



In the Matter of:

Disputes concerning the payment of prevailing wage rates and proper classification by, and proposed debarment (*) for labor standards violations of:

ABHE & SVOBODA, INC.,

ARB CASE NO. 01-063

and

**ALJ CASE NOS. 99-DBA-20
through 27**

JEWELL PAINTING, INC.*

ARB CASE NO. 01-066

and

CAMERON JEWELL,*

**ALJ CASE NOS. 99-DBA-20
through 27**

and

BLAST ALL, INC.,

ARB CASE NO. 01-068

and

**ALJ CASE NOS. 99-DBA-20
through 27**

GEORGE CAMPBELL PAINTING CORP.

ARB CASE NO. 01-069

and

E. DASKAL CORPORATION,

**ALJ CASE NOS. 99-DBA-20
through 27**

and

SHIPVIEW CORPORATION.*

ARB CASE NO. 01-070

**ALJ CASE NOS. 99-DBA-20
through 27**

With respect to work, cleaning and painting of bridges, performed pursuant to contracts issued by the State of Connecticut's Department of Transportation.

DATE: October 15, 2004

BEFORE: THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD

Appearances:

For the Respondents Abhe & Svoboda, Inc., and Blast All, Inc.:
Paul M. Lusky, Esq., *Kruchko & Fries, Baltimore, Maryland*

For the Respondents Jewell Painting, Inc. and Cameron Jewell:
Constantine G. Antipas, Esq., P.E., *Antipas Law Firm, Groton, Connecticut*

For the Respondents George Campbell Painting Corp. and E. Daskal Corp.:
Jane I. Milas, Esq., *Garcia & Milas, P.C., New Haven, Connecticut*

For the Respondent Shipview Corporation:
Chris Deligiannidis, *pro se, Plymouth, Massachusetts*

For the Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor:
Ford N. Newman, Esq., Douglas J. Davidson, Esq., Steven J. Mandel, Esq.,
Howard M. Radzely, Esq., *Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C.*

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
BY ABHE & SVOBODA, INC.**

On July 30, 2004, the Administrative Review Board issued a Final Decision and Order (F. D. & O.) in this case arising under the Davis-Bacon Act (DBA), 4 U.S.C.A. § 3141 *et seq.* (West Supp. 2003), and Davis Bacon Related Acts (DBRA), 23 U.S.C.A. § 113 (West 2001). The Board affirmed the Administrative Law Judge's recommended decision (with a revised Appendix) (R. D. & O.) upholding the Administrator's findings.

On August 27, 2004, Abhe & Svoboda filed a Motion for Reconsideration. No other parties have so moved or filed responses. The Board has inherent authority to reconsider its decisions. *See, e.g., Macktal v. Brown and Root, Inc.*, ARB Nos. 98-112, 98-112A, ALJ No. 86-ERA-23, Order Granting Reconsideration (ARB Nov. 20, 1998). However, Abhe & Svoboda present no new issues of law or of fact that would justify reconsideration. Therefore, its Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

WAYNE C. BEYER
Administrative Appeals Judge

M. CYNTHIA DOUGLASS
Chief Administrative Appeals Judge