



IN THE MATTER OF:

DAVID CHRISTENSON,

ARB CASE NO. 16-073

COMPLAINANT,

ALJ CASE NO. 2016-SOX-021

v.

DATE: August 4, 2016

THE ORVIS COMPANY, INC.,

RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: THE ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW BOARD

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

On June 27, 2016, the Administrative Review Board issued a Notice of Appeal and Order Establishing Briefing Schedule in this case. Under the terms of the Order, Complainant's opening brief was due on or before July 13, 2016. The Board cautioned Complainant that if he failed to timely file his opening brief, the Board could dismiss his petition for review or impose other sanctions.

Complainant did not file an opening brief as ordered. Accordingly, on July 20, 2016, the Board issued an Order to Show Cause, requiring Christenson to demonstrate why the Board should not dismiss his appeal for failure to file an opening brief. The Board warned him that failure to timely respond to the order could result in the dismissal of his appeal without further order. Nevertheless Christenson failed to respond to the Board's Order.

The Board's authority to effectively manage its docket, including authority to require compliance with Board briefing orders, is necessary to "achieve orderly and expeditious disposition of cases."¹ This Board has authority to issue sanctions, including

¹ *Link v. Wabash*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962).

