

2013. The Board affirmed the ALJ's dismissal of Prioleau's appeal. The Board determined that it was within the ALJ's discretion to dismiss Prioleau's claim as he had repeatedly failed to respond to ALJ orders, despite the ALJ's warnings of sanctions including the possibility of dismissal.

In response, Prioleau has filed a Motion for Reconsideration. The ARB is authorized to reconsider a decision upon the filing of a motion for reconsideration within a reasonable time of the date on which the Board issued the decision.² While we sympathize with Prioleau's situation, upon review of his motion, we have determined that he has failed to demonstrate any grounds for reconsideration.³ Accordingly, we **DENY** the Complainant's motion for reconsideration.

SO ORDERED.

LUIS A. CORCHADO
Administrative Appeals Judge

PAUL M. IGASAKI
Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

JOANNE ROYCE
Administrative Appeals Judge

² *Henrich v. Ecolab, Inc.*, ARB No. 05-030, ALJ No. 2004-SOX-051, slip op. at 11 (ARB May 30, 2007).

³ *See Abdur-Rahman v. DeKalb County*, ARB Nos. 08-003, 10-074; ALJ Nos. 2006-WPC-002, -003; slip op. at 4 (ARB Feb. 16, 2011).