NUV 2 1 1973

Mr. Ancil L. Cez, Jr.
Attorney for the City
of Shaw
Coz and Hoore
P. O. Box 606
Cleveland, Mississippi 35732

Dear Mr. Cox:

This is in reference to your submission to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 of an urdinance of the City of Shaw passed on September 4, 1973 which changes the office of city clark from an elective to an appointive position.

We have considered both the ordinance in question and supporting information as well as data compiled by the Bureau of the Consus and information and comments from interested parties. On the basis of this information we are unable to conclude, as we must under the Voting Rights Act, that the ordinance referred to above does not have the purpose and will not have the effect of damying or obridging the right to wote on the basis of race or color. I must, therefore, on behalf of the Acting Attorney General interpose an objection to the implementation of this ordinance.

Thile we realize the difficulties caused by these conclusions, we are persuaded that the Voting Rights Act requires this result. Of course, Section 5 permits you to seek a declaratory judgment from the District Court for the District of Columbia that the change herein found objectionable meither has the purpose nor will have the effect of denying or abridging the right to you on account of race.

bincerely,

J. STARLET POTTINGER Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division