	16
2022-0485	Final Tenning at Starle City	Fetce	v	Boham Houlding	Particle Coverage force Partition, Iraq 8 Howell Conditioners, 11C R Block Conditioners, 11C	r	276	\$2,01K,000	Y	٠	٠	н	•		H.IA.	×	4		20	Ŷ			•	*	y
нажес	Perhatio Village	Geor	M	Michael Brane	CCSC Federide Village Consider ILC		40	\$1,740,000	3%	1	*	N		- N	NO.		. 4		10	35	*		y.	*	25
2523-6300	Ville is Academy Prior	Semilecia	м	Jesseth Characters	Cakton Academy Durdouse ILC SO4N Brunkpet, ILC	ŧ	10	15,010,000		1	*	×		Y	N/A	×	N	- 14	п	4		्र	N.	Y	38

Exhibit B Page 1 of 2

Application Senter	Name of Development	Crowing	Courts Site	Remod Authorized Principal Representative	None of Developers	Demo	Total	Competition IC Report Average	Sigton for Funding?	Actually Land	Prot. Ama of Opportunity	Coeffic for (CAC) Soul?	LSACY Restalization Flant	CEACY cradigin proved confinence improved	15AO- lower professoral	Family Dec in Medium County that qualifies for the Congrephic Area of Opportunity / SACOA Familing Scot?	for the Sental	Seven		Per Unit Construction Funding Profession	Densityment Category Funding Reference	Leveraging Constitution	Proximity Funding Professors	Florida 3st Creation Preference	Lotte
nesewe	Sapule Seems	Okelinna	м	Cerd Gerber	TESCATIONALINE Communities, Inc., Bayesia Development of Fort Wallers, IEE, 42 Partners, IEE	E Non ALF	17	32,340,000	٠	1		3.	•	٠	N/N			٠	10	Ŷ.	*		÷	,	z
enci ence	Republic Continue	Ohiona		Centionies	TIDE Affactable Communities, inc.; Expaile Development of Fort Walter, LIC, 42 Factors, LIC	,	n	SLINGAN	¥	ı	*	*			NA.					*		A	7	*	
HE3480C	Longwood Gardens	Citrus		Over Sel	Large and Garbers Pinc U.C.	AUT	80	SUBSTANCE		1				*	88				10			.A.	Ψ.		n
(02) 4545	Triony attiage	-		Oraries II Anderson	Tranty Villago Dav., LLC, GM Tranty Villago Dev. LLC	L No.	85	52,275,000	7	1					NA.				10	*	4			*	15
MIN-BACK	Lakeville Flats	Leen .	*	Brett Green	Clina Gerbers Developer, Ref.		70.	SLOHORE		1		7			101	*			10	1.00			71	τ.	10
3023-856C	Cardeol Date	Otta	w	Facili Millionali Bhabes	Investor Development, USC 14 fear Affordable Development, USC, ADC Continuestion 8, USC	10		\$2,040,000	*	¥		Ť			1614	÷								*	12
203-870	Minesta Are Apatresis	holes:		C. Navier Relson	NICL Tolerto Blade Developer, LLC		14	SLITERAN		1		*			N/A	· e			10		,			,	25
2003-0590	Parc West	Checlober	1	line 61	Neral Reighborhoods, Incorporated, Odynosy Decellupment Group L, U.C.	C. Rept.	ж	SUNGAN		1	*				101										ю
DOD-HISTOC	Madison Coles Sast	Matter	*	Panktier	Acertai Residental Construction, LIC, New South Residental, LIC	E, Non	*	12,045,000	*	ż	×				N/A				10	7				÷	5
223 BOX	Vera Demarcia Village	Chertista		Bary Grans	Middeling Devilupment, ISC Reestar Development, ISC Rentar Development ISB, LA		70	51/41/88		÷	*	Ŧ		*	N/A				**		*			¥	
2001-0000	Gross Manor Place 1.	nua.	*	Curren Section	1 MNH, Development, U.C. SHAG Grove Masor Phase! Doveloper, U.C.	i	34	STOREGOE	7	3.	*	*	7		N/A			×	33	*				у.	
9924963C	Mestude Phase 6	rolesa		Darren Serifik	Militian Sendiger, US, Westpile Phase & Fortis Sendiger, U.C.	ı	śż	SQUAQUES		i.	4	*			N/A	*	*	*	10	,				¥	i.
2023-06INC	left or Lenys Place II	Serecina		Darren Smith	Luffs Efforts Developer, U.C.: SIX Affortable Development, U.C.	10	36	52,040,000	¥	1		¥			100	*		×	30		,			÷.	25
2023-0040	Serent Wile.	-terrando		Darrer-Smith	BISA Sevelopment, USE, Summit Fortis Sevelopment Seveloper, USE	E, Non ALT		SLONGO	*	2	*	ì			NA.				90	17.				*:	
N23-985C	Sonne Wilge	M. trade	140	Name William	Bire Sk Develope, U.C.	. 1	66.	50,040,000	. 7	1					101	N N	- N		10	+	. 4			Y	- 34
2808-650	New York Physia	largesta	H	Sharen Wilson	Else WT Developes, U.C. CRIS. Developes, U.C.	1.	36	52,040,000	7	1		+			N/A			. w	100	Ψ.	- 1	- 4		41	1
3021-8N	Palcon Theor	Dowella	W	Decirit Lowery	DOS Development, U.C.	1	30	\$3,040,000	. 7	1	*	1		- 4	506	+	. 1	- 10	10	T.		- A	¥		40
1023-070C	Carmont Ridge Senior Villes 8	Labor		Sea Wese	Surrence Development Corporation; Provident Ministry Selections, Incorporated	E, Non-	75	\$7,045,000	Ÿ	î	*	¥	*	14	NO	*		*	300	•	,		٠	٠	18
inally like App	Scatters		_																						
803-09C	Cross-Create Gardens N	Salidei	5	Sanid F. Austra	ACD-WN Developme, UIT	ALT.	43	51,146,250	×	1		×			16/1	*		*	10				*	4	.56
2023-03MC	Southwest Village CNI Plane 2	iee		Sincert & Secret	Full Myen Developer, LLC; Southwest Florida Affordable Development, LLC		625	53,546,000		1	*	,	20	2	NA.	*			*	Sti	12	*	1.0	*:	- 10
3625-9680	Summit at Punta Genda	Dwtone	**	Paul Cases	Sonerit Parity Gertla Development LLC	1.	106	52,040,000	×	2	×				101				1	7	,				41
2002-0000	Summit at Noticeals	Charlotte		Paul Copy	Scored Rationale Destination of SEC		e	SLANDER		1	×	*		- 1	N/A	,			,		¥			*	111
BOHOTICT	Blooderd Fath Phase II	Natha		Brian Engan	Notice Development U.A., LP-, CAR Development, U.C., Newson Street, U.C.		*	50,040,040		1)	*			· (*	NA.	*			100	(Y)	+		Ŧ	v	14

On January, 27, 2003, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to adopt the souring results above.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(8), Fia. Scal., Rule Chapter 28-120, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60 2009, F.A.C. Failure to Sie a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fia. Stat., shall constitute a waiver a proceedings under Chapter 120, Fia. Stat.

Exhibit B Page 2 of 2 (b) The Florida Housing Finance Corporation Local Government Verification of Status of Plat Approval for Residential Rental Developments form (Form Rev. 07-2022).

#### (5) Environmental Site Assessment

Demonstrate that a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA), and if required or recommended, a Phase II ESA, have been performed on or before the Application Deadline for the entire proposed Development site by providing the applicable properly completed and executed Florida Housing Finance Corporation Verification of Environmental Safety – Phase I Site Assessment form (Form Rev. 07-2022), and if required or recommended, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation Verification of Environmental Safety – Phase II Site Assessment form, as **Attachment 11** to Exhibit A.

If the proposed Development consists of Scattered Sites, the Applicant must provide the appropriate evidence that a Phase I ESA and, if applicable, a Phase II ESA, has been performed for all of the Scattered Sites.

#### 8. Construction Features

All units are expected to meet all requirements as outlined below. If the proposed Development consists of rehabilitation, the proposed Development's ability to provide all construction features will be confirmed as outlined in Exhibit F. The quality of the construction features committed to by the Applicant is subject to approval of the Board of Directors.

All features and amenities committed to and proposed by the Applicant that are not unitspecific shall be located on each of the Scattered Sites, or no more than 1/16 mile from the Scattered Site with the most units, or a combination of both.

a. Federal Requirements and State Building Code Requirements for all Developments

All proposed Developments must meet all federal requirements and state building code requirements, including the following, incorporating the most recent amendments, regulations and rules:

- Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction as adopted pursuant to Section 553.503, Florida Statutes;
- The Fair Housing Act as implemented by 24 CFR 100;
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973\*; and
- Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 as implemented by 28 CFR 35.

The above documents are available on the RFA Webpage.

\*All Developments must comply with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as implemented by 24 CFR Part 8 ("Section 504 and its related regulations"). All Developments must meet accessibility standards of Section 504. Section 504 accessibility standards require a minimum of 5 percent of the total dwelling units, but not fewer than one unit, to be accessible for individuals with mobility impairments. An additional 2 percent of the total units, but not fewer than one unit, must be accessible for persons with hearing or

Date Submitted: 2022-12-28 14:52:58.723 | Form Key: 8825

# FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION VERIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

Name	of Developme	nt: Parc West								
(At a mir	nimum, provide t	on: NW 22 Lane; SE corner of NW 22 Lane/ Ne address number, street name and city, and/or provide the street orated area of the county).)		section, Okeechobee County ated intersection and either the city (if located within a city) or count						
Develo	pment site wa	is conducted by the undersigned environmental firm	as of	ESA), I certify that a Phase I ESA of the above reference 10/31/2022						
and suc	ch Phase I ES	A meets the ASTM Phase I ESA standards in effect	as of that date.	(Date of Phase I ESA - mm/dd/yyyy)						
Check	all that apply	in Items 1, 2 and 3 below:								
1.		se I ESA is over 12 months old from the submission of te's environmental condition changed since the date		ove referenced FHFC Request for Proposal/Application ase I ESA?						
	☐ Ye	s No								
	If "Yes",	to demonstrate the condition of the site, the signator	y must answer qu	estion (1) or (2) below:						
		(1) an update to the original Phase I ESA was	s prepared on	(Date - mm/dd/yyyy)						
		(Date of update must be within 12 mont Proposal/Application), or	ths of the submiss	ion deadline for the above referenced FHFC Request fo						
		(2) a new Phase I ESA was prepared on		(Date - mm/dd/yyyy)						
		(Date of new Phase I ESA must be with Request for Proposal/Application).	nin 12 months of	the submission deadline for the above referenced FHFO						
		Note: The Corporation will not consider a Phase I	I ESA to be a sub	stitute for the updated Ph. I ESA or new Ph. I ESA.						
2.	If there are one or more existing buildings on the proposed site, the presence or absence of asbestos or asbestos containing materials a lead based paint must be addressed either as a part of the Phase I ESA or as a separate report. The signatory must indicate which of following (Item a, or b.) applies:									
	<ul> <li>a. the Phase I ESA referenced above addresses the presence or absence of asbestos or asbestos containing materials as based paint; or</li> </ul>									
	☐ b.			asbestos containing materials and lead-based paint hav eport(s). Such separate report(s) may or may not b						
3.		se I ESA discloses potential problems (including, but , etc.) on the proposed site, the signatory must indica		bestos or asbestos containing materials, lead-based pain following (Item a., b., or c.) applies:						
	<ul> <li>a. environmental safety conditions on the site require remediation and a plan that includes anticipated costs and estimate needed to complete the remediation has been prepared, either as a part of the Phase I ESA or as a separate report; or</li> </ul>									
	<ul> <li>b. a Phase II ESA is required or recommended (the firm that performed the Phase II ESA, even if it is the same firm prepared the Phase I ESA, MUST complete and execute the Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Verification); or</li> </ul>									
	□ c.	although environmental safety conditions exist on	the site, no remed	tiation or further study is required or recommended.						
		CERTIFI	CATION							
I certif	y that the fore	going information is true and correct.								
Author	ized Signatur	2	Dynatech Engi Name of Firm th	neering Corp. at Performed the Ph. I ESA						
Presic Print o	lent r Type Name	of Signatory	750 West 84th	Street, Hialeah, FL 33014						
			Address of Envir	onmental Firm (street address, city, state)						
				N 8 5						
Decen Date	nber 23rd 20	22	305-828-7499 Telephone Number Including Area Code							

This certification must be signed by a representative of the firm that performed the Phase I ESA for the proposed Development location. If there

are alterations made to this form that change the meaning of the form, the form will not be accepted.

(Form Rev. 07-2022)