

Section 2

Description of the Sample

This section describes the sample design and selection, the method of estimation, the sampling variability of the estimates, and the methodology of computing confidence intervals.

Domain of Study

The statistics in this report are estimates from a probability sample of unaudited Individual Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ (including electronic returns) filed by U.S. citizens and residents during Calendar Year 2002.

All returns processed during 2002 were subjected to sampling except tentative and amended returns. Tentative returns were not subjected to sampling because the revised returns may have been sampled later, while amended returns were excluded because the original returns had already been subjected to sampling.

A small percentage of returns were not identified as tentative or amended until after sampling. These returns, along with those that contained no income information, were excluded in calculating estimates. This resulted in a small difference between the population total (130,571,421 returns) reported in Table C and the estimated total of all returns (130,255,237) reported in other tables.

The estimates in this report are intended to represent all returns filed for Tax Year 2001. While about 98 percent of the returns processed during Calendar Year

2002 were for Tax Year 2001, the remaining returns were mostly for prior years, and a few for non-calendar years ending during 2002 and 2003. Returns for prior years were used in place of 2001 returns expected to be received and processed after December 31, 2002. This was done based on the assumption that the characteristics of returns due, but not yet processed, can best be represented by the returns for previous income years that were processed in 2002.

Sample Design and Selection

The sample design is a stratified probability sample, in which the population of tax returns is classified into subpopulations, called strata, and a sample is randomly selected independently from each stratum. Strata are defined by:

1. Nontaxable with adjusted gross income or expanded income of \$200,000 or more and no alternative minimum tax.
2. High combined business and farm total receipts of \$50,000,000 or more.
3. Presence or absence of special Forms or Schedules (Form 2555, Form 1116, Form 1040 Schedule C, and Form 1040 Schedule F).

Bonnye Walker and Valerie Puckett designed the sample and prepared the text and tables in this section under the direction of Yahia Ahmed, Chief, Mathematical Statistics Section, Statistical Computing Branch.

4. Indexed positive or negative income. Sixty variables are used to derive positive and negative incomes. These positive and negative income classes are deflated using the Chain-Type Price Index for the Gross Domestic Product to represent a base year of 1991. (See footnote 1 for details.)
5. Potential usefulness of the return for tax policy modeling. Thirty-two variables are used to determine how useful the return is for tax modeling purposes.

Table C shows the population and sample count for each stratum after collapsing some strata with the same sampling rates. (See references 1 and 2 for details.) The sampling rates range from 0.05 percent to 100 percent.

Tax data processed to the IRS Individual Master File at the Martinsburg Computing Center during Calendar Year 2002 were used to assign each taxpayer's record to the appropriate stratum and to determine whether or not the record should be included in the sample. Records are selected for the sample either if they possess certain combinations of the four ending digits of the social security number, or if their ending five digits of an eleven-digit number generated by a mathematical transformation of the SSN is less than or equal to the stratum sampling rate times 100,000. (See reference 3 for details.)

Data Capture and Cleaning

Data capture for the SOI sample begins with the designation of a sample of administrative records. While the sample was being selected, the process was continually monitored for sample selection and data collection errors. In addition, a small subsample of returns was selected and independently reviewed, analyzed, and processed for a quality evaluation.

The administrative data and controlling information for each record designated for this sample was loaded onto an online database at the Cincinnati Submission Processing Center. Computer data for the selected administrative records were then used to identify inconsistencies, questionable values, and missing values as well as any additional variables that an editor needed to extract for each record. The editors use a hardcopy

of the taxpayer's return to enter the required information onto the online system.

After the completion of service center review, data were further validated, tested, and balanced at the Detroit Computing Center. Adjustments and imputations for selected fields based on prior year data and other available information were used to make each record internally consistent. Finally, prior to publication, all statistics and tables were reviewed for accuracy and reasonableness in light of provisions of the tax law, taxpayer reporting variations and limitations, economic conditions, and comparability with other statistical series.

Some returns designated for the sample were not available for SOI processing because other areas of IRS needed the return at the same time. For Tax Year 2001, 0.13 percent of the sample returns were unavailable.

Method of Estimation

Weights were obtained by dividing the population count of returns in a stratum by the number of sample returns for that stratum. The weights were adjusted to correct for misclassified returns. These weights were applied to the sample data to produce all of the estimates in this report.

Sampling Variability and Confidence Intervals

The sample used in this study is one of a large number of samples that could have been selected using the same sample design. The estimates calculated from these different samples would vary. The standard error (SE) of an estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from the possible samples and, thus, is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average of the estimates calculated from all possible samples.

The standard error may be expressed as a percentage of the value being estimated. This ratio is called the coefficient of variation (CV). Table 1.4 CV contains estimated CV's for the estimates included in Table 1.4 of this report.

The sample estimate and an estimate of its standard error permit the construction of interval estimates with

prescribed confidence that the interval includes the population value. If all possible samples were selected under essentially the same conditions and an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. About 68 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the population value. This is a 68 percent confidence interval.
2. About 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the population value. This is a 95 percent confidence interval.

For example, from Table 1.4, the amount estimate for State Income Tax Refunds, X , is \$21.219 billion, and its related coefficient of variation, $CV(X)$, is 0.92 percent. The standard error of the estimate, $SE(X)$, needed to construct the confidence interval estimate, is:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(X) &= X \cdot CV(X) \\ &= (\$21.219 \times 10^9) \cdot (0.0092) \\ &= \$0.195 \text{ billion} \end{aligned}$$

The p percent confidence interval is calculated using the formula:

$$X \pm z \cdot SE(X)$$

where z takes the value 1, 2, or 3 when p is 68, 95, or 99, respectively. Based on these data, the 68 percent confidence interval is from \$21.024 billion to \$21.414 billion, the 95 percent confidence interval is from \$20.829 billion to \$21.609 billion, and the 99 percent confidence interval is from \$20.633 billion to \$21.805 billion.

Table Presentation

Whenever a weighted frequency is less than 3, the estimate and its corresponding amount are combined or deleted in order to avoid disclosure of information for specific taxpayers. (The combined or deleted data, if any, are included in the corresponding column totals.) These combinations and deletions are indicated by a

double asterisk (**). Estimates based on less than 10 sampled returns are considered to be unreliable. These estimates are noted by a single asterisk (*) to the left of the data unless all of the sampled returns are selected with certainty (at the 100 percent rate).

In the tables, a dash (-) in place of a frequency or an amount indicates that either no returns in the population had the characteristic or the characteristic was so rare that it did not appear on any of the sampled returns.

Footnote

- [1] Indexing of positive and negative income is done by dividing each by the ratio of the Chain-Type Price Index for the Gross Domestic Product for the fourth quarter of 1999 to the fourth quarter of the base year of 1991. The indices were calculated using the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Chain-type Price Index found in the table titles "Quantity and Price Indexes for Gross Domestic Product" " released to the public on November 26, 2002 on the BEA web site (<http://www.bea.doc.gov/>).

References

- [1] Hostetter, S., Czajka, J. L., Schirm, A. L., and O'Connor, K. (1990), "Choosing the Appropriate Income Classifier for Economic Tax Modeling," in *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association, 419-424.
- [2] Schirm, A. L., and Czajka, J. L. (1991), "Alternative Designs for a Cross-Sectional Sample of Individual Tax Returns: the Old and the New," *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association, 163-168.
- [3] Harte, J.M. (1986), "Some Mathematical and Statistical Aspects of the Transformed Taxpayer Identification Number: A Sample Selection Tool Used at IRS," *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods*, American Statistical Association, 603-608.

Table C.—Number of Individual Income Tax Returns in the Population and Sample by Sampling Strata for 2001

Description of the sample strata												Number of returns	
												Population counts ¹	Sample counts
Grand total												130,571,421	191,975
Form 1040 returns only with adjusted gross income or expanded income of \$200,000 and over, with no income tax after credits and no additional tax for tax preferences, total ²												6,557	6,557
Form 1040 returns only with combined Schedule C (business or profession) total receipts of \$50,000,000 and over, total												174	174
Other Returns, total												130,564,690	185,244
Description of the sample strata	Degree of interest ³	Form 1040, with Form 2555		Form 1040, with Form 1116 but without Form 2555		Form 1040, with Schedule C but without Form 1116 or Form 2555		Form 1040, with Schedule F but without Schedule C, Form 1116 or Form 2555		All other forms			
		Population counts	Sample counts	Population counts	Sample counts	Population counts	Sample counts	Population counts	Sample counts	Population counts	Sample counts		
		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)		
Total		275,897	12,088	2,655,964	34,765	17,972,235	38,275	1,480,960	4,430	108,179,634	95,686		
Indexed Negative Income ⁴													
\$10,000,000 or more	All	--	--	215	215	643	643	80	80	832	832	1,770	1,770
\$5,000,000 under \$10,000,000	All	--	--	268	268	847	847	170	170	1,137	1,137	2,422	2,422
\$2,000,000 under \$5,000,000	All	50	50	1,152	380	3,537	1,111	667	235	4,537	1,484	9,943	3,260
\$1,000,000 under \$2,000,000	All	99	99	2,421	384	7,830	1,253	1,612	253	9,218	1,498	21,180	3,487
\$500,000 under \$1,000,000	All	294	113	5,183	163	20,412	732	4,548	124	22,014	732	52,451	1,864
\$250,000 under \$500,000	All	786	70	9,256	94	49,029	462	10,603	104	50,449	473	120,123	1,203
\$120,000 under \$250,000	All	2,330	210	14,113	54	101,833	494	18,282	87	106,159	448	242,717	1,293
\$60,000 under \$120,000	All	6,694	133	13,460	33	139,773	390	18,985	45	155,465	364	334,377	965
Under \$60,000	All	11,918	108	--	--	378,494	519	35,503	47	745,054	1,006	1,170,969	1,680
Indexed Positive Income ⁴													
Under \$30,000	1									30,246,910	15,026	30,246,910	15,026
Under \$30,000	2	6,961	53	149,764	85	2,026,997	1,034	103,829	53	26,761,406	13,530	29,048,957	14,755
Under \$30,000	3-4	57,051	609	117,219	131	3,544,201	3,634	153,012	163	5,602,869	5,828	9,474,352	10,365
\$30,000 under \$60,000	1-2	5,792	71	312,466	149	1,764,671	900	184,430	86	21,889,664	10,798	24,157,023	12,004
\$30,000 under \$60,000	3-4	58,146	596	231,338	249	3,371,733	3,589	265,882	285	5,564,708	5,977	9,491,807	10,696
\$60,000 under \$120,000	1-3	8,272	162	510,249	270	2,034,743	1,054	236,931	116	11,051,748	5,384	13,841,943	6,986
\$60,000 under \$120,000	4	51,458	1,066	266,892	287	2,312,090	2,404	187,741	181	2,374,456	2,400	5,192,637	6,338
\$120,000 under \$250,000	1-3	10,167	870	269,970	403	504,477	735	102,216	147	1,798,437	2,536	2,685,267	4,691
\$120,000 under \$250,000	4	30,448	2,571	275,508	748	1,091,253	3,156	74,445	192	1,003,533	2,869	2,475,187	9,536
\$250,000 under \$500,000	All	16,911	1,419	261,240	1,692	453,699	3,035	60,254	396	565,913	3,867	1,358,017	10,409
\$500,000 under \$1,000,000	All	5,607	2,135	124,353	2,999	124,263	3,114	16,056	398	157,718	3,808	427,997	12,454
\$1,000,000 under \$2,000,000	All	1,930	770	53,328	6,431	29,981	3,638	4,004	479	46,016	5,637	135,259	16,955
\$2,000,000 under \$5,000,000	All	754	754	26,403	8,564	9,243	3,045	1,348	427	16,731	5,392	54,479	18,182
\$5,000,000 under \$10,000,000	All	153	153	6,898	6,898	1,690	1,690	256	256	3,252	3,252	12,249	12,249
\$10,000,000 or more	All	76	76	4,268	4,268	796	796	106	106	1,408	1,408	6,654	6,654

¹ This population includes an estimated 316,184 returns that were excluded from other tables in this report because they contained no income information or represented amended or tentative returns identified after sampling.

² This population includes 237 Form 1040 returns that were misclassified because of bad data collected during revenue processing.

³ Each population member is assigned a degree of interest based on how useful it is for tax modeling purposes. Degree of interest ranges from one (1) to four (4), with a one being assigned to returns that are the least interesting, and a four being assigned to those that are the most interesting. "All" refers to income classes for which returns with all four degrees of interest are assigned.

⁴ Positive and Negative Income classes are divided by a Chain-Type Price Index for the Gross Domestic Product of 1.1914 to represent a base year of 1991.

** Sampling Strata Collapsed.