U.S. Department of Labor

Administrative Review Board 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210



In the Matter of:

٧.

RICHARD BARRETT,

ARB CASE NOS.

11-088

12-013

COMPLAINANT,

ALJ CASE NO.

2010-SOX-031

DATE:

JUL 1 1 2013

E-SMART, TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

RESPONDENT.

BEFORE:

THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD

Appearance:

For the Complainant:

Patricia Douglass, Esq.; Great Falls, Virginia

Before: Paul M. Igasaki, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge; E. Cooper Brown, Deputy Chief Administrative Appeals Judge: and Joanne Royce, Administrative Appeals Judge

ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES

As the prevailing party in this case, the Complainant, Richard Barrett, is entitled to all costs and expenses including attorney's fees reasonably incurred in bringing his complaint. 18 U.S.C.A. § 1514A(c)(2)(C) (Thomson Reuters 2010); 29 C.F.R. § 1980.109(b) (2012). Barrett filed a petition for fees with the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). With a minor adjustment, the ALJ affirmed Barrett's request. Upon our review, we affirmed the ALJ's award as reasonable. Barrett v. E-Smart, Tech, Inc., ARB Nos. 11-088, 12-013; ALJ No. 2010-SOX-013 (ARB Apr. 25, 2013).

Barrett now files a supplemental petition for attorney's fees for the litigation fees and costs associated with E-Smart's appeal before the Administrative Review Board (ARB). Barrett, through counsel, asks for 63 hours of work at a rate of \$250 per hour. With costs, the

total amount requested is \$16,532.76. E-Smart did not file a response to Barrett's supplemental petition for fees for work performed before the ARB.

Because the fees are reasonable and in accord with applicable law and because E-Smart does not oppose the award of additional fees for Barrett's litigation costs before the ARB, we **GRANT** the petition for \$16,532.76 in fees and costs.

SO ORDERED.

IOANNE ROVĆE

Administrative Appeals Judge

PAUL M. IGASAKÍ

Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

E. COOPER BROWN

Deputy Chief Administrative Appeals Judge