

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges
5100 Village Walk, Suite 200
Covington, Louisiana 70433

(985) 809-5173
(985) 893-7351 (FAX)



Issue Date: 27 August 2010

CASE NO.: 2010-STA-00023

IN THE MATTER OF

**JAMES LEDBETTER,
Complainant**

v.

**B.R. WILLIAMS TRUCKING., INC., ET AL
Respondent**

Appearances: Paul O. Taylor, Esquire
Burnsville, Minnesota
For the Complainant

Mark Y. Thacker, Esquire
Atlanta, Georgia
For the Respondent

Before: Clement J. Kennington
Administrative Law Judge

RECOMMENDED ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

This case arises under Section 405, the employee protection provision, of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982, 49 U.S.C.A. § 31105 (West 2003), and the implementing regulations at 29 C.F.R. Part 1978 (2004). The parties have filed a request for approval of their settlement agreement and dismissal of the complaint with prejudice.

Pursuant to section 31105(b)(2)(C) of the STAA, "[b]efore the final order is issued, the proceeding may be ended by a settlement agreement made by the Secretary, the complainant, and the person alleged to have committed the violation." Under regulations implementing the STAA, the parties may settle a case at any time after the filing of objections to the Assistant Secretary's findings "if the participating parties agree to a settlement and such settlement is approved by the Administrative Review Board . . . or the ALJ." 29 C.F.R. §1978.111(d)(2). Under the STAA a settlement agreement cannot become effective until its terms have been reviewed and determined to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the public interest. *Tankersly v. Triple Crown Services, Inc.*, 1992-STA-8 (Sec'y Feb. 18, 1993). Consistent with that required review, the regulations direct the parties to file a copy of the settlement "with the ALJ or the Administrative Review Board as the case may be." *Id.*

I have carefully reviewed the parties' settlement agreement and have determined that it constitutes a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of the complaint and is in the public interest. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(c), however, the Administrative Review Board must issue the final order of dismissal of a STAA complaint resolved by settlement. *See Howick v. Experience Hendrix, LLC*, ARB No. 02-049, ALJ No. 2000- STA-32 (ARB Sept. 26, 2002).

Other laws

The agreement encompasses the settlement of matters under laws other than the STAA. *See* para. 2(B). The Board's authority over settlement agreements is limited to such statutes as are within the Board's jurisdiction and is defined by the applicable statute. Therefore, we approve only the terms of the agreement pertaining to the Complainant's STAA claim. *Fish v. H and R Transfer*, ARB No. 01-071, ALJ No. 00-STA-56 (ARB Apr. 30, 2003).

Confidentiality provision/FOIA Exemption 4

Paragraph 6 of the agreement provide that the parties shall keep the terms of the settlement confidential, with certain specified exceptions. We again emphasize that "[t]he parties' submissions, including the agreement become part of the record of the case and are subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C.A. § 552 (West 1996). FOIA requires Federal agencies to disclose requested records unless they are exempt from disclosure under the Act." *Coffman v. Alyeska Pipeline Serv. Co. and Arctic Slope Inspection Serv.*, ARB No. 96-141, ALJ Nos. 96-TSC-5, 6, slip op. at 2 (ARB June 24, 1996). Department of Labor regulations provide specific procedures for responding to FOIA requests, for appeals by requestors from denials of such requests, and for protecting the interests of submitters of confidential commercial information. *See* 29 C.F.R. Part 70 (2003).¹

Accordingly, **IT IS RECOMMENDED** that the Administrative Review Board **APPROVE** the agreement and **DISMISS** the complaint with prejudice.

SO ORDERED.

A

**CLEMENT J. KENNINGTON
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

NOTICE: This Recommended Order Approving Settlement and the administrative file in this matter will be forwarded to the Administrative Review Board, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-4309, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20210, for entry of a Final Order. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(a) and 1978.109(c). The parties may file with the Administrative Review Board briefs in support of or in opposition to Recommended Order Approving Settlement within thirty days of the issuance of this Recommended Decision unless the Administrative Review

¹ "Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 70.26(b), submitters may designate specific information as confidential commercial information to be handled as provided in the regulations. When FOIA requests are received for such information, the Department of Labor will notify the submitter promptly, 29 C.F.R. § 70.26(c); the submitter will be given a reasonable amount of time to state its objections to disclosure, 29 C.F.R. § 70.26(e); and the submitter will be notified if a decision is made to disclose the information, 29 C.F.R. § 70.26(f). If the information is withheld and a suit is filed by the requester to compel disclosure, the submitter will be notified, 29 C.F.R. § 70.26(h)." *Coffman*, slip op. at 2, n.2.

Board, upon notice to the parties, establishes a different briefing schedule. 29 C.F.R. § 1978.109(c).