

From: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2022 12:57 PM
To: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Subject: Re: SLWOP

To the panel of the CSC,
I submit this written statement in lieu of my in person attendance. Thank you for considering my special leave. My department is recommending approval and I have done my best to conduct myself in a manner that warrants approval. Please be assured that I have every desire to be a City of San Diego employee. My supervisor Barik is an excellent leader and I have good relationships with my co workers. My schedule and location needs are what have made it necessary to persue special leave without pay. My leave will help me build up experience as a supervisor working with the federal agency IBWC. Because the facility treats sewage from Tijuana Mexico which uses an open sewer system, the plant faces several problems that will enhance my troubleshooting skills. Aside from working with multiple federal agencies i will also improve my Spanish speaking abilities in this position. I am told that if I can operate this plant then I can operate any plant. I've noticed that many of the positions of need at City of San Diego are leadership roles. Hence, this supervisory experience coupled with my grade 4 (I hope to have my grade 5 before returning) certificate and chemistry degree should make me more useful to the City. For these reasons I believe I have an overwhelming case for approval of SLWOP. Again, I thank you for your consideration.

Ade Adedapo
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 11:45:16 AM
To: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Subject: RE: SLWOP

Yes.

Thank you.

Maria Z.

From: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 9:08 AM
To: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Subject: Re: SLWOP

Thank you. Do I send that through you via email?

Ade
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:25:17 AM
To: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Subject: RE: SLWOP

Hello,

Yes, you may submit a written statement.

Best,
Maria Z.

From: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:20 AM
To: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Subject: Re: SLWOP

Hi María,
I will be working so I can not attend. May i submit a written statement?

Ade
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Zaragoza, Maria <MDZaragoza@sandiego.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022, 8:58 AM
To: Adedapo, Olutoyin
Subject: SLWOP

Hello Ade,

I hope you are well. Will you be attending the CSC meeting on September 1st where they will be reviewing your special leave without pay? Please let me know so we can send you the link and information.

Best,
Maria Z.



Date: August 31, 2022

To: Civil Service Commission via Doug Edwards, Personnel Director

From: Nick Wright, Labor Relations Manager
San Diego Municipal Employees Association

Subject: Item 31 September CSC Agenda
Exemption of 1 Assistant to the Development Services Director from Classified Service



The Development Services Department is requesting that the Commissioners approved the removal of 1.00 Assistant to the Development Services Director from the Classified Service pursuant to Charter Section 117 (a) 17 on the basis that it meets the criteria cited in Council Policy 300-6, III, C.

MEA is respectfully requesting that the Commission deny this request as the description of duties noted in the MEMO provided by DSD and the duties noted in Personnel's response is 75% or more all MEA bargaining unit work. Additionally, what is presented by DSD and Personnel's response are not in alignment.

The position's primary responsibilities as noted by DSD's submittal are:

- Reviewing and routing confidential materials pertaining to sensitive permitting processes, permit condition negotiations, and appeal hearings from permit applicants.
 - These duties are not higher-level management functions as the position is facilitating the routing of the materials only. These duties are also performed currently by MEA clerical positions (Administrative Aide II, Office Support Specialists, PIC's and Clerical Assistant II's) in DSD's permit section. This list of classifications is not exhaustive.
- Assist with preparing sensitive Code Enforcement findings on sub-standard housing complaints communication materials for legal consultations with the City Attorney's Office.
 - These are not higher-level management functions as the positions is assisting with the preparation, but not performing the work. These are again MEA represented bargaining unit functions typically performed by MEA represented positions within the Code section of DSD.
- Route confidential materials and confirm schedules for Level 4 employee grievance hearings, EEIO investigations, or property-rights disciplinary appeals.
 - These are not higher-level management functions and the work described is MEA represented bargaining unit work performed by DSD's Executive Secretary as this work is scheduling and preparing documents only, not creating documents.
 - Personnel's response is that the position would also function as a Step 3 grievance hearing officer which conflicts with MEA's MOU Article 5 Section C.2. A Step 3 grievance hearing officer shall be the Division Head. This alteration of the interpretation of MEA's MOU was not met and conferred upon in violation of the MMBA.
- Maintaining drafts and revisions of permit processing documentation.
 - This is MEA's clerical bargaining unit work as the function is not centered on creating these documents. These functions belong to Administrative Aides and Office Support Specialists in MEA's bargaining unit.

- Maintaining administrative reference materials and applicable municipal codes on land use and development.
 - This is MEA's clerical bargaining unit work as the function is not centered on creating these documents. These functions belong to Administrative Aides and Office Support Specialists in MEA's bargaining unit.
- Managing and updating the Department's record retention policy.
 - This is MEA's bargaining unit work which should be performed by a Records Management Analyst or Senior Records Management Analyst.

The position's primary responsibilities as noted by Personnel's response are (excluding those already identified in DSD's submittal):

- Will review confidential materials pertaining to permit negotiations and appeal hearings from permit applicants.
 - There is no higher-level management function being performed in this duty. Reviewing for accurate submittal is an MEA clerical function already being performed by MEA represented positions.
- Coordinate all disciplinary and permit appeals to include determining appeal eligibility.
 - MEA's disciplinary appeal eligibility is a negotiated item and clearly identified in all disciplinary appeals. The coordination of hearing officers is an Executive Secretary function (MEA bargaining unit work) which the Director currently has. Permit appeals and permit appeal eligibility is current being performed by MEA represented classifications in the permit section.
- Assist with preparing sensitive code enforcement findings; maintain administrative reference materials and municipal codes on land use and development; lead revisions to department instructions and manuals related to the appeals process and permitting review decisions; manage and update the department's records retention policy and meet and greet policy for customers.
 - The position is not involved in the creation of these policies or programs. They are maintaining records and assisting with the preparation of documents as noted in the above. The broad brush being applied with Charter Section 117 is an extreme stretch. This work in the maintaining of records is MEA bargaining unit work (see Records Management Analyst series) and the preparation of documents is the responsibility of MEA's clerical positions.

Unlike other unclassified positions, this position will not be involved in the creation of policy or any higher-level management functions that take the work out of MEA's bargaining unit.

Furthermore, the entire submittal is predicated upon Council Policy 300-06 III.C. The intent of the referenced section is to identify classifications that should not be represented by a bargaining unit due to the confidential nature of the position. That confidentiality pertains only to bargaining unit confidentiality, or those classifications which are responsible for bargaining. Therefore, HR positions are not classified employees although they perform many MEA bargaining unit functions. Those positions are confidential because they possess information related to bargaining with MEA and participate in bargaining with MEA under the MMBA.

We respectfully request that the Commission deny the exemption of the Assistant to the Development Services Director from the classified service based on the information provided above.

08/31/22

STATEMENT
OF
CHRISTOPHER BLOMBERG

To the Civil Service Commission of San Diego, Personnel Director Douglas Edwards and to whom ever else it may concern.

The following is a statement I have prepared regarding the uranalysis I took on 07/06/22 conducted at Concentra located 5575 Ruffing Rd Suite 100 San Diego, Ca 92123.

First, let me tell you about myself. I moved to San Diego in 2009 after being honorably discharged from the United Sates Marine Corps after a four-year enlistment. I was injured during my first deployment to Iraq 2006 and was sent to Balboa Hospital (Naval Medical Center San Diego) to convalesce.

I fell in love with The City of San Diego at this time. My now wife, at the time my girlfriend, Danielle is a San Diego native and would host me every weekend in this great city as I escaped the desert of 29 Palms. I knew at this time I wanted to raise my future family here and serve the citizens of San Diego.

I planned on becoming a Police Officer when I discharged from the Marines. My father and brother were police officers in Houston Texas, where I am from. However, I decided to reside in San Diego and serve the city as a Police Officer. During my last year as an Active-Duty Marine, I began the application process for The San Diego Police Department. I was hired after this process in June of 2009 and graduated The San Diego Regional Academy in January of 2010. I began my career as a San Diego Police Officer and loved every minute of my time at work.

In December of 2017 I was graciously promoted to the rank of detective. I found great joy in helping and protecting the citizenry of San Diego in my new assignments. Unfortunately, my wife suffered a multitude of health problems in the fall of 2020 and by December of 2021 I made the difficult decision to leave my career and employment with the city.

This was solely done so that I would be able to take care of my wife and three children as my wife is now a 100% disabled veteran. This was not an easy decision for me, but necessity insisted I take care of my family. As I was home more, I was able to help my wife heal and heal to the point where I could return to a fulltime career. There was nothing I wanted more than to come back to my career in law enforcement with The City of San Diego and The San Diego Police Department.

I began the application process in April of 2022. I turned in all the required documents and was assigned a Backgrounds Detective, Detective H. Gonzales-Reed. I provided statements of what I have done during my absence from the department. Some of the questions I was asked was

regarding the use of illegal narcotics and specifically the use of marijuana. I maintain now as I did then that I have never used marijuana, or any products derived from marijuana.

One of the ways the police department verifies if a future employee is telling the truth is by administering a polygraph. I took a polygraph on June 9th, 2022, verifying the validity of my answers. During the polygraph I was specifically asked if I had used marijuana, or anything related to marijuana. I answered to the polygrapher that I had not used, nor had I ever used marijuana, or any products derived from marijuana. My answers to the polygrapher were verified as true during this process.

The next step in the process was to have a medical examination and during this examination I would take a urinalysis. This examination was scheduled for the morning of July 6, 2022. The day prior I filled out and signed the City of San Diego Pre-Employment Questionnaire. I did not lie or give false statement when I filled out this questionnaire.

Previously, during my time as a San Diego Police Officer I never used marijuana, and this was verified during many random drug tests as required by the department. I never failed one of the tests.

Also, I am familiar with hiring process and knew a urinalysis would be conducted during the medical examination on July 6, 2022. If I answered truthfully on June 9, 2022, it can only be surmised that I would have had to use marijuana between the 9th of June and July 6th when I took the drug test at Concentra. However, this is not true.

Furthermore, as part of the background process, the backgrounds detectives came and visited me at my house on July 11th, 2022. I'm sure if there was any evidence of marijuana use, they would have reported it and I would have stopped the background process at that time.

Either I was able to cheat on the polygraph test administered by a city employee and smoked marijuana prior to taking a drug test that I knew was going to be administered, or I told the truth during the polygraph and a mistake was made by a third-party company (Concentra) when my urine was test was analyzed. I assure you that I am not "Jason Bourne" and have no idea on how to lie during a polygraph and get away with it.

I was notified by San Diego City Human Resources, Edgar Portillo, via a phone call on Thursday July 14, 2022 about my urinalysis. I was told my urine test came back positive for marijuana. I immediately stated to Mr. Portillo, "that is impossible, I do not use Marijuana." I called my backgrounds detective, Detective Gonzalez-Reed, as soon as my phone call with Mr. Portillo ended.

I was informed the only way to challenge these findings was to make an appeal to this committee. I was of course devastated by the news delivered to me by Mr. Portillo. I spent that evening devising a plan to prove to this committee that I do not use marijuana and I never had. The next day, Friday July 15, 2022, I purchased at home urine drug test and administered it to myself. The results showed negative results for use of marijuana. I have taken these test

multiple times between July 15th and now, each one has had a negative result for marijuana. I have included pictures of the instructions for these tests and pictures of the negative results of the tests along with this statement.

I also went to Concentra located at 7862 El cajon Boulevard La Mesa, Ca 91942 on Monday July 18, 2022 and purchased a urine test and had the staff at Concentra administer the test. This was the same type of test used by the City of San Diego. The results for the test were negative for marijuana. I have attached a copy of these results to this statement.

I do not use marijuana and I never have. I proved this during my 12 years of employment with the City of San Diego every time I was selected to take a random drug test as required for my employment. I proved this when I was asked if I used marijuana or ever have used marijuana during the pre-employment polygraph I took on June 9, 2022. I am proving this by the at home urine tests I took, and the urine test I took on my own at Concentra.

I have also included with this statement multiple questionnaire surveys in regard to my trustworthiness and character. These surveys were completed by the people who know me best and who I have regular contact with. I would also like to note that during my 12 years as a police officer/ police detective I testified in court on a regular basis and my words were trusted and never impeached.

I cannot testify to the procedure that Concentra used in the chain of custody of my urine when it was analyzed. I do believe a mistake was made during their process and that it was not my urine they tested, or some other mistake was made resulting in the positive for marijuana.

I am humbly asking this committee to allow me to take another urine test sanctioned by the city to show I do not use marijuana and continue the employment process with the City of San Diego Police Department. I make this appeal to the Committee in writing and will fully cooperate with the appeal process. I loved my time working for the city as a police officer and wish to continue to serve the City of San Diego and its citizens as a San Diego Police Officer.

Respectfully,

Christopher Blomberg

X 

Date: 08/31/22

CONCENTRA URINALYSIS RESULTS

ON 07/18/22



Specimen Result Certificate

Printed by: 45851 - Concentra Medical Center - La Mesa Report printed on 7/21/2022 01:33 PM Page 1 of 1
 ID Number: 7922696783

Attention: Center Operations Director Concentra Medical Center - La Mesa 7862 El Cajon Blvd La Mesa, CA 91941 Collection Site: 45851-Concentra Medical Center - La Mesa	Verification Date 7/20/2022 10:26 PM CST Medical Review Officer: Michelle Alexander, M.D. 8140 Ward Parkway Suite 300 Kansas City MO 64114 888-382-2281
---	---

Donor Name: BLOMBERG, CHRISTOPHER Date Of Test: 7/18/2022 ID Number: 7922696783	Donor SSN: Donor ID: Reason for Test: Other
Laboratory: Quest Diagnostics	Regulation: Non-DOT Specimen Type: Urine

Drugs Tested:

Drug Name	Result	Laboratory Screening Cutoff *	Laboratory Confirmation Cutoff *	Drug Name	Result	Laboratory Screening Cutoff *	Laboratory Confirmation Cutoff *
Marijuana	Negative	50	15	Barbiturates	Negative	300	200
Cocaine	Negative	300	150	Benzodiazepines	Negative	300	200
Amphetamines	Negative	1000	500	Methaqualone	Negative	300	200
Opiates	Negative	2000	2000	Methadone	Negative	300	200
Propoxyphene	Negative	300	200	MDMA/MDA	Negative	500	250
PCP	Negative	25	25				

Final Result Disposition: **Negative**

CCF Record Date : 7/20/2022

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE MEDICAL REVIEW OFFICER

I have reviewed the laboratory results for the specimen identified by this form in accordance with applicable Federal requirements. My determination/verification is:

Negative
 Positive
 Test Cancelled
 Refusal to test because
 Dilute
 Adulterated
 Substituted

REMARKS:

Michelle Alexander, M.D. *Michelle Alexander M.D. R-MRO* 7/20/2022 10:26 PM
 (PRINT) Medical Review Officer's Name Signature of Medical Review Officer Date (Mo./Day/Yr.)

* Represents laboratory screening and confirmation values.

† Represents class (Sub-Class Abbreviation)

DRUG TESTING CUSTODY AND CONTROL FORM



SPECIMEN ID NO. 7922696783

Lab ID: QUEST
 Lab Acct #: 10818344
 Lab Panel ID: 27800N



Company Account: 45851-0	
STEP 1. Concentra Medical Center - La Mesa 7862 El Cajon Blvd La Mesa CA 91941 Center Operations Director Phone: 619-697-3093 Fax: 619-697-3135	Medical Review Officer eScreen MRO Michelle Alexander, M.D. 8140 Ward Parkway Kansas City MO 64114

STEP 2. Specimen temperature within range: Yes Verified Donor ID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observed <input type="checkbox"/>	STEP 3. Collector affixes bottle seal on specimen. Type: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urine <input type="checkbox"/> Oral <input type="checkbox"/> Blood <input type="checkbox"/> Hair <input type="checkbox"/> Breath <input type="checkbox"/> Split Specimen
---	--

STEP 4. Reason For Test: Other- private pay

STEP 5. TO BE VERIFIED BY DONOR

Donor ID	Date of Birth	Daytime Phone Number	Not Provided	Evening Phone Number	SSN
<i>I certify that I provided my specimen(s) to the collector; that I have not adulterated it in any manner; each specimen container used was sealed with a temper-evident seal in my presence; and that the information and numbers provided on this form and on the label affixed to each specimen container is correct.</i>					
Christopher Blomberg	7/18/2022 12:43 PM				
Donor's Name	Date & Time				Signature of Donor

STEP 6. TO BE VERIFIED BY COLLECTOR

Name of Collection Site, Address, City, ST, Zip Concentra La Mesa 7862 El Cajon Blvd La Mesa CA 91941	Collection Site ID 45851-CA214 Collector Phone Number: 619-697-3093	
<i>I certify that the specimen given to me by the donor identified in donor certification section of this form was collected, labeled, sealed, and released to the Delivery Service noted in accordance with applicable requirements.</i>		
Haley Moreland	7/18/2022 12:43 PM	
Collector's Name	Date & Time	Signature of Collector
Remarks:		

STEP 7. LAB RECEIVED

Seal Intact: Yes No Shipper: _____

Specimen Bottle(s) Released To _____ Date & Time _____ Signature _____


ORIGINAL MUST ACCOMPANY SPECIMEN TO LABORATORY

AT HOME URINALYSIS RESULTS

INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS


Positive (+)

A rose-pink band is visible in each control region. No color band appears in the appropriate test region. It indicates a positive result for the corresponding drug of that specific test zone.



Negative (-)

A rose-pink band is visible in each control region and the appropriate test region. It indicates that the concentration of the corresponding drug of that specific test zone is zero or below the detection limit of the test.





Invalid

If a color band is not visible in each of the control region or a color band is only visible in each of the test region, the test is invalid. Another test should be run to re-evaluate the specimen. Please contact the distributor or the store, where you bought the product, with the lot number.



Note: There is no meaning attributed to line color intensity or width.





AMP/B
Cat. N
Lot: M

Region	Primary Profile	Model	ID	DATE
01	01	01	01	01
02	02	02	02	02
03	03	03	03	03
04	04	04	04	04
05	05	05	05	05
06	06	06	06	06
07	07	07	07	07
08	08	08	08	08
09	09	09	09	09
10	10	10	10	10
11	11	11	11	11
12	12	12	12	12
13	13	13	13	13
14	14	14	14	14
15	15	15	15	15
16	16	16	16	16
17	17	17	17	17
18	18	18	18	18
19	19	19	19	19
20	20	20	20	20
21	21	21	21	21
22	22	22	22	22
23	23	23	23	23
24	24	24	24	24
25	25	25	25	25
26	26	26	26	26
27	27	27	27	27
28	28	28	28	28
29	29	29	29	29
30	30	30	30	30
31	31	31	31	31
32	32	32	32	32
33	33	33	33	33
34	34	34	34	34
35	35	35	35	35
36	36	36	36	36
37	37	37	37	37
38	38	38	38	38
39	39	39	39	39
40	40	40	40	40
41	41	41	41	41
42	42	42	42	42
43	43	43	43	43
44	44	44	44	44
45	45	45	45	45
46	46	46	46	46
47	47	47	47	47
48	48	48	48	48
49	49	49	49	49
50	50	50	50	50
51	51	51	51	51
52	52	52	52	52
53	53	53	53	53
54	54	54	54	54
55	55	55	55	55
56	56	56	56	56
57	57	57	57	57
58	58	58	58	58
59	59	59	59	59
60	60	60	60	60
61	61	61	61	61
62	62	62	62	62
63	63	63	63	63
64	64	64	64	64
65	65	65	65	65
66	66	66	66	66
67	67	67	67	67
68	68	68	68	68
69	69	69	69	69
70	70	70	70	70
71	71	71	71	71
72	72	72	72	72
73	73	73	73	73
74	74	74	74	74
75	75	75	75	75
76	76	76	76	76
77	77	77	77	77
78	78	78	78	78
79	79	79	79	79
80	80	80	80	80
81	81	81	81	81
82	82	82	82	82
83	83	83	83	83
84	84	84	84	84
85	85	85	85	85
86	86	86	86	86
87	87	87	87	87
88	88	88	88	88
89	89	89	89	89
90	90	90	90	90
91	91	91	91	91
92	92	92	92	92
93	93	93	93	93
94	94	94	94	94
95	95	95	95	95
96	96	96	96	96
97	97	97	97	97
98	98	98	98	98
99	99	99	99	99
00	00	00	00	00

Do not immerse past the mark line

Manufactured for Easy Healthcare Corporation
390 Stone Dr. Deer Ridge, IL 60015

Character Surveys

Completed by the Following;

Nick Zastrow, Sergeant SDPD

Matthew Gagliardi, Detective SDPD

Jason Hagel, Detective SDPD

Nate Han, Retired SDPD

Danielle Blomberg, Wife

CHARACTER SURVEY

Christopher Blomberg

1. How long have you known Christopher?

I have known Chris Blomberg for around 13 years. We rode in the same car 4 days a week for a period of around 3 years. I spent more time with him during that time than I did with my own family.

2. Where did you meet Christopher?

I first met him in the Central Division First watch line up, his first day on patrol by himself we were partnered up by the line up Sergeant.

3. Have you ever known Christopher to use marijuana, or any other products derived from marijuana?

No. For the 13 years I have known him we have also done drug tests randomly around 2 times a year. Chris has never had any issues with the tests.

4. Have you ever heard Christopher talk about using marijuana?

No, he has never talked about marijuana, in any way.

5. Do you believe Christopher uses marijuana?

Not at all.

6. Do you believe Christopher has integrity?

Yes

7. Do you believe Christopher is a person of good moral character?

I think Christopher has a very good moral character. So much so that I asked him to be my son's Godfather. Chris has seen his share of turmoil since I have known him dealing with a variety of family medical issues. However Chris has remained a model of Impeccable moral character. He continues to hang his head high no matter what problem is thrown at him.

8. Do you trust Christopher?

Yes, I trust him.

9. Have you ever had a situation in which you had to place your trust in Christopher in a real-life situation?

Being a permanent partner unit downtown and in the surrounding neighborhoods there have been countless situations in which I had to place a tremendous amount of trust in Christopher. I trusted him to watch my back when we were going into dangerous houses. I trusted him in the use of force incidents. To assist in the process of arrests, or investigations I would ask him to bag up and impound things such as narcotics, money, and valuables. I never had a doubt in my mind that it would be anything less than myself personally impounding it. Chris always came through.

10. Do you believe Christopher would make a good addition to the San Diego Police Department?

I believe Chris was already a great addition to the San Diego Police Department, however family necessity had to have him step away. Now that he is trying to come back several months later I believe nothing has changed. He would make a great addition to the department. Not only does he have the skills and knowledge to continue the job where he left off. He also has done everything everyone has asked him to do. Several years back in central division Chris was trying to get an acting detective position to hone his skills before taking the detectives exam. Some positions fell through at the last minute and he ended up not getting the spot promised

to him. Instead they sent him to the Gaslamp Bike team. Instead of working days with weekends off. Chris got sent to a team working every weekend late evenings until 4 in the morning. Chris did not complain however. He took the task at hand, went out with the team and ended up being a great addition to the team.

NAME:

DATE:

x *Philip J. Zastrow #6625*

8-28-2022

SGT. NICHOLS ZASTROW

CHARACTER SURVEY

Christopher Blomberg

1. How long have you known Christopher?

I have known Christopher since 2016. I have worked closely with him the majority of the time since then.

2. Where did you meet Christopher?

I met Christopher while working for the San Diego Police Department when we were both assigned to the Domestic Violence Unit.

3. Have you ever known Christopher to use marijuana, or any other products derived from marijuana?

No.

4. Have you ever heard Christopher talk about using marijuana?

No.

5. Do you believe Christopher uses marijuana?

No.

6. Do you believe Christopher has integrity?

Yes.

7. Do you believe Christopher is a person of good moral character?

Yes.

8. Do you trust Christopher?

Yes.

9. Have you ever had a situation in which you had to place your trust in Christopher in a real-life situation?

Yes. I have been in many situations where I placed trust in Christopher. I worked alongside Christopher as a peer detective and conducted several follow-up investigations with him. Christopher and I were assigned several plainclothes duties when we worked together at the Neighborhood Policing Division. Christopher would often drive while I operated a camera. I had to trust Christopher completely to both drive safely, and identify any potential threats to our safety because my attention was focused elsewhere and I had little to no peripheral vision when using the camera.

I also worked with Christopher in uniformed patrol assignments, both in a standard patrol capacity and during the civil unrest between 2020 and 2021. I placed my trust in Christopher during those times. I knew Christopher was a capable officer and someone I could rely on to both do the right thing as an officer, and help us all be safe.

10. Do you believe Christopher would make a good addition to the San Diego Police Department?

Yes. I have worked for the San Diego Police Department since 2006. Christopher is one of the most capable officers I have met. He has a lot of valuable experience that would be beneficial in

helping newer officers learn the job and his experience and presence would also help during this current period of staffing shortages. Christopher has enough experience to work as an officer, detective, or even sergeant in any unit within the San Diego Police Department.

NAME: Matthew Gagliardi

DATE: August 29, 2022

x 


CHARACTER SURVEY

Christopher Blomberg

1. How long have you known Christopher?
Since 2009/ 2010
2. Where did you meet Christopher?
At work, SDPD Central Division
3. Have you ever known Christopher to use marijuana, or any other products derived from marijuana?
No.
4. Have you ever heard Christopher talk about using marijuana?
No.
5. Do you believe Christopher uses marijuana?
No.
6. Do you believe Christopher has integrity?
Yes.
7. Do you believe Christopher is a person of good moral character?
Yes.
8. Do you trust Christopher?
Yes.
9. Have you ever had a situation in which you had to place your trust in Christopher in a real-life situation?
Yes.
10. Do you believe Christopher would make a good addition to the San Diego Police Department?
Yes.

NAME: Jason Hagel

DATE: 08/29/2022

X 

CHARACTER SURVEY

Christopher Blomberg

1. How long have you known Christopher?
APPROXIMATELY 5 YEARS

2. Where did you meet Christopher?
AT JAMUL COMMUNITY CHURCH

3. Have you ever known Christopher to use marijuana, or any other products derived from marijuana?
NO

4. Have you ever heard Christopher talk about using marijuana?
NO

5. Do you believe Christopher uses marijuana?
NO

6. Do you believe Christopher has integrity?
YES

7. Do you believe Christopher is a person of good moral character?
YES

8. Do you trust Christopher?
YES

9. Have you ever had a situation in which you had to place your trust in Christopher in a real-life situation?

YES, WE SERVE ON OUR CHURCH'S SAFETY TEAM TOGETHER ON SUNDAYS. I TRUST CHRIS HAS MY BACK IF WE'RE NEEDED FOR ANYTHING.

10. Do you believe Christopher would make a good addition to the San Diego Police Department?

YES. CHRIS HAS ALREADY PROVEN HIMSELF WORTHY OF BEING A 'GOOD' ADDITION TO THE SDPD. HE WOULD BE AN EXCELLENT ADDITION TO THE DEPARTMENT.

NAME:

DATE: 08/26/22

X. Michelle Allen

CHARACTER SURVEY

Christopher Blomberg

1. How long have you known Christopher?
16 years

2. Where did you meet Christopher?
Iraq. We were both in the Military at the time.

3. Have you ever known Christopher to use marijuana, or any other products derived from marijuana?
Never

4. Have you ever heard Christopher talk about using marijuana?
Never

5. Do you believe Christopher uses marijuana?
NOT at all

6. Do you believe Christopher has integrity?
Yes very much so.

7. Do you believe Christopher is a person of good moral character?
Absolutely.

8. Do you trust Christopher?

With all my heart.

9. Have you ever had a situation in which you had to place your trust in Christopher in a real-life situation?

Yes, I place my trust in my husband, Christopher, every day for more than 15 years. I trust him with my life, well-being and with our children's lives and well-being

10. Do you believe Christopher would make a good addition to the San Diego Police Department?

Yes, he has in the past and I know he will be a good addition in the future.

NAME: Danielle Blomberg

DATE: 08/30/22

x 

8/31/2022

Environmental Services Department / Field Operations

9601 Ridgehaven Court Ste. 320 MS 1103-B

San Diego, Ca. 92123-1636

To Whom It May Concern

We are extremely pleased to write this letter of recommendation for Mr. Rogers. Earl has worked jointly with Environmental Services for 2 years. We have found him to be conscience and hardworking.

Earl is recognized for his organizational skills and attention to detail. Earl is always willing to lend a helping hand to his fellow coworkers. We are impressed by Earl's commitment to continuous learning and motivation sets him apart from others.

Earl is dedicated to excellence and is extremely motivated to contribute and succeed in everything he does.

Earl would make a great addition to the City of San Diego. We would recommend Earl without any reservation. Please to not hesitate to call us for any further questions.

Sincerely,

Angel Perez

General Utility Supervisor, 858 492 6015

Martin Buelna

Public Works Supervisor, 858 492 6013

Artina Todd

Public Works Supervisor, 858 492 6016

James Lacey

Public Works Supervisor, 858 492 6014