

## **Committee on WIPO Standards (CWS)**

**Second Session  
Geneva, April 30 to May 4, 2012**

### REPORT

*adopted by the Committee*

#### INTRODUCTION

1. The Committee on WIPO Standards (CWS) held its resumed first session and the second session from April 30 to May 4, 2012.
2. The following Member States of WIPO and/or the Paris Union were represented at the session: Algeria; Australia; Austria; Belarus; Belgium; Brazil; Bulgaria; Canada; China; Colombia; Croatia; Cyprus; Czech Republic; Denmark; Djibouti; Egypt; Finland; France; Georgia; Germany; Greece; Hungary; India; Indonesia; Iran, Islamic Republic of; Ireland; Italy; Japan; Latvia; Lithuania; Luxembourg; Mauritania; Mexico; Monaco; Morocco; Myanmar; Nepal; Netherlands; Nicaragua; Norway; Panama; Paraguay; Poland; Republic of Korea; Republic of Moldova; Romania; Russian Federation; Saudi Arabia; Serbia; Singapore; South Africa; Spain; Sri Lanka; Sweden; Switzerland; Ukraine; United Kingdom; United Republic of Tanzania; United States of America; Viet Nam; and Zambia (61).
3. In their capacity as members of the CWS, the representatives of the following organizations took part in the session: the Benelux Office for Intellectual Property (BOIP), the Patent Office of the Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf (GCC), the European Patent Office (EPO), the Eurasian Patent Organization (EAPO), the European Union (EU), the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM), and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) (7).
4. The American Intellectual Property Law Association took part in the session in an observer capacity.

5. The participation of several participants from LDC or developing countries was financed by WIPO in accordance with the decision taken by the General Assembly in 2001. The list of participants appears as Annex I to this report.

#### Agenda Item 1: Opening of the session

6. The session was opened by Mr. Yo Takagi, Assistant Director General, Global Infrastructure Sector, who welcomed the participants on behalf of the Director General.

#### Agenda Item 2: Election of the Chair and two Vice-Chairs

7. The CWS unanimously elected Mr. Gunnar Lindbom (Sweden) as Chair and Ms. Oksana Parkheta (Ukraine) and Mr. Eiichi Yamamoto (Japan) as Vice-Chairs.

8. Mr. Angel López Solanas, Head, WIPO Standards Section, acted as Secretary of the session.

#### Agenda Item 3: Adoption of the agenda

9. The CWS adopted the agenda as proposed in document CWS/2/1 Prov., which appears as Annex II to this report. Some delegations stated that the adoption of the agenda was without prejudice to the right of delegations to propose an agenda item on coordination mechanism in the agendas of the next sessions of the CWS.

#### Agenda Item 4: Adoption of the report of the First Session

10. The CWS unanimously adopted the report of the first session of the CWS as reproduced in document CWS/1/10 Prov. Rev., with the inclusion of a new paragraph 17, which should read as follows:

“No agreement was reached on organizational matters and special rules of procedure.”

### DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSIONS, AND DECISIONS

11. As decided by the Governing Bodies of WIPO at their tenth series of meetings held from September 24 to October 2, 1979 (see document AB/X/32, paragraphs 51 and 52), the report of this session reflects only the conclusions of the CWS (decisions, recommendations, opinions, etc.) and does not, in particular, reflect the statements made by any participant, except where a reservation in relation to any specific conclusion of the CWS was expressed or repeated after the conclusion was reached.

### PRESENTATIONS

12. The presentations given at this session of the CWS and working documents are available on the WIPO website at: [http://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting\\_id=22202](http://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/details.jsp?meeting_id=22202).

#### Agenda Item 5: Organizational matters and special rules of procedure

13. The proposal prepared by the Secretariat was presented in document CWS/2/2.

14. Following consultation with some Ambassadors, Ambassador Uglješa Ugi Zvekić of Serbia, in his capacity as Chair of the WIPO General Assemblies, stated that:

“At an informal consultation held this morning at the ambassadorial level, it was agreed to propose through the Chair of the General Assemblies, to the Committee of WIPO Standards (CWS) the following:

The Committee adopts a draft agenda as initially proposed;

The Chair's summary records conclusions of the technical work done at the present session;

The Chair's summary also records differences of views expressed in regard to the coordination mechanism, the mainstreaming of the Development Agenda recommendations and expression thereof in the special rules of procedure;

Under the facilitation of the Chair of the WIPO General Assemblies, informal consultations on the coordination mechanism, the mainstreaming of the Development Agenda recommendations and expression thereof in the special rules of procedure will be conducted after the second session of CWS in order to reach an understanding preferably before the forthcoming autumn session of the General Assemblies.”

15. The CWS agreed to follow the suggestion made by the Chair of the General Assemblies. Some delegations made statements expressing different views concerning the issues mentioned above for the informal consultations. One view expressed the understanding that the recommendations of the Development Agenda should form an integral part of the work of the CWS, and the importance of coordination mechanism to mainstream Development Agenda in all WIPO bodies. The other view expressed the understanding that the CWS should focus on developing WIPO Standards and leave the other issues to the Secretariat as agreed on in the clarification of the CWS mandate by the General Assembly in 2011, and considered that the CWS should therefore fall outside of the coordination mechanism. It was noted that these statements should be reflected in the draft report of the second session of the CWS. Consequently, no agreement was reached on organizational matters and special rules of procedure.

16. The CWS noted the clarification made by the International Bureau that the General Rules of Procedure of WIPO will apply to the CWS until an agreement can be reached on the CWS organizational matters and special rules of procedure.

#### Agenda Item 6: Roadmap for the development of WIPO Standards dealing with eXtensible Markup Language (XML)

17. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/3, which contained a proposal on a roadmap for the development of WIPO Standards dealing with XML.

18. The Leader of the SEQL Task Force indicated that the new WIPO Standard on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings using XML (tentatively named WIPO Standard ST.26) would be independent on both WIPO Standards ST.36 and ST.96. Therefore, the reference to the future Standard ST.26 in the first sentence of the second paragraph of the Annex to CWS/2/3 was removed from the Roadmap.

19. The CWS approved the “roadmap for the development of WIPO Standards dealing with XML” as reproduced in the Annex to document CWS/2/3, with paragraph 2 reading as follows: “WIPO Standard ST.96 will affect the existing XML Standards (ST.36, ST.66 and ST.86). Therefore, when a final proposal of WIPO Standard ST.96 is submitted for

consideration and adoption by the CWS, a roadmap for all WIPO Standards using XML should also be presented for consideration by the CWS.”

Agenda Item 7: Proposal for new WIPO Standard ST.96

20. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/4, which contained a proposal for the new WIPO Standard ST.96.

21. The CWS adopted the new WIPO Standard ST.96, entitled “Recommendation for the processing of industrial property information using XML (eXtensible Markup Language)”, along with its Annexes I to IV, as reproduced in the Annex to document CWS/2/4 and in the electronic versions of relevant materials provided for the second session of the CWS in the website of WIPO at:

<http://wipo.int/cws/en/taskforce/xml4ip/background.htm>.

22. The CWS noted that draft Annexes V and VI to WIPO Standard ST.96 needed to be implemented and further tested by industrial property offices (IPOs) in order to ensure that they are ready for adoption as part of WIPO Standard ST.96. In order to finalize these two Annexes, the CWS requested its Members to participate in the test exercise and to provide their comments to the XML4IP Task Force. The CWS also noted the plan of the XML4IP Task Force to submit a proposal on Annexes V and VI for consideration and adoption by the CWS at its third session.

23. The CWS approved the modification of Task No. 41 and the assignment of Task No. 41 to the XML4IP Task Force. The revised description of Task No. 41 would read as follows:

“Prepare a proposal for Annexes V and VI of WIPO Standard ST.96 for adoption by the CWS; and ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.96.”

24. With regard to the continuous revision and updating of the new WIPO Standard ST.96, the CWS approved the following procedure:

(a) any proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.96 presented to the Secretariat will be forwarded directly to the XML4IP Task Force for consideration and approval;

(b) the XML4IP Task Force is temporarily authorized to adopt revisions of WIPO Standard ST.96;

(c) a proposal to revise WIPO Standard ST.96 will be forwarded to the CWS for its consideration whenever a proposed revision becomes controversial, i.e., whenever it is not possible to reach consensus among the XML4IP Task Force members; and

(d) the XML4IP Task Force Leader will inform the CWS of any revision of WIPO Standard ST.96 adopted by the Task Force at the first available session of the CWS.

Agenda Item 8: Report on the preparation of a new WIPO standard on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings using eXtensible Markup Language (XML)

25. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/5, which contained a progress report on the work carried out by the SEQL Task Force, along with a roadmap, prepared by the SEQL Task Force Leader. This concerned the preparation of a proposal on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings based on XML for adoption as a WIPO standard (tentatively named WIPO Standard ST.26).

26. In addition to the information provided in the Annex to document CWS/2/5, the SEQL Task Force Leader indicated that a new round of discussion was planned to be held in September 2012. The SEQL Task Force Leader stated that the proposal on the new standard should be finalized by the end of October 2012.

27. The CWS noted the information provided in the working document, as well as the latest work plan and time frame information referred to by the Task Force Leader.

Agenda Item 9: Proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.14

28. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/6, which contained a proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.14.

29. The CWS noted the two components of the proposal presented by the International Bureau. One component of the proposal referred to the revision of paragraph 14 of WIPO Standard ST.14 with regard to some category codes to be placed next to any document (reference) cited in search reports. The second component intended to consider the convenience of revising the recommendations for the identification of non-patent literature citations in order to bring WIPO Standard ST.14 in line with the latest recommendations set out in International Standard ISO 690:2010 (Information and documentation – Guidelines for bibliographic references and citations to information resources). With regard to the latter component, the CWS emphasized the importance of considering the increasing number of digital documents published on the Internet that are cited in search reports.

30. The CWS agreed to:

(a) create the following Task:

“Revision of WIPO Standard ST.14:

(i) Prepare a proposal for the revision of category codes provided in paragraph 14 of WIPO Standard ST.14 taking into account comments and draft proposals stated in paragraphs 7 and 10 to 14 of document CWS/2/6.

(ii) Study the convenience of revising the recommendations for the identification of non-patent literature citations in order to bring WIPO Standard ST.14 in line with the International Standard ISO 690:2010 (Information and documentation – Guidelines for bibliographic references and citations to information resources). If the revision is considered convenient, prepare the corresponding proposal.”

(b) establish a Task Force to handle the Task;

(c) request the Task Force to present the proposal on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.14 in regard to the recommendations concerning category codes for consideration and approval by the CWS at its session to be held in 2013;

(d) request the Task Force to present, as far as possible, the results of the study, along with any eventual proposal, regarding the identification of cited non-patent-literature and ISO 690:2010, at the session of the CWS to be held in 2013; if the Task Force is not able to finalize the proposal by that time, the Task Force Leader should present a progress report on the work done by the Task Force with regard to that component of the Task.

31. The CWS welcomed the offer of the International Bureau, which was designated as the Task Force Leader.

Agenda Item 10: Oral report, by the Task Leader of the ST.36 Task Force, on the proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.36

32. The ST.36 Task Force Leader informed the CWS that, since the last session of the CWS held in October 2010, the ST.36 Task Force had approved one Proposal For Revision (PFR), namely PFR ST.36/2010/001, regarding a search report modification to capture new data elements for supplementary search report. The said PFR is reproduced as approved on the website of WIPO at <http://www.wipo.int/cws/en/taskforce/st36/pfr-intro.html>, and should be incorporated in the next release of WIPO Standard ST.36, which was provisionally scheduled for September 2012.

33. As advised by the CWS at its first session, the ST.36 Task Force postponed the pending discussion on the PFR ST.36/2009/007 (i.e., modifications to mark up correction or changes in patent documents) until the PCT and other IPOs resolve and harmonize their business rules for paragraph amendment. The International Bureau informed the CWS that, as yet, there were no new proposals regarding the PFR ST36/2009/007 in relation to paragraph numbering for patent document amendment within the framework of the PCT. In making its analysis of this proposal, the International Bureau concluded that it was not possible to address the question adequately in isolation. Rather, it needed to be seen in the context of a practical end-to-end solution which worked for the PCT and could also be used in national processing if so desired. The International Bureau had developed a proof-of-concept direct DOCX to ST.36 XML conversion process, which it believed should help to resolve the underlying problems. This was being tested to ensure that the conversion process did not lose substantive information (or at least that contents which could not be reliably converted were reliably identified and warnings could be given in real time). The next stage should be to test different processes for submitting and comparing amendments with original contents.

34. The CWS noted that issues regarding PCT forms, search report, written opinion, legal status and generic patent classification had been under consideration by some Task Force members and could become PFRs in the future.

35. The Delegation of the United States of America informed the CWS that its Office intended to make no further modifications to its national implementation of WIPO Standard ST.36, known as Red Book, resulting from future revisions of WIPO Standard ST.36. The Delegation indicated that the Red Book should be retired only after exchange and dissemination products are migrated to WIPO Standard ST.96 in the future.

36. The CWS noted the oral report, by the Leader of the ST.36 Task Force, regarding the revision of WIPO Standard ST.36 and the activities carried out by the ST.36 Task Force.

Agenda Item 11: Report by the Task Leader of the ST.66 Task Force on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.66

37. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/7, which contained a progress report, by the ST.66 Task Force Leader, on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.66 and other activities carried out by the Task Force.

38. The CWS noted that the ST.66 Task Force had approved the PFR ST.66/2010/001, which recommended image profiles specified in WIPO Standard ST.67 for trademark data, and that the Task Force had subsequently adopted the corresponding revision of WIPO Standard ST.66, version 1.2, in February 2012.

39. The CWS also noted that, taking into account the adoption of the new WIPO Standard ST.96 by the CWS at the present session, WIPO Standard ST.66 should in the future use ST.96 XML schemas for ST.3 codes and ISO country codes instead of updating its corresponding schemas.

Agenda Item 12: Electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks

40. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/8. This document contained a proposal on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.67 and on the inclusion of certain entries related to digital image formats and color management in the Glossary of Terms concerning Industrial Property Information and Documentation (Glossary). The document also presented other proposals regarding standardization of industrial property information.

41. The CWS adopted the revision of WIPO Standard ST.67 and the Glossary as reproduced in Annexes I and II to document CWS/2/8, respectively.

42. According to the decision taken by the former Standard and Documentation Working Group (SDWG) at its eleventh session (see paragraph 62 of document SDWG/11/14), Task No. 20 was considered completed and it was decided to remove it from the Task List of the CWS.

43. The CWS agreed to postpone any activity related to preparation of recommendations regarding images contained in patent and industrial design documents until completion of ongoing discussions on this matter carried out by the Meeting of International Authorities under the PCT and the PCT Working Group.

44. Following the discussions, the CWS agreed on the following actions aimed to a further development of standards in the field of trademark information:

(a) to create the following Task:

“Study the convenience of developing further standards in the trademark domain and prepare the corresponding proposal, including a description of the need and of the expected benefits for each new development, as well as a prioritization of the proposals.”

(b) to assign the said Task to the Trademark Standards Task Force; and

(c) to request the Task Force to present the results of the study along with plans for further activities, for consideration by the CWS at its session to be held in 2013.

Agenda Item 13: Information on the entry into national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications

45. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/9.

46. The CWS noted the status reports, provided by the European Patent Office (EPO) and the International Bureau, concerning the inclusion, in databases, of information about the entry, and, where applicable, the non-entry, into the national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications (Task No. 23). In addition to the information provided in Annex I to document CWS/2/9, the Representative of the EPO highlighted the importance of expanding the country coverage for the PCT national (regional) entry information and that there had not been further progress regarding the non-entry information since the last report in 2009. The EPO also indicated that they were working on the development of XML DTD and schemas for legal status data. When presenting the report reproduced in Annex II to document CWS/2/9, the representative of the International Bureau reiterated the need for further efforts to improve the coverage of information about the entry and non-entry into the PCT national (regional) phase. The Delegation of China informed the CWS of its plan to increase its contribution to the availability of information about Chinese data in the near future.

47. The CWS expressed its recognition to the EPO and to the International Bureau for the progress made on Task No. 23, as well as to IPOs for providing their corresponding data. The CWS emphasized the high importance of that information for patent information users and encouraged IPOs that did not yet provide their information to participate in this project.

Agenda Item 14: Oral progress report, by the International Bureau, on the development of WIPOSTAD (WIPO Standards Administration Database)

48. The CWS noted the presentation made by the International Bureau, which provided background information on the WIPOSTAD project, as well as on the contents and functionalities currently implemented in the database. The CWS also noted that a beta version of WIPOSTAD was available at <http://www.wipo.int/wipostad/en/> for test purposes. The International Bureau informed the CWS about its plans to:

- migrate the application to production version at the end of 2012, once the results of a functionality test carried out by the International Bureau are implemented; and
- implement Annual Technical Reports and the Survey on use of WIPO Standards as new sections in WIPOSTAD Surveys.

49. Participants were encouraged to test the WIPOSTAD application and provide comments and feedback to the Secretariat at [cws.mail@wipo.int](mailto:cws.mail@wipo.int).

Agenda Item 15: Survey on the implementation and promotion of WIPO Standard ST.22

50. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/10, which contained the results of the Survey on Implementation and Promotion of WIPO Standard ST.22.

51. The CWS noted that the Survey had been conducted on line in 2011 and that the received individual responses, along with the automatically collated results, were available in part "Surveys on IPO Practices" of WIPOSTAD.

52. The Survey results containing the summary of responses and the statistical information were presented for consideration by the CWS as the Annex to document CWS/2/10. The Delegation of the Republic of Korea indicated that there was a mistake in the response provided by its Office: the answer to Question 1 should be "yes". The Secretariat was requested to amend the individual response, collated results and the Survey report accordingly.

53. The Survey showed that optical character recognition was an important activity for IPOs and that recommendations provided by WIPO Standard ST.22 were reflected, directly or indirectly, in the application guidelines used by IPOs. The Survey respondents did not provide any indication that WIPO Standard ST.22 should be revised.

54. The CWS noted the information provided in the Survey and approved its publication, as amended in paragraph 52, above, in WIPOSTAD.

Agenda Item 16: Report, by the International Bureau, on the provision of technical advice and assistance for capacity building to industrial property offices in connection with the mandate of the CWS

55. The CWS noted the report (see document CWS/2/11), providing information on highlights of activities of the International Bureau related to providing technical advice and assistance to capacity building to IPOs undertaken during the year 2011 as requested by the WIPO General Assembly at its 40<sup>th</sup> session held in October 2011. Document CWS/2/11 will serve as a basis of the relevant report to be presented to the WIPO General Assembly to be held in October 2012.



Agenda Item 17: Consideration of the Tasks List of the Committee on WIPO Standards

56. Discussions were based on document CWS/2/12, which contained a List of Tasks to establish the work program of the CWS. The CWS noted the List of Tasks reproduced in the Annex to the said document.

57. The CWS approved the List of Tasks, as presented in the Annex to document CWS/2/12, for its incorporation in the CWS work program once it is updated to reflect the agreements reached by the CWS at this second session.

58. After updating the information regarding the Tasks that had been discussed during the second session, including the decisions under this agenda item 17, the status of tasks was as follows:

(a) Tasks considered completed at this session:

Task No. 20: Discuss the issues related to digital image formats, as well as color management and online publication in the trademark domain as referred to in paragraph 5 of document SCIT/SDWG/11/9, and prepare a subsequent proposal.

(b) Tasks on which work remains to be done:

Task No. 30: Survey industrial property offices on application and priority application numbers used.

Task No. 37: Carry out a survey on the use and implementation of WIPO Standard ST.22.

Task No. 41: Prepare a proposal for Annexes V and VI of ST.96 for adoption by the CWS; and ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.96.

Task No. 44: Prepare a recommendation on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings based on eXtensible Markup Language (XML) for adoption as a WIPO standard. The proposal of the new WIPO standard should be presented along with a report on the impact of the said standard on the current WIPO Standard ST.25, including the proposed necessary changes to Standard ST.25.

(c) Tasks to ensure continuous maintenance of WIPO Standards:

Task No. 38: Ensure continuous revision and updating of WIPO Standard ST.36.

Task No. 39: Ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.66.

Task No. 42: Ensure the necessary revisions and updates of WIPO Standard ST.86.

(d) Tasks of continuing activity and/or information nature:

Task No. 18: Identify areas for standardization relevant to the exchange of machine-readable data on the basis of projects envisaged by such bodies as the Trilateral Offices, ISO, IEC and other well-known industry standard-setting bodies.

- Task No. 23: Monitor the inclusion, in databases, of information about the entry, and, where applicable, the non-entry into the national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications.
- Task No. 24: Collect and publish Annual Technical Reports (ATRs) on Patent, Trademark and Industrial Design Information Activities of the CWS Members (ATR/PI, ATR/TM, ATR/ID).
- Task No. 26: Report on activities regarding the migration of data from WIPO Handbook into WIPOSTAD (WIPO Standards Administration Database).
- Task No. 33: Ongoing revision of WIPO Standards.
- Task No. 33/3: Ongoing revision of WIPO Standard ST.3.
- (e) Tasks created at this session and on which work has not started:
- Task No. 45: Revision of WIPO Standard ST.14:
- (i) Prepare a proposal for the revision of category codes provided in paragraph 14 of WIPO Standard ST.14 taking into account comments and draft proposals stated in paragraphs 7 and 10 to 14 of document CWS/2/6.
  - (ii) Study the convenience of revising the recommendations for the identification of non-patent literature citations in order to bring WIPO Standard ST.14 in line with the International Standard ISO 690:2010 (Information and documentation – Guidelines for bibliographic references and citations to information resources). If the revision is considered convenient, prepare the corresponding proposal.
- Task No.46: Study the convenience of developing further standards in the trademark domain and prepare the corresponding proposal, including a description of the need and of the expected benefits for each new development, as well as a prioritization of the proposals.
- (f) Task on which work has not started and is held in abeyance:
- Task No. 43: Prepare guidelines, for implementation by industrial property offices, regarding paragraph numbering, long paragraphs, and consistent rendering of patent documents.

#### Agenda Item 18: Schedule of activities

59. It is expected that in autumn 2012 the Secretariat would be in a position to announce the exact dates for the third session of the CWS which would take place, preferably, in the first quarter of 2013. This information would be conveyed by both CWS mail and on WIPO's website, as soon as those dates are known.

#### Agenda Item 19: Summary by the Chair

60. The CWS noted the Summary by the Chair that was prepared and distributed for information purposes.

Meetings of the CWS Task Forces

61. During this session, the following CWS Task Forces held informal meetings: ST.10/C Task Force, Trademark Standards Task Force and XML4IP Task Force. There was also a joint meeting of the four Task Forces dealing with XML-based WIPO Standards, namely ST.36, ST.66, ST.86 and XML4IP Task Forces. The Task Force Leaders informed the CWS of the progress made regarding their respective tasks in the informal meetings.

Agenda Item 20: Closing of the session

62. The meeting was closed by the Chair on May 4, 2012.

Adoption of the report of the session

63. *This report was adopted by the participants to the second session of the CWS via a restricted e-forum.*

[Annexes follow]

## LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS/LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

### I. ÉTATS MEMBRES/MEMBER STATES

(dans l'ordre alphabétique des noms français des États/  
in the alphabetical order of the names in French of the States)

#### AFRIQUE DU SUD/SOUTH AFRICA

Mandixole MATROOS, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### ALGÉRIE/ALGERIA

Boumediene MAHI, conseiller, Mission permanente, Genève

Ahlem Sara CHARIKHI (Mlle), attaché, Mission permanente, Genève

#### ALLEMAGNE/GERMANY

Konrad HOFFMANN, Government Official, Federal Ministry of Justice, German Patent and Trade Mark Office (DPMA), Munich

Heinjoerg HERMANN, Counsellor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### ARABIE SAOUDITE/SAUDI ARABIA

SAAD ALHUDIBI, Patent Specialist, Saudi Patent Office, King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh

#### AUSTRALIE/AUSTRALIA

Julia HU (Ms.), International ICT Cooperation, Business and Information Management Solutions Group, IP Australia, Canberra

David KILHAM, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### AUTRICHE/AUSTRIA

Katharina FASTENBAUER (Mrs.), Head, Patent Support/PCT; Deputy Vice President, Technical Affairs, Austrian Patent Office, Vienna

#### BÉLARUS/BELARUS

Julia KHORUK (Mrs.), Deputy Head, Department of Automation and Patent Documentation, National Center of Intellectual Property, Minsk

#### BELGIQUE/BELGIUM

Mathias KENDE, deuxième secrétaire, mission permanente, Genève

Marie-Charlotte ANNEZ de TABOADA (Mme), attaché, Affaires économiques et commerciales, mission permanente, Genève

BRÉSIL/BRAZIL

Ademir TARDELLI, Vice President, Brazilian National Institute of Industrial Property, Development, Industry and Foreign Commerce, Rio de Janeiro

Raul SUSTER, Head, Center for Dissemination of Technological Information, Brazilian National Institute of Industrial Property, Development, Industry and Foreign Commerce, Rio de Janeiro

Leandro Alves DA SILVA, Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

BULGARIE/BULGARIA

Aleksey ANDREEV, Counsellor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

CANADA/CANADA

Derek SPERO, DBA/Application Architect, Enterprise Solutions Branch, Canadian Intellectual Property Office (CIPO), Gatineau

Sophie GALARNEAU (Ms.), deuxième secrétaire, Mission permanente, Genève

CHINE/CHINA

Qiang WANG, Deputy Division Director, Documentation Processing Management Division, Patent Documentation Department, State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China, Beijing

Guoli CHU (Ms.), Project Officer, Automation Department, State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China, Beijing

Song ZHANG, Project Officer, State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China, Beijing

Yi WANG, Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

CHYPRE/CYPRUS

Yiangos-Georgios YIANGOULLIS (Ms.), Expert Legal Affairs (WIPO), Permanent Mission, Geneva

Myrianthy SPATHI (Ms.), Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Christine TSENTA (Ms.), Attaché, Permanent Mission, Geneva

COLOMBIE/COLOMBIA

Catalina GAVIRIA (Ms.), Consejera, Misión permanente, Ginebra

Laura GARCIA (Ms.), Pasante, Misión permanente, Ginebra

Juan Camilo SARETZKI-FORERO, Primer Secretario, Misión permanente, Ginebra

CROATIE/CROATIA

Yasna DERVIS (Mme) Minister-Counselor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

DANEMARK/DENMARK

Lisbert NIELSON (Ms.), First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

DJIBOUTI/DJIBOUTI

Djama Mahamoud ALLI, conseiller, Mission permanente, Genève

EGYPTE/EGYPT

Balas Mostafa MOHAMMED, Systems Analyst, Egyptian Patent Office, Academy of Scientific Research and Technology (ASRT), Ministry of Scientific Research, Cairo

Hisham BADR, Permanent Representative, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Mokhtar WARIDA, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

ESPAGNE/SPAIN

María Rosa CARRERAS DURBÁN (Mrs.), Area Proyectos Tecnológicos Internacionales, Division de Tecnológicas de la Información, Ministry of Industry, Energy and Tourism, Oficina Española de Patentes y Marcas, Madrid

Xavier BELLMONT ROLDÁN, Primer Secretario, Misión permanente, Ginebra

ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE/UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Christopher Y. KIM, International Liaison Staff, United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria

Bruce COX, Information Standards IT Specialist, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria

Kathryn TINDLE (Ms.), Data Architecture IT Specialist, United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria

Steven BECKMANN, IT Specialist, Trademarks Office of Program Control, United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria

Don LEVIN, Director, eGovernment, Patents, United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), Alexandria

J. Todd REVES, IP Attaché, Economic and Science Affairs, Permanent Mission, Geneva

FÉDÉRATION DE RUSSIE/RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Fedor VOSTRIKOV, Director, IT Department, Federal Institute of Intellectual Property (FIPS), Federal Service for Intellectual Property (ROSPATENT), Moscow

Valeria MAKSIMOVA (Mrs.), Deputy Head, Information Resources Development Department, Federal Institute of Intellectual Property (FIPS), Federal Service for Intellectual Property (ROSPATENT), Moscow

Maria OREKHOVA (Ms.), Principal Specialist, International Cooperation Department,  
Federal Service for Intellectual Property (ROSPATENT), Moscow

Stepan KUZMENKOV, Counsellor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Arsen BOGATYREV, Attaché, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Elena KOLOKOLOVA (Ms.), Representative in Switzerland, Chamber of Commerce and  
Industry of the Russian Federation (CCIRF), Geneva

#### FINLANDE/FINLAND

Juha REKOLA, Development Director, National Board of Patents and Registration of  
Finland, Helsinki

#### FRANCE/FRANCE

Marcel CANTET, chargé de mission, Institut National de la propriété industrielle (INPI),  
Paris

Katerina DOYTCHINOV (Mme), conseiller, Affaires économiques et développement,  
Mission permanente, Genève

Thomas PECHEFF-LEPRINCE, stagiaire, Affaires économiques et développement,  
Mission permanente, Genève

#### GEORGIE/GEORGIA

Eka KIPIANI, Counsellor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### GRÈCE/GREECE

Paraskevi NAKIOU (Mrs.), Attaché, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### HONGRIE/HUNGARY

Virág HALGAND DANI (Mrs.), Deputy Permanent Representative/Counsellor, Permanent  
Mission, Geneva

#### INDE/INDIA

Alpana DUBEY (Mrs.), First Secretary, Economy, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### INDONÉSIE/INDONESIA

Razilu RAZILU, Director, Technology of Information, Directorate General of Intellectual  
Property Rights I, Ministry of Law and Human Rights, Tangerang

Arsi DWINUGRA FIRDAUSY, Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

#### IRLANDE/IRELAND

Cathal LYNCH, Attaché, Permanent Mission, Geneva

ITALIE/ITALY

Tiberio SCHMIDLIN, Counsellor, Trade, Intellectual Property, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Eugenio Di AGOSTA, Intern, Permanent Mission, Geneva

JAPON/JAPAN

Matsuo NONAKA, Director for Policy Planning and Research, General Affairs Division, General Affairs Department, Japan Patent Office, Tokyo

Ryo NITTA, Assistant Director, Information Systems Division, General Affairs Department, Japan Patent Office, Tokyo

Eiichi YAMAMOTO, Deputy Director, Information Dissemination and Policy Promotion Division, General Affairs Department, Japan Patent Office, Tokyo

Satoshi FUKUDA, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

LETTONIE/LATVIA

Ilva KASE (Ms.), Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

LITUANIE/LITHUANIA

Vida MIKUTIENE (Mrs.), Head of Applications Receiving and Document Management Division, The Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Lithuania, State Patent Bureau of the Republic of Lithuania, Vilnius

LUXEMBOURG/LUXEMBOURG

Christiane DALEIDEN DISTEFANO (Mme), ministre conseiller, mission permanente, Genève

MAROC/MOROCCO

Dounia ELOUARDI (Mrs.), directeur, Pôle Développement et Relations Clients, Office Marocain de la Propriété Industrielle et Commerciale, Casablanca

Mohammed EL MHAMDI, conseiller, Mission permanente, Genève

MAURITANIE/MAURITANIA

Mohamed BERCKA, conseiller juridique, Cabinet du Ministre, Ministère de la Culture, de la Jeunesse et des Sports, Nouakchott

MEXIQUE/MEXICO

Luis WOLF CHÁVEZ, Director Divisional, Sistemas y Tecnología de la Información, Instituto Mexicano de la Propiedad Industrial, Mexico

José Luis LÓPEZ DE LEÓN, Segundo Secretario, Misión permanente, Ginebra

MONACO/MONACO

Gilles RÉALINI, deuxième secrétaire, Mission permanente, Genève



MYANMAR/MYANMAR

Lynn MARLAR LWIN (Ms.), Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

Mya SANDAR (Ms.), Attaché, Permanent Mission, Geneva

NÉPAL/NEPAL

Dhruba Lal RAJBAMSHI, Director General, Department of Industry, Ministry of Industry, Commerce and Supplies, Kathmandu

NICARAGUA/NICARAGUA

Humberto Javier COLLADO FERNANDEZ, Asesor Legal, Ministerio de Fomento, Industria y Comercio, Dirección General de Competencia y Transparencia en los Mercados, Dirección de Registro de la Propiedad Intelectual (RPI), Managua

NORVÈGE/NORWAY

Jens Petter SOLLIE, IP System Manager, Production and Systems, Norwegian Industrial Property Office, Oslo

Magne LANGSAETER, System Advisor, Production and Systems, Norwegian Industrial Property Office, Oslo

PANAMA/PANAMA

Luz Celeste RÍOS DE DAVIS (Mrs.), Directora General del Registro de la Propiedad Industrial, Oficinas de Propiedad Industrial, Ministerio de Comercio et Industrias, Panamá

Zoraida RODRIGUEZ MONTENEGRO (Sra.), Consejera Legal, Misión permanente, Ginebra

PARAGUAY/PARAGUAY

Raúl MARTÍNEZ, Primer Secretario, Misión permanente, Ginebra

PAYS BAS/NETHERLANDS

Richard ROEMERS, First Secretary, Economic Division, Geneva

POLOGNE/POLAND

Irena BIELECKA (Mrs.), Director, IT Department, Polish Patent Office, Warsaw

Mikolas ROGOWSKI, Intern, Permanent Mission, Geneva

RÉPUBLIQUE DE CORÉE/REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Iksoo JEON, Deputy Director, Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), Daejeon

Kyung-Hyub KWON, Group Manager, Korean Intellectual of Patent Information (KIPI), Seoul

Miryung PARK (Ms.), Deputy Director, Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), Daejeon

Yong-Sun KIM, Counsellor, Intellectual Property, Permanent Mission, Geneva

RÉPUBLIQUE ISLAMIQUE D'IRAN/ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Ali NASIMFAR, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

RÉPUBLIQUE DE MOLDOVA/REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

Alexei IAȚCO, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

RÉPUBLIQUE TCHÈQUE/CZECH REPUBLIC

Lukas HYKS, Expert, Patent Information Department/Analyses Section, Industrial Property Office, Prague

Jan WALTER, Third Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

RÉPUBLIQUE-UNIE DE TANZANIE/UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA

Leonila KALEBO KISHEBUKA (Mrs.), Deputy Registrar, Intellectual Property, Ministry of Industry and Trade, Business Registrations and Licensing Agency, Dar es Salaam

Pili Prisca MWTANI (Mme), ministre plénipotentiaire, Genève

ROUMANIE/ROMANIA

Bogdan BORESCHIEVICI, Director of National Collection and Service Directorate, State Office for Inventions and Trademarks, Bucharest

Adriana ATANASOAI (Mrs.), Head, Database and Electronic Information System Division, State Office for Inventions and Trademarks, Bucharest

Mitrita HAHUE (Mrs.), Head, National Trademarks Application Bureau, State Office for Inventions and Trademarks, Bucharest

ROYAUME-UNI/UNITED KINGDOM

Geoff COURT, Head of Patent Support Services, Patents Directorate, UK Intellectual Property Office, Newport

James MCLAUGHLIN, IT Strategic Manager, UK Intellectual Property Office, Newport

Simon SANDFORD-TAYLOR, IT Business Advisor, IT, UK Intellectual Property Office, Newport

Nicola Noble (Miss), Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

SERBIE/SERBIA

Uglješa Ugi ZVEKIČ, ambassadeur extraordinaire et plénipotentiaire, Mission permanente, Genève

Branka BILEN KATIC (Mrs.), Assistant Director, Section for Information Services, Intellectual Property Office, Belgrade

SINGAPOUR/SINGAPORE

Li Lin LIEW, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

SRI LANKA/SRI LANKA

Natasha GOONERATNE (Ms.), Second Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

SUÈDE/SWEDEN

Gunnar LINDBOM, Controller, Swedish Patent and Registration Office (SPRO),  
Söderhamn

Åsa VIKEN (Mrs.), Patent Examiner, Operations Development Officer, Patent Information  
Department, Swedish Patent and Registration Office (SPRO), Stockholm

Tobias LORENTZSOU, First Secretary, Permanent Mission, Geneva

SUISSE/SWITZERLAND

Matthias GÜNTER, CIO, Division informatique, Institut fédéral de la propriété  
intellectuelle, Berne

Alexandra GRAZIOLI (Mrs.), conseillère juridique senior, Division droit & affaires  
internationales, Institut fédéral de la propriété intellectuelle, Berne

Daniel INGOLD, chef, Customer Management, Institut fédéral de la propriété  
intellectuelle, Berne

UKRAINE/UKRAINE

Oksana PARKHETA (Ms.), Chief Expert, the State Registers, Economics and Information  
Support, The State Intellectual Property Service, Kyiv

VIET NAM/VIET NAM

Phi Anh PHAM, Deputy Director General, National Office of Intellectual Property of Viet  
Nam, Ministry of Science and Technology, Ha Noi

Mai VAN SON, Counsellor, Permanent Mission, Geneva

ZAMBIE/ZAMBIA

Justine Tambatamba CHILAMBWE, Examiner, Patents and Companies Registration  
Agency, Ministry of Commerce, Trade and Industry, Lusaka

II. ORGANISATIONS INTERGOUVERNEMENTALES/INTERGOVERNMENTAL  
ORGANIZATIONS

OFFICE BENELUX DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE (OBPI)/BENELUX OFFICE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (BOIP)

Jean-Marie PUTZ, IT Manager, The Hague

OFFICE DES BREVETS DU CONSEIL DE COOPÉRATION DES ÉTATS ARABES DU GOLFE (CCG)/PATENT OFFICE OF THE COOPERATION COUNCIL FOR THE ARAB STATES OF THE GULF (GCC Patent Office)

Abdulrhman ALMOHAWES, Developer, IT, Geneva

OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS (OEB)/EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE (EPO)

Miguel ALBRECHT, Director, Data Resources, Rijswijk

Raul SUAREZ Y GONZALEZ, Administrator, 2.7.2.2 External Products and Services, Rijswijk

Patrick LE GONIDEC, Administrateur projets, D541, Vienne

ORGANISATION EURASIENNE DES BREVETS (OEAB)/EURASIAN PATENT ORGANIZATION (EAPO)

Andrey SEKRETOV, Head of Standardization and Patent Information Quality Group of Patent Information Department, Moscow

UNION EUROPÉENNE (UE)/EUROPEAN UNION (EU)

Delphine LIDA (Mrs.), First Counsellor, EEAS, Geneva

Alexandre TRAN, IT Architect, Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM), Alicante

UNION INTERNATIONALE DES TÉLÉCOMMUNICATIONS (UIT)/INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION (ITU)

Gregory RATTA, Representative, Geneva

III. ORGANISATION NON GOUVERNEMENTALE/NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION

AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION

Mark GUETLICH, Managing Director of Patents, Global IP Europe, Waldorf

IV. BUREAU/OFFICERS

Président/Chair: Gunnar LINDBOM (Suède/Sweden)

Vice-présidents/Vice-Chairs: Oksana PARKHETA (Mme/Ms.) (Ukraine)  
Eiichi YAMAMOTO (Japon/Japan)

Secrétaire/Secretary: Angel LOPEZ SOLANAS (OMPI/WIPO)

V. BUREAU INTERNATIONAL DE L'ORGANISATION MONDIALE DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INTELLECTUELLE (OMPI)/INTERNATIONAL BUREAU OF THE WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION (WIPO)

Yo TAKAGI, sous-directeur général du Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale /Assistant Director General, Global Infrastructure Sector

Michael RICHARDSON, directeur adjoint, Division du développement fonctionnel du PCT, Secteur de l'innovation et de la technologie/Deputy Director, PCT Business Development Division, Innovation and Technology Sector

Jean-Paul HOEBRECK, conseiller principal auprès du sous-directeur général du Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale/Senior Advisor to the Assistant Director General, Global Infrastructure Sector

Antonios FARASSOPOULOS, chef du Service des classifications internationales et des normes de l'OMPI, Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale /Head, International Classifications and WIPO Standards Service, Global Infrastructure Sector

Angel LÓPEZ SOLANAS, chef de la Section des normes de l'OMPI, Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale/Head, WIPO Standards Section, Global Infrastructure Sector

Paul HALFPENNY, Coordonnateur de projet, Service d'information mondiale, Service des bases de données mondiales, Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale/Project Coordinator, Global Information Service, Global Databases Service, Global Infrastructure Sector

Young-Woo YUN, administrateur principal chargé de l'information en matière de propriété industrielle de la Section des normes de l'OMPI, Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale/Senior Industrial Property Information Officer, WIPO Standards Section, Global Infrastructure Sector

Anna GRASCHENKOVA (Mme/Mrs.), administratrice chargée de l'information en matière de propriété industrielle de la Section des normes de l'OMPI, Secteur de l'infrastructure mondiale/ Industrial Property Information Officer, WIPO Standards Section, Global Infrastructure Sector

[L'annexe II suit/ Annex II follows]

## AGENDA

1. Opening of the session
2. Election of the Chair and two Vice-Chairs
3. Adoption of the agenda  
See document CWS/2/1 Prov.
4. Adoption of the report of the First Session  
See document CWS/1/10 Prov.
5. Organizational matters and special rules of procedure  
See document CWS/2/2.
6. Roadmap for the development of WIPO Standards dealing with eXtensible Markup Language (XML)  
See document CWS/2/3.
7. Proposal for new WIPO Standard ST.96  
See document CWS/2/4.
8. Report on the preparation of a new WIPO standard on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings using eXtensible Markup Language (XML)  
See document CWS/2/5.
9. Proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.14  
See document CWS/2/6.
10. Oral report, by the Task Leader of the ST.36 Task Force, on the proposal for the revision of WIPO Standard ST.36
11. Report by the Task Leader of the ST.66 Task Force on the revision of WIPO Standard ST.66  
See document CWS/2/7.
12. Electronic management of the figurative elements of trademarks:
  - Revision of WIPO Standard ST.67
  - Supplementary information about color management and online publicationSee document CWS/2/8.
13. Information on the entry into national (regional) phase of published PCT international applications  
See document CWS/2/9.
14. Oral progress report, by the International Bureau, on the development of WIPOSTAD (WIPO Standards Administration Database)
15. Survey on the implementation and promotion of WIPO Standard ST.22  
See document CWS/2/10.
16. Report, by the International Bureau, on the provision of technical advice and assistance for capacity building to industrial property offices in connection with the mandate of the CWS  
See document CWS/2/11.
17. Consideration of the Tasks List of the Committee on WIPO Standards  
See document CWS/2/12.
18. Schedule of activities
19. Summary by the Chair
20. Closing of the session