U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges 2 Executive Campus, Suite 450 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002



(856) 486-3800 (856) 486-3806 (FAX)

Issue Date: 24 August 2010

Case No.: 2010-FRS-00023

In the Matter of

ANTHONY J. ARAUJO

Complainant

v.

NEW JERSEY TRANSIT CORPORATION

Respondent

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT BASED ON LACK OF JURISDICTION, BECAUSE COMPLAINANT HAS FILED ACTION IN DISTRICT COURT

This matter arises out of a complaint of retaliation filed pursuant to the employee protection provisions of the Federal Rail Safety Act, 49 U.S.C. § 20109. Governing regulations are at 29 C.F.R. part 1979. See 49 U.S.C. § 20109(c)(2)(A).

By submission dated June 17, 2010, through counsel, Complainant notified me that he intended to file an original action in United States District Court, as authorized in the governing statute. By Order dated June 22, 2010, I directed the Complainant to file a copy of his District Court complaint, and informed him that, upon receipt of the docketed complaint, the matter before me would be dismissed.

On August 17, 2010, through counsel, Complainant complied with my Order, and submitted a copy of his docketed District Court complaint. His action was filed in United States District Court, District of New Jersey, on August 5, 2010 (<u>Araujo v. New Jersey Transit</u>, Case No. 2:2010cv03985).

As the Complainant has filed a complaint in District Court based on the same facts that constituted his action before the Office of Administrative Law Judges, jurisdiction in the latter has been divested. See Stone v. Duke Energy Corp, 432 F.3d 320 (5th Cir. 2005)(Sarbanes-Oxley case); see also Kelly v. Sonic Automotive, Inc., ARB No. 08-027 (Dec. 17, 2008)(Sarbanes-Oxley case).

Because I no longer have jurisdiction over the instant matter, I dismiss it.

-

¹ Similar to the governing statue in this case, the employee protection provision of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1514A(b)(1)(B), permits an employee to file an action in district court if the Secretary has not rendered a final decision within a specified time period.

SO ORDERED.

Α

ADELE H. ODEGARD Administrative Law Judge

Cherry Hill, New Jersey