UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

Issue Date: 03 June 2014

ALJ NO.: 2014-CFP-00006

In the Matter of:

THOMAS ROWE, *Complainant*,

ν.

VICTORY AUTOMOTIVE GROUP INC, Respondent.

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT AND CANCELING HEARING

This proceeding arises from a complaint of discrimination filed under the employee protection provision of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010, Section 1057 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, Public Law 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376, 1955 (July 21, 2010), codified at 12 U.S.C. § 5567, and the procedural regulations found at 29 C.F.R. Part 1985.

During a telephonic status conference held on May 7, 2014, the Complainant, through counsel, notified me of his intent to file an original action in United States District Court, as authorized by $29 \text{ C.F.R.} \ \S \ 1985.114(a).^1$

On May 19, 2014, Complainant filed a complaint with the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (Rowe v. Victory Automotive Group, Inc., No. 2:14-CV-11989-MOB-PJK), and provided my office with a copy of the complaint as required by 20 C.F.R. § 1985.144(d). On June 3, 2014, the parties filed a Stipulated Order of Dismissal based on the fact that the Complainant has filed a civil action and invoked the jurisdiction of the U.S. District Court.

¹ Pursuant to Section 1985.114(a), a complainant "may bring an action at law or equity for de novo review in the appropriate district court of the United States . . . (1) Within 90 days after receiving a written determination under § 1985.105(a) provided that there has been no final decision of the Secretary; or (2) If there has been no final decision of the Secretary within 210 days of the filing of the complaint."

As the Complainant has filed a complaint in District Court based on the same facts that constituted his action before the Office of Administrative Law Judges, I no longer have jurisdiction over the instant matter.

Accordingly, the above-captioned matter is hereby **DISMISSED** for lack of continued jurisdiction, and the hearing scheduled for July 24, 2014, in Detroit, Michigan is **CANCELED**.

SO ORDERED.

COLLEEN A. GERAGHTY

Administrative Law Judge

Boston, Massachusetts