Mr. Norman J. Pitre
Assistant District Attorney
29th Judicial District of Louisiana
Post Office Box 34
Luling, Louisiana 70070

Dear Mr. Pitre:

This is in reference to the proposal of the St. Charles Police Jury to change from ward-to-ward to at-large election of the police jurors, which was submitted to the Attorney Coneral pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

After corerul consideration of your submission, I must advice you that the Attorney General objects to the implementation of the St. Charles Police Jury respectionment plan. As I pointed out in my letter dated Merch 30, 1971, the statute permitting atlarge election of police jurors (Act No. 445 passed during the 1968 Louinians Legislative Session) was submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5. The implementation of this statute, along with the provisions authorizing at-large elections for school board members (Act No. 561), were objected to by him on June 26, 1969.

Because of this earlier objection to the enabling legislation and federal court decisions affecting at-large election problems, it appears that St. Charles Parish does not have authority to elect police jurors on an at-large basis. This incapacity is not cured by retention of ward residency requirements. In the case of Eyer v. Love, 307 F. Supp. 974 (N.D. Miss. 1965), the court ruled

that as a result of the Atturney General's objection to the implementation of the state states sufferizing stalarge elections for beards of supervisors in Richledgel, the supervisors do not have statutory power or sutherly so provide for outh elections.

Bincerely.

DAVID L. KORRAH Acting Assistant Atturney Geograt Civil Rights Division